CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY

THEME COMMITTEE 4 FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

29 May 1995

Room OLD ASSEMBLY

DOCUMENTATION

CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY

THEME COMMITTEE 4

FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS

Please note that a meeting of the above Group will be held as indicated below:

Date

Monday, 29 May 1995

Time

14h00 - 17h00

Venue :

Old Assembly

AGENDA

- 1. Opening
- 2. Matters Arising
- Minutes: Theme Committee meeting 22 May 1995 Pages 2-7 3.
- 4. Reproductive Rights: Party Submissions (See Separate documentation entitled Reproductive Rights)
- 5. General
 - 5.1 Sector Public Hearings
 - 5.2 Constitutional Public meetings - Pages 8
- 6. Closure

H Ebrahim - Executive Director Constitutional Assembly

Enquiries : John Tsalamandris and Zuleiga Adams

Tel: 403 2266; Pager: 468 5050 code 4716

CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY

MINUTES OF MEETING OF

THEME COMMITTEE 4 FUNDAMENTAL RIGHTS MONDAY 22 MAY 1995 (AT 9H00)

PRESENT

Radue RJ (Chairperson)

Bakker DM
Chalmers J
Coleman M
Dlamini BO
Gamndana T
Green LM
Hajaij F
Kgoali JL
Lubidla EN
Mashamba TGG
Molekane RS
Myakayaka-Manzini YL
Njobe MAA

Pandor GNM
Rasmeni SM
Saloojee E
Sizani RK
Smuts D (alt)
Surty ME
Thabethe E (alt)
Thompson B
Tshivhase TJ (alt)
Viljoen V

APOLOGIES: Asmal KA, Camerer SM, Leon AJ, Lubidla EN, Mdladlana MMS

J Tsalamandris, P Crowley, S Liebenberg and J Dugard were in attendance.

1. OPENING

1.1 Sen. Radue opened the meeting at 09h00.

2. MATTERS ARISING

2.1 Sen. Surty referred to 2.2 and asked about the availability of the documents presented at the Public Hearing.

3. MINUTES

- 3.1 The minutes of the Theme Committee meeting of 15 May 1995 were adopted with the following amendments:
 - 3.1.1 The word "an" should be corrected to "and" in the para 2.2.1.
 - 3.1.2 Para 2.2 should also note that Sen. Radue had also informed the meeting that submissions received from the hearing on Traditional Authorities would be available for circulation to members.
 - 3.1.3 The word "as" in para 4.5, the NP response, second point, should be changed to "and".
 - 3.1.4 Para 4.5, NP response, third point should read: " If adherence to the limitations clause and in particular the subclause (1) is ensured, the NP is convinced that the right to life itself is not negated for the rest of society, where the death sentence is imposed."
 - 3.1.5 Para 4.5, NP response, third point, the phrase " Ultimately, it should ... Court", should be altered to ""Ultimately the National Party will abide by the decision of the Constitutional Court".
 - 3.1.6 Para 4.5, NP response, fourth point, the phrase " to provide the nation ... of the death penalty" should be changed to: "The NP believes that the Constitutional Court is better equipped than the legislature to provide the nation with an interpretation of the Constitution and the death penalty".
 - 3.1.7 Para 4.5, NP response, fifth point, should read: "Legislation which was amended some years ago provides enough safeguards to prevent mistakes".
 - 3.1.8 Para 4.2, ANC response, first point, should read: "The ANC believes that the Constitution should consider the right to abortion under reproductive rights".

4. SOCIO-ECONOMIC RIGHTS: PARTY SUBMISSIONS

4.1 The ACDP submission was presented by Mr Green who spoke to the document, "Submission to the Constitutional Assembly - Theme Committee Four: Socio-Economic Rights

There were no questions to the ACDP.

4.2 The ANC submission was presented by Senator Surty who spoke to the document, "Preliminary Submission of ANC on Item 14 of the Work Programme - Socio-Economic Rights

Questions to the ANC included:

4.2.1 Property

- i) Who defines public interest?
- ii) How would the ANC deal with marginal land?
- iii) With reference to public interest and public purpose, why has the ANC position changed on its position at the World Trade Centre?
- iv) What is the ANC's position on Customary Law, and how could they be accommodated?

The ANC responded as follows:

- Public interest can be determined by the legislature, through an open and transparent process, taking into account the imbalances of the past.
- Public purpose could be construed in a narrow context.
 Public interest is a broader concept that could include moveable property.
- The issue of land applies to the Customary Law as well as to gender issues.

4.2.2 EDUCATION

- i) What is the ANC's position on home schooling?
- ii) In the case of schools created by communities Is it right for the State to refuse subsidies ?
- iii) Is there a "means test" to determine those who cannot afford it?

The ANC responded as follows:

- The ANC does not exclude this.
- The issue of subsidies has many facets which need to be taken onto account e.g. the overall needs of the people of the community especially for cultural and language

purposes, the issue of infringements on other rights etc.

There is no formula, the intention is to provide education for children, who cannot afford it.

4.2.3 ENVIRONMENT

i) What about the "moral environment", should it not be taken into account?

The ANC responded as follows:

 The ANC does not support specific morals, but would not support an "immoral society".

4.2.4 ECONOMIC ACTIVITY

i) Is the ANC adamant to oppose to any attempt to include this right in the final Constitution?

The ANC responded as follows:

 The ANC will oppose any attempt to include this right in the new Constitution.

4.2.5 LABOUR

i) Why does the ANC not support "lock-outs" ?

The ANC noted that it was opposed to "lock-outs" as they contradicted the ILO charter to which South Africa has subscribed to.

4.2.6 OTHER SOCIO-ECONOMIC RIGHTS

The ANC recommended that the Technical Committee attempt to identify socio-economic rights not included in the work programme.

4.3 The PAC submission which had not been submitted to the CA Secretariat, was presented verbally by Mr Sizani.

Questions to the PAC included:

4.3.1 PROPERTY

i) Won't the redistribution of land damage the economy?

Mr Sizani noted the concern about the economy, but emphasised that land redistribution had to take place.

4.3.2 There were no questions on: ENVIRONMENT, ECONOMY and LANGUAGE AND CULTURE.

4.3.3 LABOUR

i) What about the rights of employers?

The PAC responded by noting the need to protect collective bargaining.

4.4 The DP submission was presented by Ms Smuts who spoke to the document, Democratic Party Submission on: Socio-Economic Rights

Questions to the DP included:

4.4.1 PROPERTY

- i) Would the DP support the right to housing and the restitution of land?
- ii) Was the Portuguese Constitution and its sections on socioeconomic rights considered by the DP?

The DP responded as follows:

- It supported the right to housing with regards to access to land and housing. - The DP had not considered the Portuguese constitution.
- 4.5 The NP submission was presented by Sen. Radue who spoke to the document, National Party Preliminary Submission: Theme Committee 4 Item 14: Socio-Economic Rights

Questions to the NP included:

4.5.1 PROPERTY

- i) What about compensation to address imbalances of the past?
- ii) With regards to the restitution process, the NP has shifted the onus on the dispossessed to respond to land claims.
- iii) Would the NP agree to compensation?

The NP responded as follows:

- The NP supports this matter but is should be carried out by a court of law.
- It is the onus of the incumbent GNU to deal with the matter of land claims and dispossession.
- People should feel free to come forward to claim land which is rightfully theirs or be compensated.

4.5.2 EDUCATION

i) Why is the NP's submission opposed to the ANC's?

The NP responded by noting the statements by the ANC and suggesting that further debate take place at the Constitutional Assembly level.

- 4.5.3 There were no questions on ENVIRONMENT, and LANGUAGE AND CULTURE.
- 4.6 The meeting noted that the FF had not submitted a submission on socioeconomic rights.
- GENERAL
- 5.1 Constitutional Public Meetings (CPM)
- 5.1.1 There were no volunteers to represent the Theme Committee at the meeting in Beaufort West on 25 May 1995.
- 5.2 Public Sector Hearings
- 5.2.1 The Committee nominated the following for the sector hearing on Religion:
 - i) Mrs Hajaij Welcome and Opening
 - ii) Mr Green Panel on Bill of Rights
- 5.3 Parties were reminded that party submissions on "Reproductive Rights" were due on 22 May 1995.
- CLOSURE

The meeting closed at 16h50.

MEMORANDUM

TO:

MANAGING SECRETARIES

CHIEF WHIPS

FROM:

UNA FOURIE, COMMUNITY LIAISON

DATE:

23 MAY 1995

SUBJECT: CONSTITUTIONAL PUBLIC MEETINGS

KWAZULU-NATAL

The following Constitutional Public Meetings are being planned for 24 JUNE 1995 in KwaZulu-Natal:

Newcastle Hammersdale 24 June 1995 24 June 1995

2. CPMs - JUNE 1995

CPMs planned for June 1995 are:

Northern Cape	Kimberley	3 June 1995
Northern Transvaal	Thohoyandou	3 June 1995
Eastern Cape	Lusikisiki	10 June 1995
North West	Mafikeng	10 June 1995
Northern Cape	Carnarvon	17 June 1995
Northern Transvaal	Ellisras	17 June 1995
Eastern Transvaal	Mhluzi	17 June 1995
Western Cape	George	24 June 1995
Free State	Maokeng	24 June 1995
KwaZulu-Natal	Hammersdale	24 June 1995
KwaZulu-Natal	Newcastle	24 June 1995