

NOTE ON EVIDENCE GIVEN BY VAN DER RIET AND
LEFTWICH.

1. The evidence that SCHNEIDER left the organisation immediately after the pylon explosions (18th June 1964) is to be found in the evidence of VAN DER RIET at the very end of her cross-examination by Mr. Gibson, in answer to a question put by the Judge.
2. The evidence of the Account Book (Exhibit E.4) records the name of ROY, but does not reflect any subscription as being due by him, or as having been paid by him.
3. LEFTWICH, in his examination in chief, said that at about the end of 1963 the Cape Town organisation decided to drop sabotage because they felt that it was dangerous and futile. The organisation then apparently became an "intelligence" organisation.
4. According to LEFTWICH, in cross-examination by Mr. Snitcher, the so-called political intelligence unit had, or was intended to have, perfectly lawful contacts with persons not properly in the organisation.
5. It is to be noted:-
 - (a) That Accused No. 3 came to Cape Town for the first time in January 1964.
 - (b) That he met SCHNEIDER only at the end of March 1964.
 - (c) That it was not until May 1964 that he was introduced to the organisation.
 - (d) That it was only at the end of May 1964 that SCHNEIDER disclosed its true nature.
 - (e) That within three weeks SCHNEIDER himself had left the Organisation, thus severing the Accused's only contact with it.

v.d. Riet:

Re objectives - political:

* p. 59-13 et seq. - "against unjust laws"

bell consisted of from 2 upwards. p. 68.

Not prepared to endanger life & limb. p. 76-5 et

* p. 87-12. Protest - to influence Govt. to
guide public & to influence public.
Symbolical protest.

No. of documents - unaware. p. 89,

No reason to doubt NO. 2. knew nothing
of the pamphlet C.S. p. 91-13.

I wouldn't have thought there were 15
members. p. 94-28.

[4] The person I knew that left the org. was
someone called TONY. p. 96-23.

We had in mind restricted violence with
no injuries. p. 119-23.

[3] * Towards the end Schneider left completely
p. 121-20.

Certain enough - no injuries to public.
122-28,

Leftwich:

Or joined - org. concerned sabotage for
pol. purposes. p. 126.

[4] Later - met Mr. Brooks. 126-15.
Aug - Sept. 1962.

November met Watson. - [Brooks, de Keller]

126 - 30 et.

Mr. Brooks, de Keller & myself -
received training
in Kloof street. p. 127.

Schneider & I took explosives to v.d. Riets
room. p. 130-5. Game from Jhbg.

Recess of J.M. tower - p. 132 (Brooks
not mentioned)

[4] * 4 points - Railway - allocated: "Mr. Brooks
to Rosebank." p. 137. - 2.

Not our intention to injure - took precautions.
p. 138-30.

[5] Planning Com. had arranged for Miss Kemp to accompany Mr. Schneider & drive the car for him p. 139. 25.

At Franckraal pylon. Roy & Miss Kemp was to drive + Schneider p. 147. - top

Schneider & Roy had gone into question of voltage p. 147. - 18.

Safety precautions to avoid injury p. 147 at bottom et seq.

Pamphlet drafted in Jhly p. 152. - 23.

Recovery of pamphlet - p. 153. - 6 et seq.

"Some of members had seen pamphlet"

153 - 30

History of movement of explosives p. 156. - 18.

Schneider - Bowwood Gdns. - Schneider in Drop St - v. d. Keet

Accounts - post - through Mr. Mills

p. 157 - 18.

* Hired a garage off Orange Street - merely kept a work bench & tools there. 157-29.

* Code names - Man called ROY, Brooks - TONY Miss Kemp - MARY - 158. 19.

Met Brooks & de Klerk with Watson.

Roy on one or two occasions with Schneider.
p. 159 - top.

* [No mention of Miss Kemp in company of others.]

We were concerned - by damaging the Govt.
garage people in vicinity might be hurt -
dropped plan. 167 - 10.

* Am Used to influencing people - personality able
to influence people. - 175. top.

Before joining - Rubin asked: something
more active - indicated sabotage. 175:-
10.

Non-commentist. p. 179. top et seq.

"I don't believe in the dictatorship of any regime
or stratum of society over any other stratum
of society." p. 180. - 10.

The pylons were Schneider's idea. 183-22.

* Members had different reasons, for understanding.
Some felt - protest against unjust laws.
as Miss v. d. Riet indicated. Basic rejection
of apartheid was l. z. d. 184 - 10.
shock public - case situation.

*
Drew line - not prepared to go further -
not endanger human life p. 185-20,
not even indirectly.

Pamphlet - drawn up in July. p. 186-27.

* Emotional blackmail by Watson - to stay in.
Brooks. after he left, nothing to stop anyone from
leaving. 193. - 20 et seq.

Breakdown p. 196

Realized - what we did in itself
futile & stupid - not ideal, personal frustration.
* I was not in a position to give evidence against
ROY - apart from - was a man ROY. 197-3
- left two people: they had played an
exceptionally minor role in the organisation -
names - Kemp, & Mr. Brooks - who left
the organisation

**
p. 198. Not in position - evidence against ROY.
Miss Kemp & Mr. Brooks - believed v.
light sentence. 198. - 8.

Possible de Keller joined in Sept. 1962.

202. -10.
Org. had no long term aims, no constitution
that de Keller saw. p. 203. - top

* p. 204 - Objectives - acts to arouse
public consciousness - re injustices of apartheid

Spike inactive for long period p. 206 - top

Pamphlet
document - not shown to Spike. p. 214.
10.

* Rank & file did not see pamphlet -
Miss Kemp knew nothing of it. p. 216
10.

Can't say about ROY.

* Student concern - feeling high. 220
-25.
Tremendous ferment - on campus -
frustration.