EXTRACTS FROM WORKING GROUP MINUTES OF THE WEEK OF 9 MARCH - REGARDING CO-ORDINATION OF THE WORKING GROUPS

From Draft Minutes of WG3 of 9 March:

- 4.3 Co-ordination of WGs 2,3 and 4
 - 4.3.1 The issue of co-ordination between the working groups was discussed and a recommendation from the MC read.
 - 4.3.2 <u>It was agreed</u> that the steering committees of WG3 and WG4 should meet. L Landers will coordinate with S Sigcau on this.

From Draft Minutes of WGSC3 of 9 March

- 4. Meeting with WGSC4
 - L Landers would communicate with S Sigcau and advise the WGSC3 of a meeting date.

From Draft Minutes of WG4 of 9 March

6.3.1.3 Liaison was need with sub-group 2 and WG2 and 3. Their minutes have been requested by sub-group 2.

From Draft Minutes of WGSC4 of 9 March

Note: As requested, the chairperson of Working Group 4 has set up a meeting with Working Group 3 Steering Committee for Tuesday 24 March 1992 at 17h00.

From Draft Minutes of WG4 SG1 of 9 March

- 4.2 The fourth paragraph of point 7.2.1 will be amended to read as follows:

 It was agreed that the will of the people needs to be tested as soon as possible. In order to ascertain at what stage the referendum should take place, it was felt necessary to liaise with working groups 2 and 3. It was agreed to suggest to working group 4 that a sub-committee be formed to liaise between these three working groups.
- Point 7.2.5 will be amended to read as follows:

 Concern was expressed about the capacity of Codesa to play the kind of role that the sub-group envisaged for it unless its financial position could be improved. It was felt that Codesa should be bolstered by the international community and allowed to raise funds. It was felt that this problem should be drawn to the attention of working groups 2 and 3.
- 5.3 Liaison with working groups 2 and 3

Delegates were informed that the steering committee of working group 4 had suggested that sub-group 1 include the request for liaison between sub-group 1 and working groups 2 and 3 in its report to working group 4.

- 6.1 Review of progress made
 - 6.1 The Chairperson presented a summary of proceedings.
 - 6.1.1 During the ensuing discussion it was agreed that with regard to eligibility of voters sub-group 1 is unable to make a recommendation until it has heard the recommendations of sub-group 2 of working group 4 and working groups 2 and 3.

From Draft Minutes of WG4 SG2 of 9 March

8.3 <u>It was further agreed</u> that the question of the mechanics, time span and implementation of the restoration of citizenship be referred to WG5.