

AGENDA AND DOCUMENTATION

for the meeting of the

PLANNING COMMITTEE

to be held at 14H00 on Monday

14 JUNE 1993

**CONTENTS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE PACK
FOR THE MEETING TO BE HELD ON 14 JUNE 1993 AT 14H00**

	Item	Page no
1.	Draft agenda for the Planning Committee meeting to be held on 14 June 1993 at 14h00	1a
2.	Draft minutes of meeting of the Planning Committee of 25 May 1993	2
3.	Draft minutes of meeting of the Planning Committee of 28 May 1993	10
4.	Draft minutes of meeting of the Planning Committee of 1 June 1993	19
5.	Proposed Resolution on the Election Date	34
6.	Adopted Resolution on the Election Date	36
7.	Report on Participation	37
8.	Extracts from Minutes of the Negotiating Council of 3 June 1993	42
9.	Correspondence to be noted	43
10.	Draft Agenda for the meeting of the Negotiating Council on:	
	10.1 15 June 1993	46
	10.2 17 June 1993	47
	10.3 18 June 1993	48
11.	Meetings Schedule	49

**DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE
TO BE HELD AT 14H00 ON MONDAY 14 JUNE 1993 AT
THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE**

Chairperson : B Alexander

1. **Moment of Prayer/Meditation**
2. **Welcome and Attendance**
3. **Ratification of Agenda**
4. **Minutes**
 - 4.1 Minutes of the meeting of:
 - 4.1.1 25 May 1993 (Addendum A1, p2-9)
 - 4.1.2 28 May 1993 (Addendum A2, p10-18)
 - 4.1.3 1 June 1993 (Addendum A3, p19-33)
 - 4.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of:
 - 4.2.1 25 May 1993
 - 4.2.2 28 May 1993
 - 4.2.3 1 June 1993
5. **Substantive Issues**
 - 5.1 SA Government/PAC Report Back
 - 5.2 Structuring and order of the discussion of Reports from Technical Committees in the Negotiating Council
 - 5.3 Reports from the Technical Committees to be discussed in the Negotiating Council:
 - 5.3.1 Violence
 - 5.3.2 Constitutional Issues
 - 5.3.3 Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition
 - 5.3.4 Amendment or Repeal of Legislation Impeding Free Political Activity and Discriminatory Legislation
 - 5.3.5 Independent Election Commission
 - 5.3.6 Independent Media Commission
 - 5.3.7 TEC and its Sub-Councils
 - 5.4 Commission on the Delimitation of Regions/Provinces/States
 - 5.5 Election Date
6. **Procedural Issues**
 - 6.1 Media Arrangements
 - 6.2 New Participants
 - 6.2.1 Report on Participation
 - 6.2.2 Natal Traditional Leaders
 - 6.3 Matters arising from the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 1 June 1993 (see p42):
 - 6.3.1 Item 5.2.9.9 of the minutes refers

la

- 6.3.2 Item 5.2.9.12 of the minutes refers
- 6.3.3 Item 5.4.4 of the minutes refers
- 6.3.4 Item 7.1.3 of the minutes refers
- 6.4 Correspondence to be noted (see Addendum B, p43-45)

- 7. **Administrative and Financial Matters**
 - 7.1 Financial Report
 - 7.2 Administrative issues

- 8. **Report to and Agenda of Negotiating Council** (see Addendum C, p46-48)

- 9. **Meetings Schedule** (see Addendum D, p49)

- 10. **Closure**

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THEY ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 25 MAY 1993 AT 09H20 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT: R Cronje
C Eglin
PJ Gordhan (Chairperson by rotation)
FT Mdlalose
RP Meyer
MC Ramaphosa
J Slovo
Z Titus
M Webb

T Eloff (Administration)
G Hutchings (Minutes)

1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

2. Welcome and Attendance

2.1 All members were welcomed.

2.2 It was noted that B Alexander was absent due to a possible arrest by the SAP.

2.3 Before the ratification of the agenda it was agreed to discuss the events surrounding the arrests of PAC members and the impact on the meeting of the Negotiating Council.

3. Events surrounding the arrests of PAC Members

3.1 It was noted that G Ebrahim of the PAC had requested to address the meeting of the Negotiating Council before the ratification of the agenda.

3.2 It was agreed that G Ebrahim initially brief the Planning Committee on his proposed presentation to the Negotiating Council. In this regard the following was noted:

- 3.2.1 That the PAC as from then were not withdrawing from the Multi-Party Negotiating Process; but
- 3.2.2 The PAC requested that the meeting of the Negotiating Council be adjourned for the day.
- 3.3 After discussion and the noting of various views of members, it was agreed that, PJ Gordhan, on behalf of the Planning Committee report the following to the Negotiating Council:
 - 3.3.1 That the Planning Committee had discussed the issue;
 - 3.3.2 That the Planning Committee agreed that nothing should be done to harm or delay the negotiating process or the work of the Negotiating Council in anyway whatsoever by any participant.
 - 3.3.3 Furthermore, that the Planning Committee agreed that the negotiating process should be protected from any negativity and a constructive spirit should be maintained;
 - 3.3.4 That the negotiating process should move on and attempt to make up the time lost as a result of this event.
 - 3.3.5 In light of the above the Planning Committee would propose the following to the Negotiating Council:
 - 3.3.5.1 That after the welcome of members, G Ebrahim, on behalf of the PAC, be allowed to address the meeting;
 - 3.3.5.2 That the Planning Committee recommends that the Negotiating Council agrees to the request of the PAC to adjourn the day's proceedings;
 - 3.3.5.3 That the Planning Committee recommends that a special session of the Negotiating Council be convened on Thursday 27 May 1993 at 19h00 to give specific attention to this matter;
 - 3.3.5.4 Furthermore, that the Planning Committee recommends that the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 28 May 1993 at 10h00 proceed as per the agenda.
- 3.4 At this point the meeting adjourned, but before adjournment it was agreed that the Sub-Committee requests the Technical Committees to carry on with their work.

The meeting adjourned at 12h20 to join the Negotiating Council meeting.

After that meeting and lunch, the Planning Committee reconvened at 14h15.

3.5 In the light of three draft resolutions having been presented in the meeting of the Negotiating Council, it was agreed by participants that the Planning Committee meet over lunch to draft a resolution that would find the maximum agreement in the Council. This was done (see Addendum A). This proposed draft resolution was taken back to the Negotiating Council.

3.6 It was noted that R Meyer reserved his position with regard to the proposed draft resolution.

The meeting adjourned at 14h40 to join the Negotiating Council.

After the adjournment of the Negotiating Council, the Planning Committee reconvened at 15h20.

4. Administrative and Financial Matters

4.1 Report with regard to the Couriers:

4.1.1 The report as presented was agreed to (see Addendum B).

4.1.2 It was noted that any problems experienced in this regard should be raised directly with the Administration.

4.2 Meetings Schedule:

It was agreed to consider the proposed schedule at the next meeting of the Planning Committee.

5. Substantive Issues - Management of Technical Committees

5.1 Technical Committee on Violence:

5.1.1 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee convey the following to the Technical Committee on behalf of the Planning Committee:

5.1.1.1 That the Technical Committee is to prepare a preliminary report and recommendations on the issues as identified for the next meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 28 May 1993.

5.1.1.2 This report should be finalised by Thursday 27 May 1993 at 15h00.

5.2 **Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues:**

5.1.2 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee convey the following to the Technical Committee on behalf of the Planning Committee:

5.1.2.1 After discussion it was agreed to request the Technical Committee to reconsider the report in the light of the decision of the Negotiating Council of 18 May 1993.

5.1.2.2 In light of the above and as a result of the need to have more pointed discussion in the Negotiating Council, the Technical Committee be encouraged to submit drafts on:

- * Various constitutional principles; including
- * The constitutional principle/s on states/regions/provinces.

Where differences existed, the Technical Committee would prepare drafts on each option and may even propose a draft compromise position.

5.1.2.3 The Sub-Committee was requested to liaise with the Technical Committee in this regard.

5.1.2.4 It was noted that the drafts should be completed by Thursday 27 May 1993 at 14h00 for the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 28 May 1993.

5.3 **Technical Committee on Fundamental Human Rights During the Transition:**

5.3.1 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee convey the following to the Technical Committee on behalf of the Planning Committee:

- * That the Technical Committee provide draft criteria for assessing human rights during the transition and indicate what criteria were used. This report should be completed for submission to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 28 May 1993;

- * Item 5.3 of the Technical Committee's Second Report refers : That the Technical Committee be instructed to submit

formulations;

- * Item 5.4 of the Technical Committee's Second Report refers :
That discussion on the third category be continued.

5.4 Technical Committee on the Independent Election Commission:

5.4.1 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee convey the following to the Technical Committee on behalf of the Planning Committee:

- * That participants will be requested to submit inputs with possible amendments and/or additions to the draft legislation by Friday 28 May 1993 if possible, or at the latest Monday 31 May 1993 at 12h00. These will be forwarded to the Technical Committee.
- * That the Technical Committee should present a further report to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Tuesday 1 June 1993.

5.5 Technical Committee on the Independent Media Commission:

5.5.1 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee convey the following to the Technical Committee on behalf of the Planning Committee:

- * That participants will be requested to submit inputs with possible amendments and/or additions to the draft legislation by Friday 28 May 1993 if possible, or at the latest Monday 31 May 1993 at 12h00. These will be forwarded to the Technical Committee.
- * That the Technical Committee should present a further report to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Tuesday 1 June 1993.

5.6 Technical Committee on the Amendment or Repeal of Legislation impeding Free Political Activity and Discriminatory Legislation:

It was noted that a report will only be received for the meeting of the Negotiating Council on 1 June 1993 as per the instructions of the Negotiating Council at its last meeting.

5.7 Technical Committee on the TEC and its Sub-Councils:

5.7.1 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee convey the following to the Technical Committee on behalf of the Planning Committee:

- * That the Technical Committee should continue drafting;
- * Where there are different views on a particular issue, the Technical Committee should submit drafts on each view;
- * This drafting should be completed by Wednesday at 14h00 for consideration at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 28 May 1993.

5.8 Commission on the Delimitation of Regions/Provinces/States:

5.8.1 Terms of Reference of the Commission:

- 5.8.1.1 Proposed Terms of Reference were submitted to the Planning Committee for consideration by the Sub-Committee.
- 5.8.1.2 After discussion it was agreed accept the Terms of Reference as amended. Furthermore, it was agreed to mandate the Sub-Committee and Z Titus to make the necessary amendments. The amended document would be placed before the Planning Committee for final approval on Friday 28 May 1993.

5.8.2 Proposed Members of the Commission:

- 5.8.2.1 A list of proposed members of the Commission was submitted to the Planning Committee for consideration.
- 5.8.2.2 After discussion it was agreed that the Sub-Committee submit a further list to the Planning committee on Friday 28 May 1993, taking into account the concerns and views expressed.
- 5.8.2.3 The Sub-Committee is to keep the Planning Committee informed in this regard. If possible, the list of names and information on each proposed member should be faxed to the Planning Committee before Friday 28 May 1993. Furthermore, the Planning Committee could make additional suggestions to the Sub-Committee.

6. Closure

- 6.1 It was agreed that the Planning Committee meet on Friday 28 May 1993 at 08h30 to 10h00.



6.2 The meeting closed at 17h30.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of 1993 and the amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on 1993.

.....
CHAIRPERSON

RESOLUTION

This Negotiating Council meeting on Tuesday 25 May 1993 at the World Trade Centre:

Notes with Concern and Condemns:

1. The insensitive manner in which the recent actions and arrests against the Leadership of the PAC were undertaken;

Is Appalled At:

1. The possible negative impact of these events on the Negotiation Process and unnecessary delay in the proceedings of the Negotiating Council;

Call on the South African Government To:

1. Immediately charge or release those that have been arrested;
2. Return all materials seized from the PAC;
3. Take all necessary steps to remedy this unfortunate situation and undo the damage done to the Negotiation Process;

And Resolves To:

1. Meet on Thursday 27 May 1993 at 19h00 to examine matters arising from this issue in greater detail.

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THEY ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON FRIDAY 28 MAY 1993 AT 08H45 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT: B Alexander
 R Cronje
 PJ Gordhan
 FT Mdlalose (Chairperson by rotation)
 RP Meyer
 MC Ramaphosa
 J Slovo
 Z Titus
 M Webb

 D de Villiers (as incoming Chairperson of the Negotiating Council)

 T Eloff (Administration)
 G Hutchings (Minutes)

APOLOGIES: C Eglin

1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

2. Welcome and Attendance

All members were welcomed.

3. Ratification of Agenda

The agenda was ratified with the following amendment:

- * The addition of a new Item 5.1 to read "SA Government/PAC Issue".
- * The subsequent numbers were amended accordingly.

4. Minutes

Due to time constraints it was agreed to defer this issue to the next meeting of the Planning Committee on Tuesday 1 June 1993.

5. Substantive Issues

5.1 SA Government/PAC Issue:

5.1.1 It was noted that a statement on the outcome of the bi-lateral was prepared and would be distributed at the meeting of the Negotiating Council (see Addendum A).

5.1.2 A further bi-lateral would be held on 1 June 1993.

5.2 Receipt of Second and Supplementary Reports from the Technical Committees:

5.2.1 It was agreed that each Technical Committee would present an overview of their Second and Third Reports highlighting issues that require decision or guidance from the Negotiating Council to be able to continue with their work.

5.2.2 Each report should be dealt with in its entirety.

5.2.3 After the presentation of the reports, only questions of clarity may be addressed to the Technical Committees. Debate/discussion should only occur between participants and not with the Technical Committees.

5.3 Commission on the Delimitation of Regions/States/Provinces:

5.3.1 Proposed Composition of the Commission:

5.3.1.1 The revised recommended composition of and resolution on the Commission from the Sub-Committee was accepted and would be forwarded to the Negotiating Council (see Addendum B).

5.3.1.2 It was noted that experts would be able to forward submissions to the Commission and assist the Commission at its request.

5.3.1.3 It was agreed to appoint B Nomvete and F Smit as Co-Chairpersons of the Commission.

5.3.1.4 It was agreed that the Negotiating Council meet in

committee on this issue and that the current Chairperson of the Planning Committee, FT Mdlalose, presents this issue to the Negotiating Council on behalf of the Planning Committee.

5.3.2 The Terms of Reference (as contained in the resolution) as amended were agreed to (see Addendum B).

5.3 Election Date:

It was noted that this item remain on the agenda until dealt with.

6. Procedural Issues

6.1 Media Arrangements:

It was noted that no progress had been made on the issue of a contractor for video and sound relay, and that the SABC was still providing the service to relay the proceedings of the Negotiating Council.

6.2 New Participants:

6.2.1 Progress Report from Administration:

It was noted that due to an extension of the deadline for receipt of applications (as requested for by various applicants), the processed applications and the newspaper survey results will be handed to the Sub-Committee today for processing. The Sub-Committee will submit a recommendation to the next meeting of the Planning Committee on Tuesday 1 June 1993, for a recommendation to be submitted to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on 1 June 1993, who in turn will submit a recommendation to the meeting of the Negotiating Forum on 3 June 1993.

6.2.2 Natal Traditional Leaders:

It was noted that this issue is still under discussion by the relevant participants.

6.3 Request from the National Economic Forum:

It was agreed to request a written report from the National Economic Forum to clarify the proposed issues for discussion. Following on this, it was agreed that the Sub-Committee be mandated to look into this issue. A decision would then be made in terms of the request.

6.4 Letter from the Business Forum:

This was noted and it was agreed to distribute the letter to the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 28 May 1993.

6.5 Open Letter from the National Peace Committee:

This was noted and it was agreed to distribute the letter to the Negotiating Council meeting on 28 May 1993.

6.6 Request from the Convenor of the Technical Committee on Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition:

It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that a request from the Convenor of the Technical Committee to present their report first in the meeting of the Negotiating Council for logistical reasons, be agreed to.

7. Administrative and Financial Matters

7.1 It was noted that a Financial Report will be distributed to members at the next meeting of the Planning Committee on Tuesday 1 June 1993.

8. Report to and Agenda of the Negotiating Council

It was agreed that the agenda for the meeting of the Negotiating Council on 1 June 1993 remain the same as for the meeting of 28 May 1993.

9. Meetings Schedule

9.1 Due to time constraints, it was agreed that the Administration liaise with Planning Committee members to reach an agreement on the proposed meetings schedule. This should then be distributed in the meeting of the Negotiating Council.

9.2 It was agreed that the formal invitations for the meeting of the Negotiating Forum be sent out.

10. Closure

The meeting was closed at 11h00.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of 1993 and the amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on 1993.

.....
CHAIRPERSON

**JOINT STATEMENT BY THE SOUTH AFRICAN GOVERNMENT AND THE
PAN AFRICANIST CONGRESS OF AZANIA**

Delegations of the two parties met today as agreed to by the Negotiating Council at a special meeting on 27 May 1993. The PAC was represented by Mr Benny Alexander, Mr Gora Ebrahim, Mr Willy Seriti and Mrs Patricia de Lille. The South African Government was represented by Minister Roelf Meyer, Minister Dawie de Villiers, Mr Fanie van der Merwe and Maj-Genl Krappie Engelbrecht.

The following was agreed upon:

1. The PAC undertakes to discuss its commitment to armed action and its position on violence at its NEC meeting on 29 May 1993 and undertakes to give a clear unambiguous report on its positions in this regard at a bilateral meeting to take place on Tuesday 1 June 1993.
2. The Government undertakes:
 - that Mr Thomas Likotsi, Mr Waters Thoboti and Dr Solly Skosana will be released immediately;
 - that Mr Jackie Seroke will be charged with the possession of an unlicensed fire-arm and brought to court today; and
 - that a further report on the other detainees including the following NEC members, will be submitted at the bilateral meeting on 1 June 1993:
 - Mr Enoch Zulu
 - Mr Maxwell Nemadzivhanani
 - Mr Abel Dube.
3. The South African Police will return material and equipment seized, not required for further investigation or prosecution, as from today.
4. Other outstanding issues will be on the agenda for the bilateral meeting on 1 June 1993.

ISSUED BY MINISTER ROELF MEYER AND MR BENNY ALEXANDER
KEMPTON PARK
28 MAY 1993

Enquiries: Mr W Seriti Tel: (012) 326-0716
Maj-Genl K Engelbrecht Tel: (012) 310-1238

**RESOLUTION BY THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TO
THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL MEETING OF 28 MAY 1993
ON THE COMMISSION ON REGIONS/STATES/PROVINCES**

WHEREAS there appears to be broad agreement that the most suitable form of government for the future will be one which involves an allocation of powers to national and regional governments, while the differences that exist relate essentially to the boundaries, powers and functions of the regions/states/provinces and the process whereby such differences may be resolved;

REALISING that regional boundaries will be relevant to the electoral process, as well as to the structures of the Constitution;

ACCEPTING that the powers and functions of regions/states/provinces are crucial to issues such as the form of state and self-determination, and will be a fundamental part of the constitution/s;

CONVINCED that while the demarcation of boundaries is a task which appropriately should be performed by a broadly based body of suitably qualified people, who will hear representations from the public at large and from different areas of the country, issues regarding the functions and powers of regions/states/provinces can at this stage of the negotiations best be dealt with by a smaller technical committee;

DETERMINED to ensure that we achieve a peaceful and negotiated agreement on the democratic transformation of our country within the time frames we have set for ourselves; and

HAVING charged a technical committee with formulating as a matter of priority, recommendations on the structures, powers and functions of regions/states/provinces, on constitutional principles and on the constitution making process;

WE, THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL hereby appoint

Mr Bax Nomvete and Professor Flip Smit
as Co-Chairpersons, and

1. Basson Deon, Prof
2. Bernstein Ann, Ms
3. Daphne Paul, Mr
4. Du Plessis A, Mr
5. Govinden Betty, Ms
6. Gwagwa Lulu, Ms
7. Khumalo JAM, Mr Justice
8. Muthien Evonne, Dr
9. Ncamashe-Burns, Chief
10. Nkuhlu W, Professor
11. Rautenbach I, Professor
12. Reyneke Koos, Mr
13. Steyn Anna, Professor

as members of a Commission on Regions/States/Provinces to make recommendations to us, the Negotiating Council within six weeks, on the delimitation of regions/states/provinces.

FOR THE PURPOSES of its recommendations, the Commission shall:

1. Take into consideration, inter alia, the following:
 - 1.1 Historical boundaries, including provincial, magisterial and district boundaries and infrastructures;
 - 1.2 Administrative considerations including the availability or non-availability of infrastructures and nodal points for services;
 - 1.3 The need or otherwise to rationalise existing structures (including the TBVC States, self-governing territories and regional governments);
 - 1.4 The necessity of limiting financial and other costs as much as is reasonably possible;
 - 1.5 The need to minimise inconvenience to the people;
 - 1.6 The need to minimise the dislocation of services;
 - 1.7 Demographic considerations;
 - 1.8 Economic viability;
 - 1.9 Development potential;
 - 1.10 Cultural and language realities;

17

2. Allow interested parties and persons to submit their views and recommendations within a specified period, which should not be less than one month after an invitation in this regard has been published, and take these into account;
3. Be free to take cognisance of any other material it might wish to collect; and
4. Take cognisance of any progress made in the Negotiating Council by way of agreements on constitutional matters.

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THEY ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 1 JUNE 1993 AT 10H30 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT: B Alexander
R Cronje
C Eglin
PJ Gordhan
FT Mdlalose
RP Meyer
MC Ramaphosa
J Slovo
Z Titus
M Webb (Chairperson by rotation)

T Eloff (Administration)
G Hutchings (Minutes)

1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

2. Welcome and Attendance

2.1 All members were welcomed.

2.2 As four members following alphabetically on FT Mdlalose (the last Chairperson) asked to be excused from this function, it was agreed that M Webb chair this meeting.

3. Ratification of Agenda

3.1 Before ratification of the agenda, B Alexander requested to be excused from the meeting of the Planning Committee. This need arose as a result of the bi-lateral meeting between the SA Government and the PAC and the need for the PAC to consult before the bi-lateral meeting resumed at 13h00. B Alexander therefore tendered his apologies to the meeting.

3.2 Reference was made to the placards that demonstrators in support of the PAC were brandishing outside the gates of the World Trade Centre and appeal was made to B Alexander that PAC members should avoid statements that create deep emotions.

3.3 The agenda was ratified with no amendments.

4. Minutes

4.1 Minutes of the meeting of 24 May 1993:

4.1.1 The minutes were adopted, with the following amendment, noting that Item 5.1.3.1 had been amended in line with views expressed at the previous meeting of the Planning Committee:

* Item 5.1.2.1 refers, replace the word "must" with the word "should".

4.1.2 Matters arising out of the minutes:

* Item 6.1.2 refers. It was noted that participants in the Negotiating Council should be made aware of the suggestion of the Planning Committee that no discussion should be entered into with the Technical Committees and only questions of clarity and information should be addressed to the Technical Committee. This should be done before the Technical Committees presented their reports to the Negotiating Council.

5. Substantive Issues

5.1 Reports from the Technical Committees:

5.1.1 Technical Committee on Violence:

It was agreed that the Report from the Technical Committee on Violence be discussed at the next meeting of the Negotiating Council on Thursday 3 June 1993,

5.1.2 Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues:

5.1.2.1 It was suggested that an in principle decision had to be taken on the transition process for the Technical Committee to be able to proceed with their work.

5.1.2.2 It was suggested that in the meeting of the Negotiating

Council a draft resolution on a transition process be put forward. This should be a result of discussion in the Negotiating Council. This would provide a framework for further discussion.

5.1.2.3 As the Constitutional Principles and process were linked, the former should be discussed first and this would lead to discussion on the process.

5.1.2.4 With regard to the reports of the Technical Committee, the following process was agreed upon:

- * To refer the Negotiating Council to the three reports of the Technical Committee;
- * To have the Negotiating Council note that there is a link between the decision to be taken on the Constitutional Principles (including Constitutional Principles on regional powers) on the one hand, and the decision on the constitution-making process on the other hand;
- * To encourage the Negotiating Council to discuss these issues in this way; through which areas of difference will begin to emerge and appropriate mechanisms would have to be sought in the course of the debate to resolve the differences.

5.1.2.5 In this way the key issues will begin to be negotiated at the meeting of the Negotiating Council. The suggested framework leaves room for differences to be resolved and on the other hand creates the space for consensus to be reached. This would lay a constructive foundation for the debate in the Negotiating Council.

5.1.3 Technical Committee on Fundamental Human Rights During the Transition:

It was noted that although the report from the Technical Committee would be distributed at the meeting of the Negotiating Council in the afternoon, the report itself would not be discussed in that meeting but at the next meeting of the Negotiating Council on 3 June 1993.

5.1.4 Technical Committee on the Independent Election Commission:

It was agreed that A Tredoux from the Department of Home Affairs replaces L Laubscher in this Technical Committee.

5.1.5 Technical Committee on the Independent Media Commission:

- 5.1.5.1 It was noted that the Planning Committee agrees in principle with the establishment of the Independent Media Commission.
- 5.1.5.2 The issue of the passing of the draft legislation was raised and discussed.
- 5.1.5.3 It was suggested there should be an attempt to get the first clause of the Draft Bill accepted in principle by the Negotiating Council, so that the principle of the IMC is established. Furthermore, a progress report should be obtained from the Technical Committee as to whether it would be possible to consider the second draft of the IMC Bill at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Thursday 3 June 1993. Enquiries should also be made as to how far the drafting of the second Draft Bill (IBA) had progressed.
- 5.1.5.4 It was agreed that the report be tabled and dealt with holistically at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Thursday 3 June 1993. It was suggested that a resolution be drafted to deal with this issue in the Negotiating Council.
- 5.1.5.5 It was agreed that this issue be revisited at the next meeting of the Planning Committee on Thursday 3 June 1993.

5.2 Commission on Regions:

5.2.1 Possible addition of a woman to the Commission on the Delimitation of Regions/Provinces/States:

A brief report was presented by the Sub-Committee to the Planning Committee. After discussion it was agreed to report to the Negotiating Council that this issue is still under consideration and would be dealt with at the next meeting of the Planning Committee on Thursday 3 June 1993.

5.2.2 Logistical Arrangements:

- 5.2.2.1 It was noted that a letter was sent out to all Commission Members requesting them to formally accept their appointments.

5.2.2.2 It was noted that their first meeting would take place in the week commencing 7 June 1993.

5.2.2.3 It was agreed to mandate the Sub-Committee to liaise with the Administration on this issue (for example on the arrangements with regard to staffing, equipment, etc.)

5.3 Election Date:

It was noted that this item remain on the agenda until dealt with.

6. Procedural Issues

6.1 Structuring of the discussion of Reports from Technical Committees in the meeting of the Negotiating Council:

6.1.1 It was agreed to recommend that the Negotiating Council meeting of 1 June 1993 adjourn at 19h00 (as long as the debate on Constitutional Issues was completed) and not at 22h00 as scheduled.

6.1.2 It was agreed that participants should spend time debating amongst themselves and not with members of the Technical Committees.

6.1.3 The debate should be structured in such a way that the Negotiating Council will give guidance to the Technical Committees at the end of the relevant debate.

6.2 Media Arrangements:

It was noted that the arrangements for the media at this stage remained the same as previously reported.

6.3 New Participants:

6.3.1 A report on this issue was presented by the Sub-Committee and agreed to as amended (see Addendum A). The recommendations would be submitted to the Negotiating Council for decision.

6.3.2 It was suggested that any new Participants should be notified of the decision of the Negotiating Council to prepare for the Negotiating Forum.

6.3.3 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee interview representatives of Avstig, the African Democratic Movement, the Christian Democratic Party, People's Progressive Party and the National Seoposengwe Party

and submit a recommendation to the Planning Committee.

6.3.4 The Administration was requested to prepare a report on the issue of decisions taken around the participation of Traditional Leaders.

7. **Administrative and Financial Matters**

7.1 A financial report as submitted was noted (see Addendum B).

7.2 It was agreed that members from the Peace Committee participating on the Violence Technical Committee should be remunerated from the Peace Committee and not by this process.

7.3 It was agreed that leaders of delegations should receive documentation packs.

8. **Report to and Agenda of the Negotiating Council**

The agenda as amended was agreed to (see Addendum C).

9. **Meetings Schedule**

9.1 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that the Negotiating Forum be cancelled and replaced with a Negotiating Council meeting.

9.2 The meetings schedule was accepted as amended (see Addendum D). It was noted that if it was possible to have a Negotiating Forum before 25 June 1993 the date will be brought forward.

10. **Closure**

The meeting adjourned at 13h10.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of 1993 and the amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on 1993.

.....
CHAIRPERSON

**REPORT OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TO THE MEETING OF
THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL OF 3 JUNE 1993**

1. Background

The Negotiating Council, in its meeting of 7 May 1993, agreed to the following recommendation of the Planning Committee:

1.1 "Recommended Criteria and Process for New Participants"

Political parties or Organisations to qualify, must show:

1.1.1 Political Parties or Organisations to qualify must show:

1.1.1.1 That it is indeed a political party or organisation intending to participate as such (in the political party or organisation's own name) in the first election under a transitional/new constitution;

1.1.1.2 That it has proven substantial support in a national context;

1.1.1.3 That its admission will enhance the peaceful negotiating process.

1.1.2 Traditional Leaders

The principle of provincial representation should be maintained for the time being, but the problems around the representivity of existing delegations should be addressed in consultation with and a manner acceptable to all concerned. This issue should be discussed in the meeting of the Negotiating Council and, if necessary, be referred back to the Planning Committee.

1.1.3 Other Applicants

It is proposed that applications of organisations who are not political parties or organisations, be refused.

1.1.4 The problem of both the Administrations and political parties in one region participating in the Negotiating Process, has not been resolved and will require further attention.

1.2 Process

The following process for dealing with applications of political parties or organisations is proposed:

- 1.1.2 Applicants should be informed of the criteria and requested to submit whatever facts and arguments they wish to, but they should be required to at least respond to the questionnaire annexed to this report (Annexure A);
- 1.2.2 Administration should cause a newspaper survey over the preceding year to be conducted to establish the type of press coverage every applicant has received;
- 1.2.3 As soon as all the information is at hand in respect of a particular application, it is put to the Negotiating Council for a decision."

(cf. Minutes of the Negotiating Council Meeting of 7 May, 1993, Item 1.)

The Administration therefore embarked on the agreed process and concentrated on those applicants which professed to be political parties or organisations.

2. The Process so far

- 2.1 Fifteen organisations applied to join the Multi-Party Process.
- 2.2 All applicants were requested in writing to supply particulars in support of their applications. These particulars were specified by the Negotiating Council on 7 May, 1993 and forwarded to the applicants on 11 May, 1993.
- 2.3. As at 1 June, 1993, six of those who had applied had not responded to the questionnaire. They are:
 - 2.3.1 People's Democratic Christian Party
 - 2.3.2 United Federal Party
 - 2.3.3 Sindawonye Progressive Party
 - 2.3.4 Reform Party of South Africa
 - 2.3.5 Insika National Party
 - 2.3.6 National Forum

A study of the press coverage for the past year shows no reports in the press about the above six parties in terms of reportage about their activities. There have been reports that the application of the Reform Party of South Africa and of the Insika National Party were rejected at Codesa.

In the light of the above we would **recommend** that their applications be

rejected.

2.4 The following applied and have responded in some measure to the questionnaire:

2.4.1 African Democratic Movement

2.4.2 Christian Democratic Party

2.4.3 People's Progressive Party

2.4.4 Merit People's Party

2.4.5 National Seoposengwe Party

2.4.6 Afrikaner Freedom Foundation

2.4.7 Volks Unity Committee

2.4.8 Third Force Nationalist Party

2.4.9 Green Party of South Africa

3. **Recommendations on applications received**

3.1 The **Volks Unity Committee** telephonically informed the Administration that it was withdrawing its application. Accordingly there is no need to take a decision on this matter.

3.2 **The Third Force Nationalist Party**

Its written response is a photostat copy. It is signed "T.F. Central Committee Collective Leadership". No individual name appears on it and it is not signed by any person. This document was received on 26 May, 1993 and there has been no further documentation received. A study of the press coverage shows no reports covering their activities or their existence. It is impossible to determine whether they really exist, where they are based, at what address they can be contacted, etc.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that their application be turned down.

3.3 **Green Party of South Africa**

Their response was received on 27 May, 1993. There has been no press coverage of their activities. They submit a copy of their constitution. But in their response to the questionnaire there is no information indicating when and

where they may have held a national congress to elect their current national executive as per their constitution. They claim a membership of 13,500 and that they have held a number of meetings. There is no indication of the existence of any of the organisational structures reflected in their constitution. In their response they say they only have offices in Cape Town.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that this application is turned down.

3.4 **The Merit People's Party**

No press coverage save that its application was turned down at Codesa. Its activities are confined to Lenasia. In its response it states that it has two members in the House of Delegates; and that in the 1989 elections the Party contested three seats in Lenasia and won all three. It should also be noted that in this regard, in its response to the Codesa questionnaire it replied somewhat differently; while repeating the statement that three candidates were returned in the 1989 election it went on to say "two members have since joined Solidarity. There is an understanding that independent members of the House of Delegates will be included in the Merit People's Party delegation to Codesa."

In its response to the present questionnaire it also states "estimated membership throughout South Africa (as no efforts are made to sign up members) is at least 10,000 persons." While its activities are Lenasia-based it also claims that it has membership throughout Natal and the Transvaal.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that its application should not be accepted.

3.5 **Afrikaner Freedom Foundation (AVSTIG)**

To date AVSTIG has claimed that it is not a political party. During Codesa it was recognised as an interest group and as such was enabled to make written submissions without enjoying participant status.

In its current response it motivates why it should be accepted as a political organisation intending to participate in the first election. We quote: "Ideally we would thus most certainly take part in elections at the first possible occasion: as a state founding body it would be on state level and in facilitating capacity. But whenever it seems possible to attain or substantially promote these objectives, we would consider positively to take part in elections in another capacity and on other levels" (Our emphasis).

It is **recommended** that the Planning Committee enters into a discussion with this organisation to acquire more information.

3.6 **African Democratic Movement of the Ciskei, the Christian Democratic**

Party of Bophuthatswana, the People's Progressive Party and the National Seoposengwe Party

All the abovementioned parties have submitted responses. The Planning Committee is aware that the Administrations of Ciskei and Bophuthatswana are participating in the process.

The ADM has supported its application with a comprehensive set of press cuttings.

The People's Progressive Party and the National Seoposengwe Party both of which claim to operate in Bophuthatswana and had their applications considered by Codesa. These two have responded to the questionnaire and have been publicly campaigning for inclusion.

It is **recommended** that the Planning Committee further explores the applications of these parties.

4. **Conclusion**

Due to the fact that the meeting of the Negotiating Forum has been postponed, there is adequate time to further investigate the applications of the abovementioned parties. Other applicants should be informed of these decisions.

MULTI-PARTY NEGOTIATING PROCESS

1 June 1993

FINANCIAL REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

1. The provisional estimated expenditure on the Multi-party Negotiating Process (Negotiating Council, Planning Committee, Administration and Security) for April 1993 is R3 million.
2. A detailed analysis of items of actual expenditure for April will only be available by 11 June and for May by middle July. Major accounts for a specific month are only available by the middle of the following month. These then have to be verified before actual expenditure can be determined. Many delegates also do not submit their claims immediately for a specific month.
4. Expenditure in April and May causing concern in a provisional analysis relates to telephones, courier services in respect of documents and the video relays of proceedings of the Negotiating Council.
3. The detailed analysis of expenditure for April will thus be made available to the Planning Committee on 11 June. The Financial Manager is available to reply to questions in this regard.

1dpc06

**DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL
TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY 1 JUNE 1993 AT 14H00**

Chairpersonship - PJ Gordhan assisted by L Landers

1. **Moment of Prayer/Meditation**
2. **Welcome and Attendance**
3. **Ratification of Agenda**
4. **Meetings Schedule**
5. **Minutes**
 - 5.1 Adoption of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of:
 - 5.1.1 27 May 1993
 - 5.1.2 28 May 1993
 - 5.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 27 May and 28 May 1993
6. **Substantive Issues**
 - 6.1 SA Government/PAC Report Back
 - 6.2 Further Reports from the Technical Committees:
 - 6.2.1 Constitutional Issues
 - 6.2.2 TEC and its Sub-Councils
 - 6.2.3 Independent Election Commission
 - 6.2.4 Independent Media Commission
 - 6.3 Commission on the Delimitation of Regions/Provinces/States
 - 6.4 Election Date
7. **Procedural Issues**
 - 7.1 Media Arrangements
 - 7.2 New Participants
8. **Administrative Matters**
9. **Closure**

PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

Planning Committee	Tuesday 1 June 1993	10h00 - 13h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 1 June 1993	14h00 - 22h00
Planning Committee	Wednesday 2 June 1993	18h00 - 21h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 3 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Thursday 3 June 1993	18h00 - 20h00

Technical Committees do detailed work	Monday 7 June 1993 to Friday 11 June 1993	
--	--	--

Planning Committee	Monday 14 June 1993	08h30 - 13h00
Negotiating Council	Monday 14 June 1993	14h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 15 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Thursday 17 June 1993	08h30 - 13h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 17 June 1993	14h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 18 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00

Planning Committee	Monday 21 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 22 June 1993	11h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 23 June 1993	11h00 - 19h00
Planning Committee	Thursday 24 June 1993	08h30 - 11h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 24 June 1993	11h00 - 19h00
NEGOTIATING FORUM	Friday 25 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00

This Negotiating Council meeting on Thursday 3 June 1993 at the World Trade Centre:

Noting:

1. The Declaration of Intent on the Negotiating Process adopted on 7 May 1993;
2. The expectation of all South Africans that the Negotiating Process is concluded constructively, urgently and peacefully;

Further Noting:

1. That the Resolution on Constitutional Issues adopted on 1 June 1993 provides a facilitating framework for discussion on these issues;
2. The progress made by -
 - 2.1 the tabling of generally acceptable proposals emanating from the Technical Committee on Violence;
 - 2.2 agreeing to a substantial number of general constitutional principles;
 - 2.3 establishing the commission on boundaries of regions which will commence its work shortly;
 - 2.4 receiving proposals on the powers, functions and structures of regions in the transition;
 - 2.5 the acceptance in principle of the Fundamental Rights mentioned in paragraph 5.1 and 5.2 of the Second Report of the Technical Committee on Fundamental Rights during the Transition;

Believing:

1. That the setting of a date for the elections will send a ray of hope and optimism throughout the country;
2. That it is vital to inject confidence in the negotiating process;

Therefore resolves that:

1. The Negotiating Council recommends to the Negotiating Forum of 25 June 1993 that the date of the election shall be 27 April 1994, but however with a view to maximise consensus on this matter, the Negotiating Council decides to finalize this matter on 15 June 1993.

Should a final settlement be reached at an earlier date to enable an election to be held earlier, the Negotiating Council should set an earlier date; while the period over which the election is to be held will have to be decided upon in co-operation with the Independent Electoral Commission.

2. Participants wishing to consult with their principals on this matter may do so and report to the Negotiating Forum meeting of 25 June 1993 on their position;

We further resolve:

1. To move towards finality in our negotiations on the constitutional issues referred to in the resolution of 1 June 1993 before the meeting of the Negotiating Forum on 25 June 1993;
2. To work towards the achievement of those goals that will allow for an adequate time-frame for the implementation of decisions on binding constitutional principles, the constitutional framework and the constitution making process and procedures for elections, for the election to take place on that date; and
3. To work towards the creation of conditions conducive to free and fair and peaceful elections;

We Commit Ourselves -

1. To refrain from the use of violence against anyone as a means of attaining political objectives;
2. To reject actions like the above and pronouncements designed or likely to be conducive to a climate of violence;
3. To take effective steps against anyone within our organisations who transgresses in these respects; and
4. To actively and visibly promote a climate conducive to peace, harmony and goodwill among all.

RESOLUTION ON AN ELECTION DATE

The Negotiating Council recommends to the Negotiating Forum of 25 June 1993 that the date of the election shall be 27 April 1994, but however with a view to maximise consensus on this matter, the Negotiating Council decides to finalize this matter on 15 June 1993.

Should a final settlement be reached at an earlier date to enable an election to be held earlier, the Negotiating Council should set an earlier date; while the period over which the election is to be held will have to be decided upon in co-operation with the Independent Electoral Commission.

REPORT OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TO THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL OF 3 JUNE 1993

1. Background

The Negotiating Council, in its meeting of 7 May 1993, agreed to the following recommendation of the Planning Committee:

1.1 "Recommended Criteria and Process for New Participants

1.1.1 Political Parties or Organisations to qualify must show:

1.1.1.1 That it is indeed a political party or organisation intending to participate as such (in the political party or organisation's own name) in the first election under a transitional/new constitution;

1.1.1.2 That it has proven substantial support in a national context;

1.1.1.3 That its admission will enhance the peaceful negotiating process.

1.1.2 Traditional Leaders

The principle of provincial representation should be maintained for the time being, but the problems around the representivity of existing delegations should be addressed in consultation with and a manner acceptable to all concerned. This issue should be discussed in the meeting of the Negotiating Council and, if necessary, be referred back to the Planning Committee.

1.1.3 Other Applicants

It is proposed that applications of organisations who are not political parties or organisations, be refused.

1.1.4 The problem of both the Administrations and political parties in one region participating in the Negotiating Process, has not been resolved and will require further attention.

1.2 Process

The following process for dealing with applications of political parties or organisations is proposed:

1.1.2 Applicants should be informed of the criteria and requested to submit

whatever facts and arguments they wish to, but they should be required to at least respond to the questionnaire annexed to this report (Annexure A);

- 1.2.2 Administration should cause a newspaper survey over the preceding year to be conducted to establish the type of press coverage every applicant has received;
- 1.2.3 As soon as all the information is at hand in respect of a particular application, it is put to the Negotiating Council for a decision."

(cf. Minutes of the Negotiating Council Meeting of 7 May, 1993, Item 1.)

The Administration therefore embarked on the agreed process and concentrated on those applicants which professed to be political parties or organisations.

2. The Process so far

- 2.1 Fifteen organisations applied to join the Multi-Party Process.
- 2.2 All applicants were requested in writing to supply particulars in support of their applications. These particulars were specified by the Negotiating Council on 7 May, 1993 and forwarded to the applicants on 11 May, 1993.
- 2.3. As at 1 June, 1993, six of those who had applied had not responded to the questionnaire. They are:
 - 2.3.1 People's Democratic Christian Party
 - 2.3.2 United Federal Party
 - 2.3.3 Sindawonye Progressive Party
 - 2.3.4 Reform Party of South Africa
 - 2.3.5 Insika National Party
 - 2.3.6 National Forum

A study of the press coverage for the past year shows no reports in the press about the above six parties in terms of reportage about their activities. There have been reports that the application of the Reform Party of South Africa and of the Insika National Party were rejected at Codesa.

In the light of the above we would **recommend** that their applications be rejected.

2.4 The following applied and have responded in some measure to the questionnaire:

2.4.1 African Democratic Movement

2.4.2 Christian Democratic Party

2.4.3 People's Progressive Party

2.4.4 Merit People's Party

2.4.5 National Seoposengwe Party

2.4.6 Afrikaner Freedom Foundation

2.4.7 Volks Unity Committee

2.4.8 Third Force Nationalist Party

2.4.9 Green Party of South Africa

3. **Recommendations on applications received**

3.1 The **Volks Unity Committee** telephonically informed the Administration that it was withdrawing its application. Accordingly there is no need to take a decision on this matter.

3.2 **The Third Force Nationalist Party**

Its written response is a photostat copy. It is signed "T.F. Central Committee Collective Leadership". No individual name appears on it and it is not signed by any person. This document was received on 26 May, 1993 and there has been no further documentation received. A study of the press coverage shows no reports covering their activities or their existence. It is impossible to determine whether they really exist, where they are based, at what address they can be contacted, etc.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that their application be turned down.

3.3 **Green Party of South Africa**

Their response was received on 27 May, 1993. There has been no press coverage of their activities. They submit a copy of their constitution. But in their response to the questionnaire there is no information indicating when and where they may have held a national congress to elect their current national executive as per their constitution. They claim a membership of 13,500 and

that they have held a number of meetings. There is no indication of the existence of any of the organisational structures reflected in their constitution. In their response they say they only have offices in Cape Town.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that this application is turned down.

3.4 **The Merit People's Party**

No press coverage save that its application was turned down at Codesa. Its activities are confined to Lenasia. In its response it states that it has two members in the House of Delegates; and that in the 1989 elections the Party contested three seats in Lenasia and won all three. It should also be noted that in this regard, in its response to the Codesa questionnaire it replied somewhat differently; while repeating the statement that three candidates were returned in the 1989 election it went on to say "two members have since joined Solidarity. There is an understanding that independent members of the House of Delegates will be included in the Merit People's Party delegation to Codesa."

In its response to the present questionnaire it also states "estimated membership throughout South Africa (as no efforts are made to sign up members) is at least 10,000 persons." While its activities are Lenasia-based it also claims that it has membership throughout Natal and the Transvaal.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that its application should not be accepted.

3.5 **Afrikaner Freedom Foundation (AVSTIG)**

To date AVSTIG has claimed that it is not a political party. During Codesa it was recognised as an interest group and as such was enabled to make written submissions without enjoying participant status.

In its current response it motivates why it should be accepted as a political organisation intending to participate in the first election. We quote: "Ideally we would thus most certainly take part in elections at the first possible occasion: as a state founding body it would be on state level and in facilitating capacity. But whenever it seems possible to attain or substantially promote these objectives, we would consider positively to take part in elections in another capacity and on other levels" (Our emphasis).

It is **recommended** that the Planning Committee enters into a discussion with this organisation to acquire more information.

3.6 **African Democratic Movement of the Ciskei, the Christian Democratic Party of Bophutatswana, the People's Progressive Party and the National Seoposengwe Party**

All the abovementioned parties have submitted responses. The Planning Committee is aware that the Administrations of Ciskei and Bophutatswana are participating in the process.

The ADM has supported its application with a comprehensive set of press cuttings.

The People's Progressive Party and the National Seoposengwe Party both of which claim to operate in Bophutatswana and had their applications considered by Codesa. These two have responded to the questionnaire and have been publicly campaigning for inclusion.

It is **recommended** that the Planning Committee further explores the applications of these parties.

4. **Conclusion**

Due to the fact that the meeting of the Negotiating Forum has been postponed, there is adequate time to further investigate the applications of the abovementioned parties. Other applicants should be informed of these decisions.

EXTRACTS FROM THE DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL HELD AT 11H00 ON THURSDAY 3 JUNE 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE:

- 5.2.9.9 **Collective rights of self-determination in forming, joining and maintaining organs of civil society, including linguistic, cultural and religious associations, shall, on the basis of non-discrimination and free association, be recognised and protected:**

It was further agreed that the Planning Committee look into this matter and recommend to the Negotiating Council when it would be most suitable to discuss this principle with the proviso that ample time be allowed for discussion.

- 5.2.9.12 **The status of traditional leaders shall be acknowledged and recognised in an appropriate manner in the constitution. Unless provided otherwise by legislation, indigenous law shall be applied to the extent that it is compatible with the provisions of the fundamental rights contained in the constitution:**

It was further agreed by sufficient consensus that the Planning Committee consider the issue of implementation of this principle (and if a separate Technical Committee or a sub-committee of the current Technical Committee should be formed) and report back to the Negotiating Council.

5.4 **Violence - Report from Technical Committee:**

- 5.4.4 It was noted that the Planning Committee consider the problem of the composition of the Technical Committee and submit a recommendation to the Negotiating Council.

7.1 **Demonstration by the AWB and a request from the AWB to address the meeting of the Negotiating Council:**

- 7.1.3 It was agreed that the Planning Committee consider and recommend to the Negotiating Council on the issue of whether armed demonstrations should be permitted outside the World Trade Centre.

KWASIZABANTU MINISTERS' CONFERENCE

Private Bag 252 Kwasizabantu 3561 R.S.A. Tel: 03344-41855 Fax: 03344-41274

CHRISTIANS TO VOTE ON BIBLICAL PRINCIPALS (200,000 Signatures - a call for democracy)

June 3, 1993

Christians are handing over 200,000 signatures at the World Trade Centre in Kempton Park today to call upon the negotiators from the 26 political parties to acknowledge Biblical values in the new constitution.

This is the part of a drive to muster South African Christians to rally around issues that really matter. Our concern is that the future dispensation should be built on values that will be to the upbuilding of the nation. Therefore, we will be encouraging Christians not to vote for those parties that reject Biblical values such as:

1. **Acknowledgement of the Triune God.** If the Triune God is not honoured in the new constitution who will replace Him?
2. **Protection of life.** Any political party that either supports abortion (the murder of unborn babies) or refuses to take a clear stand on this issue does not deserve the votes of South African Christians.
3. **Upholding of family values.** This would include the rejection of pornography as it breaks down family unity, degrades women and increases the incidence of rape and child abuse.

At the 4th Kwasizabantu Ministers' Conference, attended by over 1,000 delegates and members of various denominations and Christian organisations, a mandate was given for the document, "CHRISTIANITY AND RELIGIOUS FREEDOM" to be handed to the negotiating body. This document was taken to the Christians of South Africa who are giving it their overwhelming support. It contains, amongst other points, the above-mentioned Biblical values.

This is the beginning of a drive to teach Christians how to vote and make their decisions in the light of their Biblical values.

CHRISTIANITY AND RELIGIOUS FREEDOM

1. Introduction

While we support the concept of religious freedom and tolerance towards people of other persuasions, we firmly reject tendencies towards religious uniformity - "For there is one God, and one mediator between God and man, the man Christ Jesus" (1Tim. 2:5).

2. Freedoms

We hold to the following freedoms because we believe they are essential in order to freely practice our faith:

- 2.1 The freedom to proclaim that the Lord Jesus Christ is the only mediator between God and people.
- 2.2 The full freedom to openly practice our faith according to our conscience as enlightened by the Bible.
- 2.3 The freedom to proclaim the God of the Bible to be the only true and living God.
- 2.4 The freedom to point out the errors and deficiencies in the beliefs and ideologies of others.
- 2.5 The freedom to proclaim our faith, to all people of all ages, by all means available, including the public communications media.
- 2.6 The freedom to educate our children in our faith, in all educational institutions, and to establish our own Christian schools independent of state control.
- 2.7 The freedom to uphold Christian moral values in our society. These values should be entrenched into the laws of our society.
- 2.8 The freedom to establish printing presses, bookshops, radio and TV stations.
- 2.9 The freedom to establish institutions to care for the sick, the poor, the needy and the aged.

3. Acknowledgment of the Triune God

We require that those who will formulate the new laws of the land will acknowledge the Triune God in the Constitution of our country.

4. Interfaith

We totally reject, as incompatible with the clear teaching of the Bible, current efforts to promote uniformity of religions in the name of Interfaith cooperation and worship.

Our consciences are bound by the following Scriptures:

- *"Make no mention of the name of other gods, neither let it be heard out of thy mouth" (Ex. 23:13).*
- *"Take heed to yourselves, that your heart be not deceived, and ye turn aside, and serve other gods, and worship them; and then the Lord's wrath be kindled against you, and He shut up the heaven, that there be no rain, and that the land yield not her fruit..." (Deut. 11:16-17).*
- *"How long halt ye between two opinions? If the Lord be God, follow Him: but if Baal, then follow him" (1Kings 18:21).*
- *"...thou art the Christ, the Son of the living God" (Matt.16:16).*
- *"And thus shalt thou love the Lord thy God with all thy heart, and with all thy soul, and with all thy mind, and with all thy strength; this is the first commandment" (Mark 12:30).*
- *"...should not worship devils, and idols of gold, and silver, and brass, and stone, and of wood: which neither can see, nor hear, nor walk" (Rev. 9:20).*
- *"Jesus saith unto him, I am the way, the truth, and the life: no man cometh unto the Father, but by me" (John 14:6).*

5. Conclusion

We reaffirm our obedience and unconditional loyalty to our Sovereign Lord, Jesus Christ. "God forbid that we should forsake the Lord, to serve other gods...the Lord our God will we serve, and His voice will we obey" (Joshua 24:16 & 24).



**STREEKWELSYNSRAAD
REGIONAL WELFARE BOARD
FOR NORTHERN TRANSVAAL**

**Private Bag X778
PRETORIA
0001**

Verwysing Reference 4/1/2/13/1
Telefoon Telephone 324-3380
Navree Enquiries Mr M Batley

The Secretariat
Negotiating Council
KEMPTON PARK

FAX: (011) 397-2214

1993-06-04

**RESOLUTIONS OF THE LIAISON COMMITTEE FOR REGIONAL WELFARE
BOARDS IN TRANSVAAL**

Regional Welfare Boards are responsible for the management of welfare matters in a particular region. The Liaison Committee represents the ten regional welfare boards in Transvaal. This Committee met on 19 May 1993 to discuss their future in a new welfare dispensation. During the course of this discussion, it was resolved to bring various matters to your attention.

The Committee would like to congratulate the Negotiating Council on its resolve in continuing with negotiations, and for the progress that has been made. The Committee wishes to submit its opinion that it is essential that a separate welfare negotiating forum/welfare summit be established in order to negotiate a new welfare dispensation. At the same time, however, welfare in general, but in particular welfare structures, is also a political issue, and as such should be on the agenda of the present negotiations.

The Committee appointed a four person delegation to represent it in any discussions which may arise about this matter.

The Committee trusts that its opinion will be taken note of, and that appropriate attention will be given to it.

Yours faithfully

**SECRETARY
LIAISON COMMITTEE FOR REGIONAL
WELFARE BOARDS IN TRANSVAAL**

**DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL
TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY 15 JUNE 1993 AT 08H30
AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE**

Chairpersonship - MJ Mahlangu assisted by FT Mdlalose

1. **Moment of Prayer/Meditation**
2. **Welcome and Attendance**
3. **Ratification of Agenda**
4. **Minutes**
 - 4.1 Adoption of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 3 June 1993
 - 4.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 3 June 1993
5. **Substantive Issues**
 - 5.1 SA Government/PAC Report Back
 - 5.2 Reports from the Technical Committees to be discussed (see separate volume):
 - 5.2.1 Constitutional Issues
 - 5.2.2 Violence
 - 5.3 Election Date
6. **Procedural Issues**
 - 6.1 Media Arrangements
 - 6.2 New Participants
7. **Administrative Matters**
8. **Meetings Schedule**
9. **Closure**

**DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL
TO BE HELD ON THURSDAY 17 JUNE 1993 AT 08H30**

Chairpersonship - FT Mdlalose assisted by R Cronje

1. **Moment of Prayer/Meditation**
2. **Welcome and Attendance**
3. **Ratification of Agenda**
4. **Minutes**
 - 4.1 Adoption of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 15 June 1993
 - 4.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 15 June 1993
 - 4.3 Minutes to be noted
5. **Substantive Issues**
 - 5.1 SA Government/PAC Report Back
 - 5.2 Reports from the Technical Committees to be discussed:
 - 5.2.1 Independent Electoral Commission
 - 5.2.2 Independent Media Commission
 - 5.2.3 TEC and its Sub-Council
6. **Procedural Issues**
 - 6.1 Media Arrangements
 - 6.2 New Participants
7. **Administrative Matters**
8. **Meetings Schedule**
9. **Closure**

**DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL
TO BE HELD ON FRIDAY 18 JUNE 1993 AT 08H30**

Chairpersonship - R Cronje assisted by D de Villiers

1. **Moment of Prayer/Meditation**
2. **Welcome and Attendance**
3. **Ratification of Agenda**
4. **Minutes**
 - 4.1 Adoption of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 17 June 1993
 - 4.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 17 June 1993
5. **Substantive Issues**
 - 5.1 SA Government/PAC Report Back
 - 5.2 Reports from the Technical Committees to be discussed:
 - 5.2.1 Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition
 - 5.2.2 Amendment or Repeal of Legislation Impeding Free Political Activity and Discriminatory Legislation
6. **Procedural Issues**
 - 6.1 Media Arrangements
 - 6.2 New Participants
7. **Administrative Matters**
8. **Meetings Schedule**
9. **Closure**

REVISED PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

3 JUNE 1993

Technical Committees
do detailed work

Monday 7 June 1993 to
Friday 11 June 1993

Planning Committee
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council

Monday 14 June 1993 14h00 - 18h00
Tuesday 15 June 1993 08h30 - 18h00
Thursday 17 June 1993 08h30 - 18h00
Friday 18 June 1993 08h30 - 18h00

Planning Committee
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council
Negotiating Council
NEGOTIATING FORUM

Monday 21 June 1993 14h00 - 18h00
Tuesday 22 June 1993 08h30 - 18h00
Wednesday 23 June 1993 08h30 - 18h00
Thursday 24 June 1993 08h30 - 18h00
Friday 25 June 1993 10h00 - 18h00

Please Note:

The adjournment time as stated are targets times which will only apply if the agenda has been completed, subject to the final decision of the meeting.

