

KEY ISSUES TO BE ADDRESSED

THE BALANCE BETWEEN CENTRAL, REGIONAL AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT

We in the ANC believe in strong and effective central government for national tasks, strong and effective regional government for regional tasks, and strong and effective local government for local tasks. The problem is not how to set each level against the others, but how best to harmonise their functioning so as to face the daunting problems of the country and develop a national culture of democracy, non-racialism, equality and respect.

The issue of balancing the tiers of government does not pose itself in a constitutional vacuum, nor is it the only means of preventing over-concentration of power.

At all levels, the principles of non-racial, non-sexist democracy apply. At all levels, the fundamental rights and freedoms guaranteed by the Bill of Rights are secured. At all levels, checks and balances operate. At all levels, the principles of multi-party democracy, with regular elections on the basis of universal suffrage on a common voters roll, is applicable.

At the same time, the massive problems facing the country, the questions of inequality, violence, lack of educational and health facilities, malnutrition, unemployment and homelessness, affect all levels of government, and cannot be solved at one level only. Not one of these issues can be resolved without a national effort and national resources; equally, none of them can be dealt with satisfactorily without regional and local involvement.

THE QUESTION OF DEMARCATING BOUNDARIES

We are in the strange position in South Africa of nearing agreement on where the regions should be without having decided what their powers and functions should be. There appears to be growing acceptance of the basic scheme as set out in the plan for economic development regions adopted by the government in 1981. The important thing about these regions was that they were based on objective criteria of a socio-economic character, and were not related to the racial and ethnic divisions created by the policy of apartheid.

In other words, the regions are to be created to overcome the ends of division, underdevelopment and apartheid, rather than to serve them. They achieve their true status, which is

of
to promote democracy and development. They do not fight the nation, they contribute to and enrich the nation. At the same time, the nation is present in every region, and contributes to and enriches the region.

Since it is not the function of this group to go beyond determining the general principles to be enshrined in the new constitution, we merely state at this stage that regions should be demarcated according to non-racial, non-ethnic socio-economic criteria with a view to promoting democratic involvement and development throughout the whole country.

Should it become necessary to establish interim regions for purposes of interim government or for reasons of holding elections for the constitution-making body, we can elaborate interim proposals. Basically, the position which we are discussing is to correlate the nine economic development regions with the 1910 boundaries. This would result in creating a tenth region, namely, Border/Kei, in addition to Natal, Eastern, Western and Northern Cape, the OFS, the PEV, and Western, Northern and Eastern Transvaal. It would also mean that Sasolberg returned to the OFS and Mabatho/Mafikeng to the Northern Cape. Special arrangements could also be made for minor border adjustments if required.

More detailed

THE POWERS AND FUNCTIONS OF THE CENTRE AND THE REGIONS

The powers and relationship of the centre and the regions should be similar to those that existed between the Central Government and the Provinces before the Tricameral system was introduced ten years ago. The national government would have exclusive powers in a number of areas such as defence, fiscal and monetary policy, and international relations. It would exercise concurrent powers with the regions in areas such as education, health, agriculture and development. In these areas, the national legislature will lay down general policy and ensure appropriate funding, while the regional governments will be largely responsible for implementation.

competence
The regions will have power to pass laws within the area of their ~~powers~~ and binding in their regions only, save that, just as a Provincial Ordinance could not be repugnant to a national law, so a regional law could not go against a national law.

We favour the constitution indicating what the powers and functions of the regions should be, either in general language or through itemised lists. This should be done in such a way, however, as not to preclude subsequent

alteration, particularly if ~~by~~ consensus, where experience suggests a change. ↘

In other words, the centre could influence the regions by means of general legislation and through funding, but could not dissolve regional government once duly elected, nor strip it of its powers.

We support the idea of languages widely spoken in any region being used for governmental and other purposes in those regions.