

[1]

XIMOKO PROGRESSIVE PARTY

SUGGESTED APPROACH FOR GROUP V IN ISSUES ARISING FROM THE TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THIS GROUP

As the task of Group V is to receive and programme all the inputs submitted by the first four groups, it will become necessary for this group to be proactive in determining how such information received should be investigated with a view to making recommendations to the proposals made by the four first groups. It must be noted that the manner of investigation will differ from one group to the other as these groups differ in the assignments they handle but what will be uniform procedures to be followed in reaching the ultimate goal i.e when (time frames) and how (implementation) these recommendations should be realised.

We of the Ximoko Progressive Party recommend the following scheme of approach in pursuit of what appears in the terms of reference of this group:

1. Division of our group into sub-groups to handle the issues as appearing in our terms of reference. The issues so far discernable are:
  - 1.1 A sub-group to indentify key issues and problems that need to be addressed.
  - 1.2 A sub-group to indentify areas of commonality and aspects where agreement already exists between the participating delegations
  - 1.3 A sub-group to consider whether and how to address items a-k as contained in the terms of reference item 1.1.4
  
2. It is further suggested that a random selection of delegates to attend to 1.1 and 1.2 above should be done but for 1.3 a delegate per party or organisation should be nominated. In fact it is calculated that item 1.1.4 in the terms of reference is an amplification of 1.1.1 in the terms of reference.

3. Seeing that other issues might be identified as this group progresses with its work it is also suggested that further regrouping might also become necessary but this cannot be preempted until such a need arises.
4. Since this group will be dealing with inputs from other working groups, a very close relationship should exist between our working group and the other working groups i.e a forward and backward movement viz that if our working group needs some clarity on certain issues from any of the working groups or our working group wishes to submit its views on the recommendations by other groups for further study and reflection, this should be done especially in matters of a controversial nature.
5. Our party suggests that all the inputs from the various sub-groups should be submitted to the steering committee of working group V from which to draw up agendas of our meetings all the time we have meetings of our working group.
6. Finally, we recommend that the full committee of working group V should make recommendations to Codesa appropriate time frames and target completion dates for all processes and assignments undertaken by Codesa and all its working groups.