

**CONTENTS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE  
PACK OF MINUTES**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Page No</b>
1. Draft minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Monday 2 August 1993 . . . . .	1
2. Draft minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Tuesday 3 August 1993 . . . . .	10
3. Draft minutes of the meeting of the Planning Committee held on Wednesday 4 August 1993 . . . . .	22

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THE MINUTES ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

**DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 09H30 ON MONDAY 2 AUGUST 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE**

PRESENT : B Alexander  
R Cronje (Chairperson by rotation)  
C Eglin  
PJ Gordhan  
RP Meyer  
MC Ramaphosa  
J Slovo  
Z Titus  
M Webb

M Maharaj (Sub-Committee)  
SS van der Merwe (Sub-Committee)

T Eloff (Administration)  
G Hutchings (Minutes)

**1. Meeting with the National Economic Forum Process Committee**

1.1 B Godsell (Process Committee Convenor, Business), leader of the delegation, introduced the delegation from the National Economic Process Committee. Present were:

- \* D Brink (Business)
- \* A Erwin (Business)
- \* D Graaff (SA Government)
- \* J Jacobs (Process Committee Convenor, SA Government)
- \* J Kastner (SA Government)
- \* D Krogh (SA Government)
- \* D Marsden (Secretariat)
- \* J Naidoo (Process Committee Convenor, Labour)
- \* D Ncube (Business)
- \* R Parsons (Business)
- \* E Patel (Labour)

1.2 C Eglin introduced members of the Planning Committee.

1.3 B Godsell gave a background report on the National Economic Forum encompassing its structures, operations and progress achieved so far.

Potential areas of overlap between the National Economic Forum and the Multi-Party Negotiating Process were referred to, as well as potential constructive linkages between the two processes. It was stated that the National Economic Forum was concerned about developing a positive relationship with the TEC Sub-Council on Finance.

- 1.4 C Eglin gave a report on the structures, procedures and progress of the Multi-Party Negotiating Process. It was noted that the Multi-Party Negotiating Process does not formulate policies, but is focusing on the Constitution-Making process.
- 1.5 Questions of clarity were put to members of the National Economic Forum.
- 1.6 After discussion of the issue of the relationship between the National Economic Forum and the Multi-Party Negotiating Process, it was agreed to form an informal liaison committee to attend to all matters to be addressed. This committee will consist of three persons from each side and will report back to the National Economic Forum and the Multi-Party Negotiating Process respectively, when necessary. It was agreed that both the Planning Committee and the Process Committee will decide who the respective representatives will be, in order to set a date for a first meeting.
- 1.7 The National Economic Forum Process Committee was thanked for their work and for coming to the meeting at the World Trade Centre, after which they were excused.

## **2. Moment of Prayer/Meditation**

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

## **3. Welcome and Attendance**

- 3.1 All members were welcomed.
- 3.2 Apologies were noted for non-attendance by FT Mdlalose and B Ngubane (sub-committee).

## **4. Ratification of Agenda**

The agenda was ratified with no amendments.

## 5. Minutes

It was noted that the minutes of the week 21 July through to 23 July and the minutes of the week 26 July through to 30 July would be distributed during the course of the day to be ratified at the next meeting of the Planning Committee.

## 6. Substantive Issues

### 6.1 Reports in the Negotiating Council for the week 2-5 August 1993:

#### 6.1.1 Violence:

6.1.1.1 The question of the minority report attached to the violence report and whether or not it should be distributed was raised. Discussion followed.

6.1.1.2 The Sub-Committee gave a reportback on this issue. It was agreed to delay a decision on the distribution of the report until the Sub-Committee had had an opportunity to meet with H Vilikazi.

6.1.1.3 It was suggested that H Vilikazi should submit his report to the Peace Structures.

6.1.1.4 It was noted that in the initial brief to Technical Committees it had been stated that the Technical Committees should speak with one voice in the meetings of the Negotiating Council no matter what differences were experienced internally. It was therefore agreed that minority reports attached to Reports from Technical Committees should not be permitted.

#### 6.1.2 Constitutional Issues:

6.1.2.1 It was noted that the last sections of the Draft Outline of the Constitution, as well as the outstanding Constitutional Principles would be discussed at today's meeting of the Negotiating Council.

6.1.2.2 It was noted that the next report of the Technical Committee would be available for distribution on Thursday 5 August 1993.

### **6.1.3 TEC and its Sub-Councils:**

It was noted that the deadline for the receipt of the Technical Committee's next report is Wednesday 4 August 1993 for discussion in the week of 10 August.

### **6.1.4 Independent Electoral Commission:**

6.1.4.1 It was noted that the deadline for the next report from the Technical Committee is Wednesday 4 August 1993 for discussion on Thursday 5 August 1993.

6.1.4.2 The Interim Report by the Technical Committee on the IEC was noted (see p5 of the agenda documentation).

6.1.4.3 It was noted that the Sub-Committee was still in the process of dealing with the recommendations to the Planning Committee as listed in the report of the Ad-Hoc Committee (see p11 of the agenda documentation). The Sub-Committee would report back to the Planning Committee as soon as possible.

6.1.4.4 It was noted that the Negotiating Council had requested the IEC Technical Committee to draft an Electoral Act.

### **6.1.5 Repeal or Amendment of Discriminatory Legislation:**

6.1.5.1 Concerns were expressed that the Technical Committee was overemphasising the issue of the "Higher Code". The question of what progress the Technical Committee had made with regard to the identification of laws that need to be repealed or amended was raised.

6.1.5.2 It was suggested that a political decision restating the above instruction to the Technical Committee was needed.

6.1.5.3 It was noted that a meeting between the convenors of the Technical Committees on Constitutional Issues, the TEC and its Sub-Councils and this Technical Committee was scheduled for 2 August 1993 at 15h00 to deal with the issue of the "Higher Code" and enforcement mechanisms.

### **6.1.6 Independent Media Commission and IBA:**

It was noted that both the above Reports would be distributed at

today's meeting of the Negotiating Council for discussion on Tuesday 3 August 1993.

**6.1.7 Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition:**

- 6.1.7.1 It was noted that the present report would be further discussed at today's meeting of the Negotiating Council.
- 6.1.7.2 Concerns were expressed with regard to the way the debate on this report proceeded on Friday 30 July 1993.
- 6.1.7.3 It was agreed that unnecessary revisiting of clauses already "pigeon-holed" should be discouraged and no debate should take place between the Technical Committee and participants in the Negotiating Council. It was suggested that if a participant had a problem with a particular clause, she/he should move an amendment to the clause.
- 6.1.7.4 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that the Ad-hoc Committee dealing with issues relating to this Technical Committee should be expanded to include a Traditional Leader. The individual should be decided upon by the Traditional Leaders.
- 6.1.7.5 It was agreed that the Terms of Reference of the Ad-hoc Committee should be expanded to address the issues of horizontality and verticality as applicable to the Draft Bill.

**6.1.8 Commission on the Delimitation/Demarcation of Regions:**

- 6.1.8.1 Discussion ensued on how the Report should be discussed in the Negotiating Council. It was agreed that the two Chairpersons, assisted by the Technical Secretary, should table the Report in the meeting of the Negotiating Council at 15h00. Participants would have the opportunity to put questions of clarity to the Commission later this week. The Negotiating Council would discuss the Report in full during the week of 9 August 1993, after participants had had the opportunity to study the report in depth.
- 6.1.8.2 With regard to the minority reports of A Bernstein and K Reynecke, it was agreed that any Commissioner had the right to file a minority report, and the Commission would have the right to comment on such a minority

report in its majority report.

6.1.8.3 It was further agreed that A Bernstein's request to have further documents distributed in the Negotiating Council, should not be agreed to. The Sub-Committee was mandated to communicate this to her.

6.1.8.4 The Sub-Committee was further mandated to draft a statement for the Negotiating Council meeting as a response to the Report of the Commission and the minority reports. The Sub-Committee was requested to report back during a meeting after lunch.

6.1.8.5 The Sub-Committee was further instructed to communicate to the Chairpersons of the Commission and the writers of the two minority reports that the Planning Committee advises all Commissioners not to engage in public debate about the Report.

6.1.8.6 The Planning Committee would at a future meeting decide on how further debate on the Report would take place and what processes should be instituted.

6.1.8.7 It was agreed that, against this background, the Report should be discussed in a preliminary fashion, with questions of clarity being put to however many members of the Commission may be available.

6.1.9 The attack by a certain Sunday newspaper on members of the Technical Committee's and the Technical Committee's themselves, was raised. It was agreed that this be put on the agenda for the next meeting.

## 7. Procedural Issues

### 7.1 Report from the Ad-hoc Committee with regard to the legal action by the Kwazulu Government:

It was noted that discussions are going ahead in this regard.

### 7.2 Sufficient Consensus:

It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that this Report will be discussed in the Negotiating Council on Tuesday 3 August 1993.

The meeting adjourned at 12h10.

The meeting reconvened at 14h15

8. **Draft Statement by the Negotiating Council on the Report of the Commission on the Delimitation/Demarcation of Regions:**

It was agreed that the draft statement should be recommended to the Negotiating Council (see Addendum A).

9. **Violence on the East Rand**

With regard to the general support for a visit by a delegation to the East Rand, it was agreed that the Planning Committee needs to have a proper discussion and more information before making a firm recommendation to the Negotiating Council. It was agreed to do this on Tuesday 3 August 1993.

10. **Future Meetings**

It was agreed that, due to the above issue and the others on the agenda, the Planning Committee recommends to the Negotiating Council that the Negotiating Council meets from 08h30 to 14h00 (with lunch at 14h00) and that the Planning Committee meets from 14h00 to 17h00.

11. **Closure**

The meeting was closed at 14h45.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of ..... 1993

and the amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on ..... 1993.

.....  
CHAIRPERSON



**DRAFT STATEMENT BY THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL  
ON THE OCCASION OF THE TABLING OF THE  
COMMISSION ON THE DELIMITATION/DEMARCATIION OF REGIONS  
MONDAY 2 AUGUST 1993**

The Negotiating Council appointed a Commission on the Demarcation/Delimitation of Regions on May 28, 1993. The Commission commenced its work on June 8, 1993, with the instructions to table its report to the Negotiating Council in six weeks time.

We would like to take this opportunity to thank the Commission and the Co-Chairpersons in particular for undertaking and fulfilling this immense and crucial task which is integral to resolving the problems of transforming a South Africa from its current order to a democratic one.

The Commission was required to make recommendations on the demarcation/delimitation of SPR's in South Africa, realising that regional boundaries will be relevant to the electoral process, as well as to the structures of the constitution.

As the Commission report notes, the question of demarcation/delimitation of regions is a complex one. "The unique circumstances of various countries make it very difficult, if not virtually impossible, to formulate rigid and fixed norms and principles upon which the demarcation of regions should be based .... South Africa (also) has to approach the process of demarcation with its own particular circumstances in mind and apply international lessons creatively to local problems." (p10)

The Commission report provides a valuable point of departure which we believe will enable participants in the negotiating process to address the question of demarcation/delimitation of regions in a concrete and constructive manner. Without doubt, participants in the Negotiating Council will have their own views about this matter. We believe that the report will facilitate structured discussion and enable decisions which would move the negotiation process to arrive at a negotiated settlement.

The Negotiating Council is pleased by the ability of the wide cross-section of competent and diligent South Africans, to execute the brief of this Council with such objectivity and efficiency. In particular, we place on record our fullest confidence in the professionalism and integrity of the Commission members and of their technical staff.

The Negotiating Council notes that the Commission's report includes two minority reports, as well as the comment of the Commission on these minority reports. It is unfortunate that one of these reports disagrees with the Terms of Reference as decided by the Negotiating Council and with the process adopted by the Commission itself.

With the view to expediting a negotiated resolution of the conflict in South Africa, we urge participants and the public to focus their attention on the specific recommendations contained in the Commission's report.

With the tabling.....

With the tabling of the report at the Negotiating Council, this report now becomes available for public comment and provides a vital foundation for discussion on and conclusion of this important element of the Constitutional Process. We are confident that participants will now be able to address the recommendations in a constructive and positive manner that enables the process to resolve with optimal consensus a vital aspect of the regional question.

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THE MINUTES ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

**DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 14H30 ON TUESDAY 3 AUGUST 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE**

PRESENT : B Alexander  
R Cronje  
C Eglin (Chairperson by rotation)  
PJ Gordhan  
RP Meyer  
MC Ramaphosa  
J Slovo  
Z Titus  
M Webb

M Maharaj (Sub-Committee)  
SS van der Merwe (Sub-Committee)

T Eloff (Administration)  
G Hutchings (Minutes)

**1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation**

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

**2. Welcome and Attendance**

2.1 All members were welcomed.

2.2 Apologies were noted for non-attendance by FT Mdlalose and B Ngubane (sub-committee).

**3. Ratification of Agenda**

The agenda was ratified with no amendments.

**4. Minutes**

4.1 The minutes of the meeting of 19 July through to 21 July 1993 and the minutes of the meeting of 26 July through to 30 July 1993 were adopted with

no amendments.

4.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of 19 July to 21 July 1993:

- \* Item 4.6.5 refers: Administration gave a reportback. It was noted that the Women's Coalition was meeting on the issue during the course of today. It was further noted that a letter responding to its request would be sent out in the course of the day.

4.3 Matters arising out of the minutes of 26 July to 30 July 1993:

- \* Item 5.2.4 refers: It was noted that the IFP, the KP and the Kwazulu Government were being couriered all documents received by the Negotiating Council.
- \* Item 9.2 refers: It was noted that the resolution as adopted by the Negotiating Council on 26 July 1993 had been forwarded to the minister at the St James Church in Kenilworth.
- \* Item 10.1 refers: It was noted that the letter had been faxed and mailed to the Ilanga. The Administration is to ascertain as to whether the letter was published.

5. **Substantive Issues**

5.1 **Reports in the Negotiating Council until 12 August 1993:**

5.1.1 **Violence:**

It was agreed that the Report of the Violence Technical Committee be distributed without the addendum by H Vilikazi. H Vilikazi should be advised accordingly by the Sub-Committee.

5.1.2 **Constitutional Issues:**

It is agreed that Constitutional Issues would be further discussed on Thursday 5 August 1993. Later in the meeting it was agreed that the next Report of the Technical Committee will be couriered on Friday 6 August 1993 and discussed on Tuesday 10 August 1993.

5.1.3 **TEC and its Sub-Councils:**

It was agreed that the Report of the TEC and its Sub-Councils Technical Committee would be couriered to participants on Friday 6 August 1993.

#### **5.1.4 Independent Electoral Commission:**

5.1.4.1 A reportback was given on the work of this Technical Committee by the Sub-Committee. It was noted that the Technical Committee was awaiting decisions from the ad-hoc committee.

5.1.4.2 It was noted that the ad-hoc committees are taking too long to make recommendations on issues referred to them.

#### **5.1.5 Repeal or Amendment of Discriminatory Legislation:**

5.1.5.1 A reportback was given on the work of this Technical Committee by the Sub-Committee.

5.1.5.2 It was noted that the Technical Committee is to draw up a report for the Sub-Committee on the options with regard to enforcement mechanisms on the Bill of Rights. This report will be put to the combined meeting of Technical Committees on Monday 9 August 1993. The Sub-Committee will report back to the Planning Committee after this meeting.

5.1.5.3 It was noted that, even if the route of a "Higher Code" is taken, it will still be necessary to identify discriminatory laws and have them repealed.

#### **5.1.6 Independent Media Commission and IBA:**

It was noted that these Draft Bills will be discussed on Wednesday 4 August and Thursday 5 August 1993.

#### **5.1.7 Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition:**

It was noted that this Technical Committee's next report on enforcement mechanisms will be distributed on 6 August 1993 and discussed on Wednesday 11 August 1993. The next full report will be available on Wednesday 11 August 1993.

#### **5.1.8 Commission on Regions:**

It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that a full discussion of the Report will take place on Monday 9 August 1993, with members of the Technical Support Team sitting on the discussion. After this discussion, the Planning Committee will be in a better

position to make a recommendation to the Negotiating Council on the way forward. It was agreed to ask the Technical Secretary to request the most appropriate members of the Technical Support Team to attend the discussion on Monday 9 August 1993.

- 5.1.9 With regard to the discussion of Draft Bills and Reports in the Negotiating Council, it was agreed to request the Technical Committees, through the Sub-Committee to clearly indicate changes and amendments to previous reports. It was also agreed that Chairpersons should be uniformly clear on the fact that clauses cannot be revisited over and over again. It was agreed that the Panel of Chairpersons should meet to discuss these and related matters.

## **5.2 Violent Deaths of People on the East Rand:**

### **5.2.1 Visit to the East Rand Townships:**

After discussion and the possibility of the visit taking place over two hours on Thursday 5 August 1993, it was agreed that the Sub-Committee should investigate the security implications of such a visit and make a recommendation to the Planning Committee at a lunch time meeting on Wednesday 4 August 1993.

### **5.2.2 Possibility of a Joint Peace-Keeping Force:**

5.2.2.1 After discussion it was agreed that the Sub-Committee be mandated to investigate the issue as a matter of urgency, including the setting up of an ad-hoc committee of the Negotiating Council to investigate the establishment of a peace-keeping force, the terms of reference of such an ad-hoc committee and its composition. Other issues to be taken into account in this regard are the legitimacy of such a force, how it will be linked to the community and how it will relate to the existing security forces.

5.2.2.2 After a suggestion in this regard, the Sub-Committee was requested to report back on the greater involvement of political leadership in preventing violence.

5.2.2.3 After taking note of a media release by the Goldstone Commission on violence and the elections (see Addendum A), the Sub-Committee was mandated to interact with the Goldstone Commission on this issue.

### 5.3 **PAC/SA Government Issue:**

After inputs by B Alexander (see Addendum B) and R Meyer, it was agreed that the PAC would meet again with Z Titus (convenor), R Cronje, PJ Gordhan and C Eglin. The item will stay on the agenda until this ad-hoc committee has given a reportback.

### 5.4 **The Ad-Hoc Committee on the TBVC States:**

Following the in principle decision of the Negotiating Council to establish such a committee, it was agreed to recommend the following composition to the Negotiating Council:

- \* C Eglin (convenor)
- \* BE Keikelame
- \* T Mbeki
- \* SE Moeti
- \* Z Titus
- \* M Webb
- \* L Wessels

It was agreed that this ad-hoc committee should submit its first report by Thursday 12 August 1993 and that the Administration should provide the committee with a Secretary.

### 5.5 **National Symbols and languages:**

#### 5.5.1 **National Symbols:**

After extensive discussion had ensued and various ideas had been raised, it was agreed in principle to recommend to the Negotiating Council to establish a Commission to deal with this matters. The Sub-Committee was instructed to formulate terms of reference for this Commission and report back to the Planning Committee on Wednesday 4 August 1993.

#### 5.5.2 **Languages:**

After discussion, it was agreed to keep this issue on the agenda for discussion at a later stage.

### 5.6 **The other substantive issues referred to the Planning Committee by the Negotiating Council would stand over until the next meeting.**

6. **Procedural Issues**

6.1 **Media Embargoes:**

After discussion, it was agreed to mandate T Eloff to inform P Laurence that, because of the Economist having broken the embargo on the combined Constitutional Reports of 26 July, he will not be allowed to provide the said publication with any information for a period of 3 weeks with effect from Monday 26 July 1993.

6.2 **AVF Prosecutions with regard to the Attack on the Negotiating Process on 25 June 1993:**

6.2.1 After a reportback from the Sub-Committee and the Administration, it was agreed that T Eloff would report back to the Planning Committee after his meeting with representatives of the SAP and the Attorney General's office.

7. **Future Meetings**

It was agreed that the Planning Committee would meet on Wednesday 5 August 1993 from 12h30 until 14h00.

8. **Closure**

The meeting was closed at 17h30.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of ..... 1993  
and the amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on ..... 1993.

.....  
CHAIRPERSON



*ADDENDUM A*

cf/5/press2

PRESS RELEASE BY THE HONOURABLE MR JUSTICE R J GOLDSTONE, CHAIRMAN  
OF THE COMMISSION OF INQUIRY REGARDING THE PREVENTION OF PUBLIC  
VIOLENCE AND INTIMIDATION

1. As announced in the press statement dated 8 March 1993 the Commission is to hold an inquiry into ways and means of curbing the potential for public violence and intimidation in and in relation to a national election.
2. The public hearing will commence on Wednesday, 4 August 1993 at 14H00 at the Arthur's Seat Hotel, Sea Point Cape Town. The chairman of the panel Mr C Ruff will then present the panel's report to the Commission.
3. Further public hearings will then be held on 5 and 10 to 13 August 1993 at the same venue.
4. The terms of reference are as follows:
  - (a) the rules of conduct and procedure (including policing and security) which should apply in order to curb public violence and intimidation in relation to public meetings held and any other activity relating to an election conducted in the period prior to such an election by political parties and organisations;
  - (b) the relevance to public violence and intimidation of the time period during which voting should take place;

- (c) the location of polling stations;
- (d) the security and policing of polling stations;
- (e) the conduct and procedures relating to the counting of votes;
- (f) relevant measures during the period following the conclusion of the election;
- (g) with regard to the foregoing matters:
  - (i) the role of relevant Government Departments;
  - (ii) the role of the South African Police;
  - (iii) the role of the international community.

PRETORIA  
29 JULY 1993

P/C 3/8  
ADDENDUM B

# PAN AFRICANIST CONGRESS (P.A.C.) OF AZANIA

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY GENERAL

PO BOX 25245  
FERREIRASTOWN  
2048  
TEL (011) 838-0407/42/57  
FAX (011) 838-3705

YOUR REF:

OUR REF:

03 AUGUST, 1993.

THE PLANNING COMMITTEE  
MULTI-PARTY NEGOTIATING PROCESS

Sirs

re: THE CESSATION OF HOSTILITIES

The question of the cessation of hostilities arose as a result of the clampdown of the regime on the PAC on 25 May, 1993.

Inspite of the Negotiating Councils arguments refuting the regimes allegations that they were acting against either murderers or APLA, the regime insisted on packaging the decision by the Council to return our confiscated property and release or charge our leaders and members with the cessation of the armed struggle.

To date the regime has not returned most of the confiscated property including personal diaries of N.E.C. members. Nothing has been returned to the house of Mr Jackie Seroke, the house of Mr Benny Alexander and numerous other PAC Regional Offices and members. The documentation taken at the PAC Headquarters is still in the possession of the regime. They only returned our word processors and its cables. The hardware was returned in a good condition but repairs on the functioning of these machines were borne by the P.A.C.


Furthermore we need to inform the process that the regime repeated its clampdown starting yesterday 2/8/93 with the arrest of numerous PAC local leaders in SOWETO. In return for the compliance of the regime with the decision of the Council, the PAC is prepared to enter into a mutual Cessation of Hostilities Agreement with them.

18

- 2 -

The mutuality of the cessation of hostilities is very important in the light of the continued involvement of security forces in the violence according to reported eyewitness accounts e.g. the Hippos carrying killers in Tembisa, Boipatong and elsewhere.

The terms of the Mutual Cessation we will agree to are annexed hereto for your consideration.

  
**BENNY ALEXANDER**  
**SECRETARY-GENERAL**  
BA/oj

**TERMS FOR A MUTUAL  
CESSATION OF HOSTILITIES  
AGREEMENT**


1. The need for seizesfire should be explained namely that the regime is prepared to unconditionally relinquish power to a democratic majority and the prospects for achieving this through negotiations in the desired route through an elected Constituent Assembly.
2. An undertaking to discontinue armed activities should be stated in clear terms. The regime must clearly undertake to stop their involvement in the killing of Africans in the townships by verifiably bringing to an end covert funds for the security, verifiably dismantling mercenary units and killer squads and the P.A.C. should clearly undertake to seize armed activities by giving a clear instruction to each and every guerilla to this end.
3. The date of coming into force of the mutual seizesfire must be clearly indicated bearing in mind the timeframe required to implement item (2) above.
4. What is to happen to the soldiers of both camps from the day the mutual seizesfire comes into effect should be clearly indicated e.g. do they go to barracks or assembly points or is there another role for all or some of them? What will be the role of APLA in the supervision of elections and a Peace Keeping force during the transition with specific reference to command structures, training and logistical control?
5. The political and operational joint control of the security forces during the transition should be explained and agreed upon.
6. The role of the international community in supervising compliance with the seizesfire agreement is very important especially in the light of the continued and escalating armed activity between signatories of the National Peace Accord. Without compliance with this, the Agreement will be meaningless in real terms.
7. The eventual fate of the armed forces in terms of processes of its accommodation should be explained and agreed upon. This relates to the need and for commitment to a single national army of a new type after the elected Constituent Assembly completed its task.

- 2 -

8. The political authority to which armed formations will be accountable during the transition should be explained as well as the question of whether there is a role for APLA in community policing during the transition. In our view it should be a Transitional Authority with Executive powers.
9. The agreement must also deal with the question of indemnity of guerillas.  
The above matters should be contained in agreement for such agreement to be meaningful and to equip the PAC leadership to approach its members and army commanders with a definite proposal.

The above issues clearly requires negotiations between the PAC and the regime and we are prepared to enter into such negotiations.

Meanwhile we reiterate our often stated public position that we are in principle committed to a mutual cessation of hostilities and have always been.

Compiled By:  BENNY ALEXANDER  
SECRETARY-GENERAL  
BA/oj

DATE: 03/08/93

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THE MINUTES ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

**DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 13H25 ON WEDNESDAY 4 AUGUST 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE**

PRESENT : C Eglin (Chairperson by rotation)  
PJ Gordhan  
RP Meyer  
J Slovo  
Z Titus  
M Webb

M Maharaj (Sub-Committee)  
SS van der Merwe (Sub-Committee)

T Eloff (Administration)  
G Hutchings (Minutes)

**1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation**

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

**2. Welcome and Attendance**

2.1 All members were welcomed.

2.2 Apologies were noted for non-attendance by B Alexander, R Cronje, FT Mdlalose, B Ngubane (sub-committee) and MC Ramaphosa.

**3. Proposed Visit to the East Rand**

3.1 Colonel Venter of the Multi-Party Security gave a report to the Planning Committee on the security implications of the proposed visit. An update was given of the current situation on the East Rand.

3.2 It was recommended by the Sub-Committee that the participants of the Negotiating Process do not go on the proposed visit at this stage. It was further recommended that Colonel Venter and the SAP keep the Sub-Committee informed of the situation on an ongoing basis, which in turn would report back to the Planning Committee.

- 3.3 This recommendation was agreed to. It was further agreed to leave the survey of the area, possibly by helicopter, to the discretion of the Sub-Committee.

**4. Fundamental Human Rights Technical Committee**

- 4.1 It was noted that the Negotiating Council had referred Item 4 "Additional Matters", as contained in the Seventh Progress Report of the Technical Committee, to the Planning Committee for its consideration with the view to submitting a recommendation to the Negotiating Council.
- 4.2 After discussion it was agreed that the Sub-Committee discusses the issue with the Technical Committee and reports back, with a recommendation, to the Planning Committee. The Technical Committee should be made aware that there is a distinction between application and content of the Bill of Rights. The content is purely a matter for the Negotiating Council and the application of the bill of Rights could be discussed with those who have the relevant administrative and legal background.

**5. Commission on National Symbols**

A recommendation by the Sub-Committee in this regard was distributed. It was agreed that this will be discussed at the next meeting (see Addendum A).

**6. Future Meetings**

- 6.1 It was agreed that the Planning Committee meets on Monday 9 August 1993 from 09h30 to 13h00.
- 6.2 The Planning Committee will meet representatives of the Local Government Negotiation Forum from 11h00 to 11h45.
- 6.3 The Negotiating Council's meeting will commence at 13h00, after lunch at 12h00.

**7. Closure**

The meeting was closed at 13h50.



These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of ..... 1993  
and the amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on ..... 1993.

.....  
CHAIRPERSON

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THE MINUTES ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

**DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 13H25 ON WEDNESDAY 4 AUGUST 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE**

PRESENT : C Eglin (Chairperson by rotation)  
PJ Gordhan  
RP Meyer  
J Slovo  
Z Titus  
M Webb

M Maharaj (Sub-Committee)  
SS van der Merwe (Sub-Committee)

T Eloff (Administration)  
G Hutchings (Minutes)

**1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation**

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

**2. Welcome and Attendance**

2.1 All members were welcomed.

2.2 Apologies were noted for non-attendance by B Alexander, R Cronje, FT Mdlalose, B Ngubane (sub-committee) and MC Ramaphosa.

**3. Proposed Visit to the East Rand**

3.1 Colonel Venter of the Multi-Party Security gave a report to the Planning Committee on the security implications of the proposed visit. An update was given of the current situation on the East Rand.

3.2 It was recommended by the Sub-Committee that the participants of the Negotiating Process do not go on the proposed visit at this stage. It was further recommended that Colonel Venter and the SAP keep the Sub-Committee informed of the situation on an ongoing basis, which in turn would report back to the Planning Committee.

- 3.3 This recommendation was agreed to. It was further agreed to leave the survey of the area, possibly by helicopter, to the discretion of the Sub-Committee.

4. **Fundamental Human Rights Technical Committee**

4.1 It was noted that the Negotiating Council had referred Item 4 "Additional Matters", as contained in the Seventh Progress Report of the Technical Committee, to the Planning Committee for its consideration with the view to submitting a recommendation to the Negotiating Council.

4.2 After discussion it was agreed that the Sub-Committee discusses the issue with the Technical Committee and reports back, with a recommendation, to the Planning Committee. The Technical Committee should be made aware that there is a distinction between application and content of the Bill of Rights. The content is purely a matter for the Negotiating Council and the application of the bill of Rights could be discussed with those who have the relevant administrative and legal background.

5. **Commission on National Symbols**

A recommendation by the Sub-Committee in this regard was distributed. It was agreed that this will be discussed at the next meeting (see Addendum A).

6. **Future Meetings**

6.1 It was agreed that the Planning Committee meets on Monday 9 August 1993 from 09h30 to 13h00.

6.2 The Planning Committee will meet representatives of the Local Government Negotiation Forum from 11h00 to 11h45.

6.3 The Negotiating Council's meeting will commence at 13h00, after lunch at 12h00.

7. **Closure**

The meeting was closed at 13h50.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of ..... 1993  
and the amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on ..... 1993.

.....  
CHAIRPERSON

## PLANNING COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION TO THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL

1. The Planning Committee recommends that a commission be appointed to make recommendations to the Negotiating Council on the national symbols for the Constitution for the transitional period. It is proposed that -
  - i. the terms of reference for such a commission could be those contained in the attached draft resolution;
  - ii. at least ten but not more than fifteen people be appointed on the commission;
  - iii. participating parties be asked to lodge nominations for appointments on the commission to the Administration on or before Tuesday 17 August 1993;
  - iv. and that the Planning Committee should make recommendations on the composition of the Commission to the Council on or before Thursday 19 August 1993.

## NEGOTIATING COUNCIL: DRAFT RESOLUTION

**Whereas** in the deliberations concerning a constitution for the transitional period, the question of national symbols will have to be addressed;

**And** whereas this is an extremely emotional issue that will have to be dealt with with the utmost sensitivity;

**But** whereas cultural, artistic and technical aspects are also to be taken into account;

**We**, the Negotiating Council, hereby appoint

as members of a Commission on National Symbols to make recommendations before the end of October 1993 to us, the Negotiating Council, or to any body that might at that time have replaced the Negotiating Council, on a national flag, a coat of arms and an anthem for the Constitution for the transitional period.

The Commission is requested to -

- (1) invite proposals from all interested persons or parties and to allow at least one month for submissions to be made;
- (2) take into account the diversity of the South African population but concentrate on the unifying function that national symbols must serve;
- (3) and in its recommendations submit at least four alternative flags and coats of arms.

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THEY ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

**DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON TUESDAY 1 JUNE 1993 AT 10H30 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE**

- PRESENT: B Alexander  
 R Cronje  
 C Eglin  
 PJ Gordhan  
 FT Mdlalose  
 RP Meyer  
 MC Ramaphosa  
 J Slovo  
 Z Titus  
 M Webb (Chairperson by rotation)
- T Eloff (Administration)  
 G Hutchings (Minutes)

**1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation**

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

**2. Welcome and Attendance**

2.1 All members were welcomed.

2.2 As four members following alphabetically on FT Mdlalose (the last Chairperson) asked to be excused from this function, it was agreed that M Webb chair this meeting.

**3. Ratification of Agenda**

3.1 Before ratification of the agenda, B Alexander requested to be excused from the meeting of the Planning Committee. This need arose as a result of the bi-lateral meeting between the SA Government and the PAC and the need for the PAC to consult before the bi-lateral meeting resumed at 13h00. B Alexander therefore tendered his apologies to the meeting.

3.2 Reference was made to the placards that demonstrators in support of the PAC were brandishing outside the gates of the World Trade Centre and appeal was made to B Alexander that PAC members should avoid statements that create deep emotions.

3.3 The agenda was ratified with no amendments.

#### 4. Minutes

##### 4.1 Minutes of the meeting of 24 May 1993:

4.1.1 The minutes were adopted, with the following amendment, noting that Item 5.1.3.1 had been amended in line with views expressed at the previous meeting of the Planning Committee:

- \* Item 5.1.2.1 refers, replace the word "must" with the word "should".

4.1.2 Matters arising out of the minutes:

- \* Item 6.1.2 refers. It was noted that participants in the Negotiating Council should be made aware of the suggestion of the Planning Committee that no discussion should be entered into with the Technical Committees and only questions of clarity and information should be addressed to the Technical Committee. This should be done before the Technical Committees presented their reports to the Negotiating Council.

#### 5. Substantive Issues

##### 5.1 Reports from the Technical Committees:

###### 5.1.1 Technical Committee on Violence:

It was agreed that the Report from the Technical Committee on Violence be discussed at the next meeting of the Negotiating Council on Thursday 3 June 1993,

###### 5.1.2 Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues:

5.1.2.1 It was suggested that an in principle decision had to be taken on the transition process for the Technical Committee to be able to proceed with their work.

5.1.2.2 It was suggested that in the meeting of the Negotiating



Council a draft resolution on a transition process be put forward. This should be a result of discussion in the Negotiating Council. This would provide a framework for further discussion.

5.1.2.3 As the Constitutional Principles and process were linked, the former should be discussed first and this would lead to discussion on the process.

5.1.2.4 With regard to the reports of the Technical Committee, the following process was agreed upon:

- \* To refer the Negotiating Council to the three reports of the Technical Committee;
- \* To have the Negotiating Council note that there is a link between the decision to be taken on the Constitutional Principles (including Constitutional Principles on regional powers) on the one hand, and the decision on the constitution-making process on the other hand;
- \* To encourage the Negotiating Council to discuss these issues in this way; through which areas of difference will begin to emerge and appropriate mechanisms would have to be sought in the course of the debate to resolve the differences.

5.1.2.5 In this way the key issues will begin to be negotiated at the meeting of the Negotiating Council. The suggested framework leaves room for differences to be resolved and on the other hand creates the space for consensus to be reached. This would lay a constructive foundation for the debate in the Negotiating Council.

#### 5.1.3 **Technical Committee on Fundamental Human Rights During the Transition:**

It was noted that although the report from the Technical Committee would be distributed at the meeting of the Negotiating Council in the afternoon, the report itself would not be discussed in that meeting but at the next meeting of the Negotiating Council on 3 June 1993.

#### 5.1.4 **Technical Committee on the Independent Election Commission:**

It was agreed that A Tredoux from the Department of Home Affairs replaces L Laubscher in this Technical Committee.

### **5.1.5 Technical Committee on the Independent Media Commission:**

- 5.1.5.1 It was noted that the Planning Committee agrees in principle with the establishment of the Independent Media Commission.
- 5.1.5.2 The issue of the passing of the draft legislation was raised and discussed.
- 5.1.5.3 It was suggested there should be an attempt to get the first clause of the Draft Bill accepted in principle by the Negotiating Council, so that the principle of the IMC is established. Furthermore, a progress report should be obtained from the Technical Committee as to whether it would be possible to consider the second draft of the IMC Bill at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Thursday 3 June 1993. Enquiries should also be made as to how far the drafting of the second Draft Bill (IBA) had progressed.
- 5.1.5.4 It was agreed that the report be tabled and dealt with holistically at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Thursday 3 June 1993. It was suggested that a resolution be drafted to deal with this issue in the Negotiating Council.
- 5.1.5.5 It was agreed that this issue be revisited at the next meeting of the Planning Committee on Thursday 3 June 1993.

### **5.2 Commission on Regions:**

#### **5.2.1 Possible addition of a woman to the Commission on the Delimitation of Regions/Provinces/States:**

A brief report was presented by the Sub-Committee to the Planning Committee. After discussion it was agreed to report to the Negotiating Council that this issue is still under consideration and would be dealt with at the next meeting of the Planning Committee on Thursday 3 June 1993.

#### **5.2.2 Logistical Arrangements:**

- 5.2.2.1 It was noted that a letter was sent out to all Commission Members requesting them to formally accept their appointments.

5.2.2.2 It was noted that their first meeting would take place in the week commencing 7 June 1993.

5.2.2.3 It was agreed to mandate the Sub-Committee to liaise with the Administration on this issue (for example on the arrangements with regard to staffing, equipment, etc.)

### 5.3 **Election Date:**

It was noted that this item remain on the agenda until dealt with.

## 6. **Procedural Issues**

### 6.1 **Structuring of the discussion of Reports from Technical Committees in the meeting of the Negotiating Council:**

6.1.1 It was agreed to recommend that the Negotiating Council meeting of 1 June 1993 adjourn at 19h00 (as long as the debate on Constitutional Issues was completed) and not at 22h00 as scheduled.

6.1.2 It was agreed that participants should spend time debating amongst themselves and not with members of the Technical Committees.

6.1.3 The debate should be structured in such a way that the Negotiating Council will give guidance to the Technical Committees at the end of the relevant debate.

### 6.2 **Media Arrangements:**

It was noted that the arrangements for the media at this stage remained the same as previously reported.

### 6.3 **New Participants:**

6.3.1 A report on this issue was presented by the Sub-Committee and agreed to as amended (see Addendum A). The recommendations would be submitted to the Negotiating Council for decision.

6.3.2 It was suggested that any new Participants should be notified of the decision of the Negotiating Council to prepare for the Negotiating Forum.

6.3.3 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee interview representatives of Avstig, the African Democratic Movement, the Christian Democratic Party, People's Progressive Party and the National Seoposengwe Party

and submit a recommendation to the Planning Committee.

6.3.4 The Administration was requested to prepare a report on the issue of decisions taken around the participation of Traditional Leaders.

7. **Administrative and Financial Matters**

7.1 A financial report as submitted was noted (see Addendum B).

7.2 It was agreed that members from the Peace Committee participating on the Violence Technical Committee should be remunerated from the Peace Committee and not by this process.

7.3 It was agreed that leaders of delegations should receive documentation packs.

8. **Report to and Agenda of the Negotiating Council**

The agenda as amended was agreed to (see Addendum C).

9. **Meetings Schedule**

9.1 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that the Negotiating Forum be cancelled and replaced with a Negotiating Council meeting.

9.2 The meetings schedule was accepted as amended (see Addendum D). It was noted that if it was possible to have a Negotiating Forum before 25 June 1993 the date will be brought forward.

10. **Closure**

The meeting adjourned at 13h10.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of ..... 1993 and the amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on ..... 1993.

.....  
CHAIRPERSON

**REPORT OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE TO THE MEETING OF  
THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL OF 3 JUNE 1993**

**1. Background**

The Negotiating Council, in its meeting of 7 May 1993, agreed to the following recommendation of the Planning Committee:

**1.1 "Recommended Criteria and Process for New Participants"**

Political parties or Organisations to qualify, must show:

**1.1.1 Political Parties or Organisations to qualify must show:**

1.1.1.1 That it is indeed a political party or organisation intending to participate as such (in the political party or organisation's own name) in the first election under a transitional/new constitution;

1.1.1.2 That it has proven substantial support in a national context;

1.1.1.3 That its admission will enhance the peaceful negotiating process.

**1.1.2 Traditional Leaders**

The principle of provincial representation should be maintained for the time being, but the problems around the representivity of existing delegations should be addressed in consultation with and a manner acceptable to all concerned. This issue should be discussed in the meeting of the Negotiating Council and, if necessary, be referred back to the Planning Committee.

**1.1.3 Other Applicants**

It is proposed that applications of organisations who are not political parties or organisations, be refused.

1.1.4 The problem of both the Administrations and political parties in one region participating in the Negotiating Process, has not been resolved and will require further attention.

**1.2 Process**

The following process for dealing with applications of political parties or organisations is proposed:

- 1.1.2 Applicants should be informed of the criteria and requested to submit whatever facts and arguments they wish to, but they should be required to at least respond to the questionnaire annexed to this report (Annexure A);
- 1.2.2 Administration should cause a newspaper survey over the preceding year to be conducted to establish the type of press coverage every applicant has received;
- 1.2.3 As soon as all the information is at hand in respect of a particular application, it is put to the Negotiating Council for a decision."

(cf. Minutes of the Negotiating Council Meeting of 7 May, 1993, Item 1.)

The Administration therefore embarked on the agreed process and concentrated on those applicants which professed to be political parties or organisations.

## 2. The Process so far

- 2.1 Fifteen organisations applied to join the Multi-Party Process.
- 2.2 All applicants were requested in writing to supply particulars in support of their applications. These particulars were specified by the Negotiating Council on 7 May, 1993 and forwarded to the applicants on 11 May, 1993.
- 2.3. As at 1 June, 1993, six of those who had applied had not responded to the questionnaire. They are:
  - 2.3.1 People's Democratic Christian Party
  - 2.3.2 United Federal Party
  - 2.3.3 Sindawonye Progressive Party
  - 2.3.4 Reform Party of South Africa
  - 2.3.5 Insika National Party
  - 2.3.6 National Forum

A study of the press coverage for the past year shows no reports in the press about the above six parties in terms of reportage about their activities. There have been reports that the application of the Reform Party of South Africa and of the Insika National Party were rejected at Codesa.

In the light of the above we would **recommend** that their applications be

rejected.

2.4 The following applied and have responded in some measure to the questionnaire:

2.4.1 African Democratic Movement

2.4.2 Christian Democratic Party

2.4.3 People's Progressive Party

2.4.4 Merit People's Party

2.4.5 National Seoposengwe Party

2.4.6 Afrikaner Freedom Foundation

2.4.7 Volks Unity Committee

2.4.8 Third Force Nationalist Party

2.4.9 Green Party of South Africa

### 3. **Recommendations on applications received**

3.1 The **Volks Unity Committee** telephonically informed the Administration that it was withdrawing its application. Accordingly there is no need to take a decision on this matter.

#### 3.2 **The Third Force Nationalist Party**

Its written response is a photostat copy. It is signed "T.F. Central Committee Collective Leadership". No individual name appears on it and it is not signed by any person. This document was received on 26 May, 1993 and there has been no further documentation received. A study of the press coverage shows no reports covering their activities or their existence. It is impossible to determine whether they really exist, where they are based, at what address they can be contacted, etc.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that their application be turned down.

#### 3.3 **Green Party of South Africa**

Their response was received on 27 May, 1993. There has been no press coverage of their activities. They submit a copy of their constitution. But in their response to the questionnaire there is no information indicating when and



where they may have held a national congress to elect their current national executive as per their constitution. They claim a membership of 13,500 and that they have held a number of meetings. There is no indication of the existence of any of the organisational structures reflected in their constitution. In their response they say they only have offices in Cape Town.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that this application is turned down.

#### 3.4 **The Merit People's Party**

No press coverage save that its application was turned down at Codesa. Its activities are confined to Lenasia. In its response it states that it has two members in the House of Delegates; and that in the 1989 elections the Party contested three seats in Lenasia and won all three. It should also be noted that in this regard, in its response to the Codesa questionnaire it replied somewhat differently; while repeating the statement that three candidates were returned in the 1989 election it went on to say "two members have since joined Solidarity. There is an understanding that independent members of the House of Delegates will be included in the Merit People's Party delegation to Codesa."

In its response to the present questionnaire it also states "estimated membership throughout South Africa (as no efforts are made to sign up members) is at least 10,000 persons." While its activities are Lenasia-based it also claims that it has membership throughout Natal and the Transvaal.

The Planning Committee **recommends** that its application should not be accepted.

#### 3.5 **Afrikaner Freedom Foundation (AVSTIG)**

To date AVSTIG has claimed that it is not a political party. During Codesa it was recognised as an interest group and as such was enabled to make written submissions without enjoying participant status.

In its current response it motivates why it should be accepted as a political organisation intending to participate in the first election. We quote: "Ideally we would thus most certainly take part in elections at the first possible occasion: as a state founding body it would be on state level and in facilitating capacity. But whenever it seems possible to attain or substantially promote these objectives, we would consider positively to take part in elections in another capacity and on other levels" (Our emphasis).

It is **recommended** that the Planning Committee enters into a discussion with this organisation to acquire more information.

#### 3.6 **African Democratic Movement of the Ciskei, the Christian Democratic**

**Party of Bophuthatswana, the People's Progressive Party and the National Seoposengwe Party**

All the abovementioned parties have submitted responses. The Planning Committee is aware that the Administrations of Ciskei and Bophuthatswana are participating in the process.

The ADM has supported its application with a comprehensive set of press cuttings.

The People's Progressive Party and the National Seoposengwe Party both of which claim to operate in Bophuthatswana and had their applications considered by Codesa. These two have responded to the questionnaire and have been publicly campaigning for inclusion.

It is **recommended** that the Planning Committee further explores the applications of these parties.

4. **Conclusion**

Due to the fact that the meeting of the Negotiating Forum has been postponed, there is adequate time to further investigate the applications of the abovementioned parties. Other applicants should be informed of these decisions.

## **MULTI-PARTY NEGOTIATING PROCESS**

1 June 1993

### **FINANCIAL REPORT TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE**

1. The provisional estimated expenditure on the Multi-party Negotiating Process (Negotiating Council, Planning Committee, Administration and Security) for April 1993 is R3 million.
2. A detailed analysis of items of actual expenditure for April will only be available by 11 June and for May by middle July. Major accounts for a specific month are only available by the middle of the following month. These then have to be verified before actual expenditure can be determined. Many delegates also do not submit their claims immediately for a specific month.
4. Expenditure in April and May causing concern in a provisional analysis relates to telephones, courier services in respect of documents and the video relays of proceedings of the Negotiating Council.
3. The detailed analysis of expenditure for April will thus be made available to the Planning Committee on 11 June. The Financial Manager is available to reply to questions in this regard.

1dpc06

**DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL  
TO BE HELD ON TUESDAY 1 JUNE 1993 AT 14H00**

**Chairpersonship** - PJ Gordhan assisted by L Landers

1. **Moment of Prayer/Meditation**
2. **Welcome and Attendance**
3. **Ratification of Agenda**
4. **Meetings Schedule**
5. **Minutes**
  - 5.1 Adoption of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of:
    - 5.1.1 27 May 1993
    - 5.1.2 28 May 1993
  - 5.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 27 May and 28 May 1993
6. **Substantive Issues**
  - 6.1 SA Government/PAC Report Back
  - 6.2 Further Reports from the Technical Committees:
    - 6.2.1 Constitutional Issues
    - 6.2.2 TEC and its Sub-Councils
    - 6.2.3 Independent Election Commission
    - 6.2.4 Independent Media Commission
  - 6.3 Commission on the Delimitation of Regions/Provinces/States
  - 6.4 Election Date
7. **Procedural Issues**
  - 7.1 Media Arrangements
  - 7.2 New Participants
8. **Administrative Matters**
9. **Closure**

## PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

Planning Committee	Tuesday 1 June 1993	10h00 - 13h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 1 June 1993	14h00 - 22h00
Planning Committee	Wednesday 2 June 1993	18h00 - 21h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 3 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Thursday 3 June 1993	18h00 - 20h00

Technical Committees do detailed work	Monday 7 June 1993 to Friday 11 June 1993	
--	--	--

Planning Committee	Monday 14 June 1993	08h30 - 13h00
Negotiating Council	Monday 14 June 1993	14h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 15 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Thursday 17 June 1993	08h30 - 13h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 17 June 1993	14h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 18 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00

Planning Committee	Monday 21 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 22 June 1993	11h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 23 June 1993	11h00 - 19h00
Planning Committee	Thursday 24 June 1993	08h30 - 11h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 24 June 1993	11h00 - 19h00
<b>NEGOTIATING FORUM</b>	Friday 25 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00

**DELEGATE PACKS**  
**1/4/3**

1/4/3/15      9 AUGUST 1993  
1/4/3/16      16 AUGUST 1993  
1/4/3/17      Planning Committee Pack of Minutes