





R of Rts now -
in an Interim
Constitution.

MCH 91-67-3-8




Framework pines

PA - near threshold



①. Part.

②. Against.



① Free & fair elects

② PR - low thresh

③ big body

④ decision-making
agreed

⑤.

all groups

represented (17)

all args. against elect.
apply against referendum.

Post adoption referendum
- s. weak. Yes or no
to the whole. No
involvement, identif-
debate.

Those who vote 'no'
can say it's not
their const. not
bound.

Prior vote is for
process, outcome -
not just the product

Against elections - now:
Violence
Exclusion
TRUC not involved.

Elections so accepted
universally, in Dec.
of Intent - central to
Constit. - heavy on
anyone that not proper
way.

Govt. C.M. Body

① 3 pts departments

CA - election - maj.

② A Multi-Party Govt -

CODZSA or CODESA plus.

More universal

③. Preference: Props of Govt/NP

Transit Govt & 2. Govt -

Trans. Govt. ~ PR




Senate - Regional
Min Pol Parties

2 Houses - EMR + Legish.

Both decide how process
should be carried out.

Both approve - Constit.

2 House -
Regul. & min part
represent.





Is he against ^{in principle}
elections for the
Const-making body?

~~Is so, why?~~

Or is it only a
question of
convenience?