IESE MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 11H15 ON TUESDAY 15 JUNE 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT

B Alexander

R Cronje (Chairperson by rotation)

C Eglin PJ Gordhan FT Mdlalose RP Meyer

MC Ramaphosa

J Slovo Z Titus M Webb

MJ Mahlangu (Acting Chairperson of the Negotiating Council)

T Eloff (Administration)
G Hutchings (Minutes)

1. Motion as proposed by the Kwazulu Government and Resolution as proposed by the IFP:

- 1.1 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee be present during the discussion on the proposed motion and the proposed resolution.
- 1.2 After a long discussion and debate, the following proposal was put forward by R Meyer:
 - "1. That discussions in the Negotiating Council continue on Constitutional Principles and other reports of the Technical Committee that are before the Negotiating Council.
 - 2. Request the Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues to:
 - 2.1 Consider the constitutional options for SPR government which may have been submitted to the Negotiating Council; and
 - 2.2 Consider a Constitution-Making Process providing for a ground-up democracy building process; and

2.3 To submit recommendations to the Negotiating Council on this."

It was suggested that this proposal go forward to the Negotiating Council as a compromise from the Planning Committee.

- 1.3 It was suggested that acceptance of the various agreements that the Negotiating Council segmentally enter into, will depend upon the acceptance of the package as a whole.
- 1.4 At this point the Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues and J Matthews were invited to attend the meeting.
- 1.5 Questions were put to the Technical Committee members. Discussion and debate followed.
- 1.6 After a brief adjournment, it was noted that in spite of the above proposal:
 - * The IFP resolution would not be withdrawn;
 - * The Kwazulu Government written statement would not be withdrawn; but
 - * The motion of the Kwazulu Government would be withdrawn.
- 1.7 It was agreed, that even in the light of the above, to put forward the proposal by R Meyer to the Negotiating Council as a proposed way forward. Reservations were expressed by R Cronje, FT Mdlalose and M Webb.
- 1.8 It was agreed that the Negotiating Council should be given the benefit of the same explanation from the Technical Committee that the Planning Committee had had.

The meeting adjourned at 14h50 to join the meeting of the Negotiating Council.

The meeting reconvened at 17h15.

2. Declaration on Cessation/Suspension of Hostilities, Armed Struggle and Violence

- 2.1 A draft declaration was put before the meeting (see Addendum A). Discussion followed.
- 2.2 Due to time constraints, it was agreed that this issue be revisited at the next meeting of the Planning Committee.

3. Election Date:

- 3.1 It was noted that the Election Date should be dealt with when the Negotiating Council meeting resumed. This was in accordance with the decision of the Negotiating Council that the issue of the Election Date be dealt with after resumption of the Negotiating Council meeting, following afternoon tea.
- 3.2 It was noted that the purpose of again putting forward the resolution on the Election Date, as adopted by the Negotiating Council on 3 June 1993 by sufficient consensus, to the meeting of the Negotiating Council, was to attempt to achieve maximum consensus before the resolution goes to the Negotiating Forum as a recommendation from the Negotiating Council.
- 3.2 It was suggested to put forward the following proposal to the Negotiating Council to structure the discussion in the meeting:
 - * It should be noted that, in terms of the resolution as adopted on 3 June, this issue had to be finalised today;
 - * Only participants who had had a change of mind should address the Council and discussion would be allowed;
 - * Participants who had not changed their views in this regard, should not state their positions again.

This would allow all participants to revisit the issue, but no new ruling in this regard would be required.

4. Closure

The meeting was closed at 17h30.

CHAIRPERSON

DECLARATION ON CESSATION/SUSPENSION OF HOSTILITIES, ARMED STRUGGLE AND VIOLENCE Put to the Negotiating Council on Thusday 17 June 1993

1. Recognising:

That our country and people urgently require stability and peace in order to progress to a democratic and harmonious future;

2. Mindful:

- * That we are all products of a conflict ridden society and emerging from a long period of tension and hostilities;
- * That political violence still persist in the resolution of political differences;

3. Believing:

- * That participants in the Negotiating Process have a responsibility to inculcate a new spirit of tolerance;
- * That political rivalry and competition does not require the use of violence;
- * That it is necessary for all participants to categorically eschew violence in all forms;
- * There is a need for cessation/suspension of hostilities/armed struggle/violence for peaceful negotiations to move forward;

4. Aware:

That an invaluable opportunity now exists to decide on our future through a process of peaceful negotiations;

5. Now Therefore Declare:

That as from this day of June 1993 we, the parties subscribing to this declaration, commit/recommit ourselves to cease/suspend any form of hostilities/armed struggle/violence in pursuance of political objectives and in the resolution of political differences.

SE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE. THE MINUTES ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 11H15 ON TUESDAY 15 JUNE 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT

B Alexander

R Cronje (Chairperson by rotation)

C Eglin PJ Gordhan FT Mdlalose RP Meyer

MC Ramaphosa

J Slovo Z Titus M Webb

MJ Mahlangu (Acting Chairperson of the Negotiating Council)

T Eloff (Administration)
G Hutchings (Minutes)

1. Motion as proposed by the Kwazulu Government and Resolution as proposed by the IFP:

- 1.1 It was agreed that the Sub-Committee be present during the discussion on the proposed motion and the proposed resolution.
- 1.2 After a long discussion and debate, the following proposal was put forward by R Meyer:
 - "1. That discussions in the Negotiating Council continue on Constitutional Principles and other reports of the Technical Committee that are before the Negotiating Council.
 - 2. Request the Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues to:
 - 2.1 Consider the constitutional options for SPR government which may have been submitted to the Negotiating Council; and
 - 2.2 Consider a Constitution-Making Process providing for a ground-up democracy building process; and

2.3 To submit recommendations to the Negotiating Council on this."

It was suggested that this proposal go forward to the Negotiating Council as a compromise from the Planning Committee.

- 1.3 It was suggested that acceptance of the various agreements that the Negotiating Council segmentally enter into, will depend upon the acceptance of the package as a whole.
- 1.4 At this point the Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues and J Matthews were invited to attend the meeting.
- 1.5 Questions were put to the Technical Committee members. Discussion and debate followed.
- 1.6 After a brief adjournment, it was noted that in spite of the above proposal:
 - The IFP resolution would not be withdrawn;
 - The Kwazulu Government written statement would not be withdrawn;
 but
 - * The motion of the Kwazulu Government would be withdrawn.
- 1.7 It was agreed, that even in the light of the above, to put forward the proposal by R Meyer to the Negotiating Council as a proposed way forward. Reservations were expressed by R Cronje, FT Mdlalose and M Webb.
- 1.8 It was agreed that the Negotiating Council should be given the benefit of the same explanation from the Technical Committee that the Planning Committee had had.

The meeting adjourned at 14h50 to join the meeting of the Negotiating Council.

The meeting reconvened at 17h15.

2. Declaration on Cessation/Suspension of Hostilities, Armed Struggle and Violence

- 2.1 A draft declaration was put before the meeting (see Addendum A). Discussion followed.
- 2.2 Due to time constraints, it was agreed that this issue be revisited at the next meeting of the Planning Committee.

Election Date:

- 3.1 It was noted that the Election Date should be dealt with when the Negotiating Council meeting resumed. This was in accordance with the decision of the Negotiating Council that the issue of the Election Date be dealt with after resumption of the Negotiating Council meeting, following afternoon tea.
- 3.2 It was noted that the purpose of again putting forward the resolution on the Election Date, as adopted by the Negotiating Council on 3 June 1993 by sufficient consensus, to the meeting of the Negotiating Council, was to attempt to achieve maximum consensus before the resolution goes to the Negotiating Forum as a recommendation from the Negotiating Council.
- 3.2 It was suggested to put forward the following proposal to the Negotiating Council to structure the discussion in the meeting:
 - * It should be noted that, in terms of the resolution as adopted on 3 June, this issue had to be finalised today;
 - * Only participants who had had a change of mind should address the Council and discussion would be allowed;
 - * Participants who had not changed their views in this regard, should not state their positions again.

This would allow all participants to revisit the issue, but no new ruling in this regard would be required.

4. Closure

The meeting was closed at 17h30.

DECLARATION ON CESSATION/SUSPENSION OF HOSTILITIES, ARMED STRUGGLE AND VIOLENCE

Put to the Negotiating Council on Thusday 17 June 1993

1. Recognising:

That our country and people urgently require stability and peace in order to progress to a democratic and harmonious future;

2. Mindful:

- * That we are all products of a conflict ridden society and emerging from a long period of tension and hostilities;
- * That political violence still persist in the resolution of political differences;

3. Believing:

- * That participants in the Negotiating Process have a responsibility to inculcate a new spirit of tolerance;
- * That political rivalry and competition does not require the use of violence;
- * That it is necessary for all participants to categorically eschew violence in all forms;
- * There is a need for cessation/suspension of hostilities/armed struggle/violence for peaceful negotiations to move forward;

4. Aware:

That an invaluable opportunity now exists to decide on our future through a process of peaceful negotiations;

5. Now Therefore Declare:

That as from this day of June 1993 we, the parties subscribing to this declaration, commit/recommit ourselves to cease/suspend any form of hostilities/armed struggle/violence in pursuance of political objectives and in the resolution of political differences.