# **CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY**

# THEME COMMITTEE 2 STRUCTURE OF GOVERNMENT

**MEETING OF THEME COMMITTEE 2** 

MONDAY 27 FEBRUARY 1995 09H00 - 12H00 M46

**DOCUMENTATION** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	DOCUMENT	PAGE
1.	Notice & Agenda	1 - 2
2.	Minutes: Theme Committee minutes (15/02/95), including Technical Committee report 1, in respect of In-house Workshop on "The Executive"	3 - 16
3.	Report: Technical Committee Report 2, as addendum to Report 1, requested by Core Group (15/02/95)	17 - 18
4.	Advertisement: Sample Copy of Advertisement on block 2/3	19
		· JANES TOP 1
1792		Page 12 Section

### **CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY**

# THEME COMMITTEE 2 STRUCTURE OF GOVERNMENT

### THEME COMMITTEE MEETING

Please note that a meeting of the above Committee will be held as indicated below:

Date:Monday 27 February 1995

Time:9h00 - 12h00

Venue:M46

#### **AGENDA**

- 1. Opening and Welcome
- 2. Theme Committee Minutes (7 February 1995)
- 3. Report from Core Group
- 4. Report on In-house workshop
- 5. Work Programme for Technical Experts
- 6. Supplementary Report in respect of block 1
- 7. Submissions in respect of block 2/3
- 8. Any other business
- 9. Closure

# HASSEN EBRAHIM EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY

Enquiries: Please note that direct telephone numbers have been reinstated to

James Nene (4032109) and Thomas Smit (4032281)

Charmaine Fredericks may be reached through the switchboard:

(245031)

# CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY THEME COMMITTEE 2 MINUTES OF COMMITTEE MEETING

# Wednesday 15 February 1995 9H00-10h00 Old Assembly Chambers

### PRESENT

Rabie JA (chairperson)

Ackerman C
Badenhorst MJ
Bhengu G (Alt)
Beyers AS
Bester BC
Biyela BP (Alt)
Dexter P (Alt)
Diale N
Doidge GQ
Ebrahim AG
Eglin CW

Foster JA
Groenewald PH
Holomisa SP
Hendrickse PAC (Alt)
Lebona HJP (Alt)
Ligege MG
Louw SK
Lucas E
Mahlangu MJ
Mars I
Marshoff FB

Mashile NL (Alt)
Mlangeni A
Moloto CP (Alt)
Msomi M
Mutsila I
Mwedemutsu MJ
Ndlovu VB
Nxumalo SDW
Olifant DAA

Pahad EGH
Phakathi NE
Rabie JA
Sekgobela PS
Sethema BEE
Mothoagae P (Alt)
Ramusi MC (Alt)
Shabangu S
Tolo LJ

#### **APOLOGIES**

Maduna P Steenkamp PJ

#### **ABSENT**

Some parties have yet to complete their updated list of representatives and alternatives.

#### IN ATTENDANCE

#### TECHNICAL EXPERTS

Dlova V Steytler N Motimele M Van Wyk D

#### SECRETARIAT

Nene J Smit T

#### OTHER

Maluwa T (invited speaker on the executive: "Developments in Africa")

#### 1. OPENING AND WELCOME

1.1. The meeting was opened by Rabie JA.

### 2. THEME COMMITTEE MINUTES (7/02/95)

- 2.1. The minutes of the previous meeting were approved, subject to the following:
  - (i) The meeting had been chaired and opened by Ndlovu VB, and Beyers AS had indeed been present; and,
  - (ii) Point 4.1.2.(ii) is amended to read "Structure and functioning of government at provincial level."

#### 3. CORE GROUP REPORT

- 3.1. The chairperson reported that the Core Group had agreed to request the Technical Committee to prepare for an in-house workshop on the Executive, the programme of which were included in the documentation pack for this meeting.
- 3.2. The meeting adopted the programme and it was agreed that the inhouse workshop shall follow the TC2 meeting at 9h00.
- 3.3. In respect of requests for work by the Technical experts emanating specifically from political parties, the meeting noted that requests would still have to be tabled through the TC. It was noted that further clarification could be sought by parties from the Management Committee.
- 3.4. In respect of the advertisement for block 2/3, it was noted that the Media Department was meeting with the Advertising Agency during the course of the morning. It was agreed that the final version of the document emanating from that meeting shall be verified during the course of the in-house workshop by the Core Group.
- It was further agreed that upon approval by the Core Group of the advertisement, it shall be placed for publication as a matter of urgency.

#### 4. IN-HOUSE WORKSHOP

- 4.1. The meeting noted that some speakers had had inadequate time to prepare and submit written papers to the meeting. It was also noted that the larger part of the workshop cannot be transcribed in detail, due to faulty recording.
- 4.2. It was agreed that all speakers who made presentations submit their papers for convenient reference of the Committee.
- 4.3. The attached in-house workshop report prepared by the Technical

Committee serves to minute the workshop (see Technical Committee Report 1).

## 5. CLOSURE

5.1. The meeting rose at 16h15

# **CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY**

# THEME COMMITTEE 2 STRUCTURE OF GOVERNMENT

THEME COMMITTEE MEETING

MONDAY 27 FEBRUARY 1995 9H00-12H00 M46

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REPORT

1

IN-HOUSE WORKSHOP
THE EXECUTIVE

### 1. THE PRESIDENCY

# 1.1. Presentation by Professor Nico C Steytler, Technical Committee

The only Constitutional Principle relevant to the issue is Principle VI, the separation of powers on which all parties agree upon. The content of the doctrine is not, however, fixed. As a minimum it entails that different organs of state - the legislature, the executive and the judiciary - perform different functions. A more stricter version of the separation of powers doctrine is that the personnel of the different organs should also be distinct. The second part of the separation of powers doctrine is that there are clear controlling mechanisms between the three organs of state through checks and balances to ensure accountability, responsiveness and openness.

The judiciary controls the legality and constitutionality of the president's actions. The legislature exerts control on the formulation and execution of policy. The extent and nature of legislative control will depend much on the type of executive and presidency.

There are two broad models of the presidency: the president can be directly elected by the electorate (popular presidency), or indirectly elected by the legislature (parliamentary presidency). If the president is directly elected by the electorate then the following consequences usually pertain: the length of tenure is fixed; the cabinet is appointed from outside the legislature; the president has executive powers; he is dismissed through impeachment proceedings; and there are reciprocal controls over legislation, and appointments.

With a parliamentary presidency, different consequences follow: there is no fixed length of tenure; the cabinet is appointed from the legislature; the powers of the president may vary considerably (from ceremonial to executive); and the president can be dismissed through a motion of no confidence.

The interim Constitution has established a parliamentary presidency which ensures that the president will always be in harmony with the majority party in parliament.

From the political parties in their submission on the separation of powers show a clear preference for a parliamentary president emerges. On the powers of the president, there is thus some support for a split between the

positions of the head of state and head of government. The <u>IFP</u> proposes that the positions should be kept separate. The <u>FF</u> urges the consideration of the split on the grounds of the workload which a combined office entails. The <u>IFP</u> proposal on the Head of State is much more than a ceremonial position. The function of the head of state should be "the preservation of the constitutional order and the proper functioning of the constitutional machinery". The <u>PAC</u>, on the other hand, is opposed to a split office. It argues that separation of office can lead to tension and even conflict.<sup>1</sup>

The IFP proposes a seven year non-renewable term of office.

The <u>PAC</u> excludes from the power of the executive the termination of the life of parliament; the matter should be regulated exclusively by the constitution.<sup>2</sup>

# 1.2. Presentation by Dr Tiya Maluwa, Law of Faculty of the University of Cape Town

Dr Maluwa delivered a paper on the African experience with the executive presidency:

PRESIDENTIALISM IN AFRICA: A BRIEF SURVEY

SUMMARY OF PRESENTATION PREPARED BY DR. T. MALUWA

#### Introduction

All former British colonies in Africa attained independence in the early and mid 1960s on the basis of written constitutions modelled on the British idea of parliamentary government (i.e. the Westminster model). The (unwritten) British Constitution, like the United States Constitution, operates on the basis of certain constitutional principles that are captured in the doctrine of 'separation of powers': the idea that government functions can be neatly divided into three categories which must be kept separate: executive; legislative and judicial, and that the personnel appointed or elected to discharge these functions must also be separate. But an accompanying element of this alleged separation of powers is the idea of checks and balances (to this may be added other constitutional concepts: bicameralism,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> PAC submission to TC2, 31 January 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> PAC submission to TC2, separation of powers, 30 January 1995.

federalism, etc.). The idea behind this doctrine is to avoid the concentration of power in one person or body of persons, but rather to spread it over different personnel and institutions (branches); that way it is argued one avoids despotism, whether elective, hereditary or self-acquired, and the absence of despotism ensures proper democratic governance. The newly independent African countries thus inherited this constitutional idea of 'separation of powers' as the core concept of their systems of government.

But one of the fundamental questions which arose in the post-independence constitutional debates in Africa (and this is a question that constitutional lawyers continue to ask all the time) is whether there can be a complete separation, and how do we demarcate the powers? It may be easy to define legislative and judicial functions, but what are the executive functions? In other words, what does the idea of executive power entail? In most modern constitutions, this power is allocated to the 'presidency': what does presidentialism imply? What, indeed, is the nature of the executive in a presidential system?

Now, it is said that there are three theories which may be employed in examining these questions, and which are relevant in examining the African experience: the residual power theory: that the executive is entitled to exercise power in respect of everything that is not encompassed by judicial and legislative powers; the inherent power theory: that the executive may do anything inherently executive in nature: the specific grant theory: that the executive only executes those functions specifically granted in the constitution, in accordance with specific provisions of the law, and nothing more. All these questions that African countries have had to reflect upon in attempts to work out the relevance of the inherited idea of presidentialism. But these are questions that go to the core of the wider debates about the type of executive that is most desirable in the South African context, and to the wider definition of executive powers themselves. As such, I shall not attempt to answer these questions here, or to go into an examination of the opposing theoretical frameworks. Rather, I am concerned with briefly surveying two issues: first, certain characteristics regarding the nature of the executive in the presidential system; and secondly, the factors behind the origins and the proliferation of the presidentialism in Africa. In brief, a quick survey through the African experience with the constitutional idea of "presidentialism". From this, one may perhaps begin to work out the path that South Africa ought to follow.

#### The Nature of the Executive in the Presidential System:

#### 1. A 'single' or 'dual' executive?

The question here has always been whether to vest executive power in a single office or person ( the presidency) or a combination of offices or

persons, say president and prime minister. If the latter, how do you divide the functions between the two offices?

Now, it will be recalled that the British model is based on the idea of a separation of executive authority: formal executive power is vested in the head of state, the monarch, but real executive power is enjoyed and exercised by the head of government, the prime minister. The attempt was made in all former British African colonies to transplant this idea to the newly independent countries: the British monarch continued to be head of state, but now represented by governor-general (always a white colonial officer, except in Nigeria and Sierra Leone, where a local politician occupied the position); and a primne minister, representing the majority party in parliament, exercised real executive authority as head of government.

As is well known, in due course, within periods ranging from two to three years following independence, all former British colonies in Africa dispensed with this "executive duality" and opted for "republican" status and forms of government: the separation between head of state and head of government was removed, and both offices vested in the same person: an all-powerful president who enjoyed both *formal* and *real* executive powers. The new type of executive authority ranged from the single-party omnipotence of Kwame Nkrumah and Hastings Banda in Ghana and Malawi, respectively, to the more benign and democratic arrangements in Sir Seretse Khama and (very briefly) Kenneth Kaunda and Julius Nyerere in Botswana, Zambia and Tanzania, respectively, to give just a few examples.

#### 2. Executive independence

This entailed, inter alia, independence from control by the legislature: the problem here is the same one that the drafters of the U.S. Constitution had to grapple with: avoidance of the tyranny of an all-powerful executive as well as an omnipotent legislature. The question in Africa, as elsewhere, was how to achieve and ensure such independence: should the executive also be part of the legislature or not?

#### Executive independence and system of checks and balances

A related question is: what constraints should be placed on the executive; here, there was always need to define not only the role of the legislature but, more importantly, that of the judiciary as well: what ought to be the limits of judicial review in relation, say, to executive acts? What discretion should the executive enjoy? And so on.

The attempt to import the Westminster model to Africa did not wholly succeed; in fact, the experiment of parliamentary democratic governance was quite short-lived in post-independence Africa and, in rapid succession,

all these countries opted for the presidential models of government. Various models of presidentialism have been tried over and over again over the last thirty years, including, for example: adaptations of the French system, with a powerful president and prime minister who was nominally head of government, but was in reality no more than "chairperson" of the cabinet, enjoying no real executive authority (Tanzania and, at various times, Lesotho, Swaziland and Zambia); attempted adaptations of the American system (at various times, in all the other countries, where the president is the sole executive authority, without even a nominal prime minister as part of the structure, etc). And, of course, the proliferation of military government also meant that even these experiments with presidentialism were not, for the most part, subjected to the test of time.

#### Some factors behind the origins and proliferation of Presidentialism in Africa

#### 1. Autochthony

This has to do with the philosophical arguments about the source(s) from which the constitution derives its authority as law, and the content of the constitution (structure of government) itself. Presidentialism was seen as the culmination in the constitutional field of the nationalist struggle for emancipation from colonial rule; thus replacement of the Queen as head of state with a governor-general or an indigenous substitution was generally found to be a unacceptable colonial hangover. As Nkrumah observed at the inauguration of Ghana as a Republic in 1960, the idea was to "give full expression to the African personality by making the Head of State a native of the soil."

#### 2. "Africanism"

One of the oft quoted arguments in favour of dispensing with the separation between a ceremonial head of state and an executive head of government was that such an arrangement was not consonant with African traditional concepts of governance: it was "un-African". It is enough merely to quote Tom Mboya, then Kenya's Minister of Constitutional Affairs, who said in 1964: "The historical process by which, in other land, Heads of State, whether Kings or Presidents, have become figureheads, are no part of our African tradition"; and, Julius Nyerere, then Prime Minister of Tanganyika, who had observed in 1962: "To us, honour and respect are accorded to a chief, monarch, or president not because of his symbolism, but because of the authority and responsibility he holds. We are not used to the division between real authority and formal authority". But, what exactly is this "Africanism" of the "African tradition" being invoked here?

#### 3. The Personality Factor

Personalities count a lot in political and constitutional development: the head of state is the first citizen, elevated in dignity and prestige above all others; a symbol of majesty and power; an embodiment of the sovereignty of the nation: would the towering figures of anticolonial struggles - Nkrumah, Nyerere, Kenyatta, Kaunda, Banda, Khama, Machel, Mugabe, and so on, have accepted the "subordinate" role of a prime minister while the dignity and prestige of head of state, "father of the nation", went to a less well-known personality after the departure of the colonial governor-general?

#### 4. Clash of Interest/Conflict of Authority

This was a factor that arose out of the very idea of the duality of the executive; vesting authority in one person but allowing it to be exercised in reality by another naturally brought about, in most African countries, clashes of interest, uncertainty and complexity in governmental and political relations, especially when coupled with the fiction that the head of state was supposed to be politically neutral and above politics

#### 1.3. Discussion

In discussions the following issues emerged:

- (a) When dealing with the presidency, it is not useful to refer to Western or African traditions of democracy as both continents have experienced undemocratic governments. It is more important to ensure multi-party democracy.
- (b) The advantages of split between the head of state (as ceremonial head) and head of government should be further examined.
- (c) The parliamentary control over the presidency needs to be spelled out in detail.
- (d) The role and powers of the vice presidency need to be examined.

### 2. THE CABINET

# 2.1. Presentation by Professor Vusiyile Dlova, Technical Committee

A Summary of Professor Dlova's paper follows:

#### INTRODUCTION

The Cabinet is part of the Executive arm of Government. It is defined as the core of decision makers within the Executive organ of Government. This core may be led by a President or a Prime Minister as the case may be.

The Executive is that arm of Government that executes the laws or policies of Government as set out in the main by the legislature. However, in parliamentary executive models, the Executive often initiates and guides legislation through Parliament, and in the case of secondary legislation engage in actual law-making itself, e.g. proclamations.

#### **MODELS**

Broadly speaking the are three types of executive models, namely:

- 1. The Parliamentary Executive or Westminster executive e.g United Kingdom
- 2. The non Parliamentary or extra Parliamentary executive e.g. United States of America
- Consociational or Constitutional prescribed coalition e.g Switzerland.

#### 1. PARLIAMENTARY EXECUTIVE

This type of executive is characterised by the overlap of personnel and to some degree of function between the Legislature and the Executive. Members of the Executive are also Members of Parliament. They are individually as Ministers and collectively as Cabinet accountable to the Legislature. They also initiate and shepherd legislation through Parliament and therefore chief actors in and outside Parliament.

The leader of the majority party normally becomes the Prime Minister or President and he appoints his Cabinet as he so desires. This Executive may be dissolved through a motion of no confidence.

#### 2. EXTRA PARLIAMENTARY EXECUTIVE

The Executive in this model is independent from the Legislature in terms of mandate, responsibility and personnel. This Executive cannot be dissolved through a motion of no confidence. It can however be

impeached by the legislature in certain specified instances. In this model the President is elected directly by the people.

#### 3. CONSOCIATIONAL MODEL

The main feature of this model is that it protects minority rights. The two models mentioned above are criticised as being majoritarian and therefore not suited for societies which are not homogeneous. There are three forms of this model:

- 1. Constitutionally prescribed coalition, e.g South African Interim
  Constitution
- 2. Forced coalition where a party needs a certain number in order to form a government. e.g. The coalition system in France.
- Voluntary coalition for whatever reasons the parties may deem fit, e.g Zimbabwe.

#### CONCLUSION

Professor Dlova discusses at length the advantages and disadvantages of these three models. His paper will be available for further reference.

### 2.2. Presentation by Professor Dawid van Wyk, Technical Committee

Professor van Wyk gave a summary of the Conference on the Executive held in Pretoria on 14 February 1995. He referred to the paper presented by Professor Jean Blondel (attached as annexure "A").

He reported that although there were not many new suggestions on the executive, a number of difference between the main speakers emerged about the extent of the divisions in our society, the nature of the transitional process, and the accommodation of minority parties in the executive.

#### 2.3. Discussion

In the discussion the following issues emerged:

- (a) Should the constitutionally mandated coalition cabinet continue and how should decision be made?
- (b) How could individual of cabinet members and collective responsibility of the cabinet be ensured?

(c) How could the cabinet by made accountable to parliament?

# 3. Summing up by the Chairperson

The chairperson outlined the following issues that require further exploration:

- (a) Whether the head of state should be separate from the head of government?
- (b) How the head of state should be elected? The advantages and disadvantages attached to the popularly and parliamentary elected presidents.
- (c) The powers of the president.
- (d) The length of tenure of the president.
- (e) The controlling mechanism of the legislature; the power to dismiss the president through a vote of no confidence.
- (f) The appointment of cabinet members from outside the legislature.
- (g) Constitutionally mandated coalitions.

# **CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY**

# THEME COMMITTEE 2 STRUCTURE OF GOVERNMENT

THEME COMMITTEE MEETING

MONDAY 27 FEBRUARY 1995 9H00-12H00 M46

TECHNICAL COMMITTEE REPORT

2

THE PRESIDENCY AND CABINET POWERS, DUTIES, AND FUCTIONS

#### THE PRESIDENCY AND CABINET

As requested we list herewith some of the powers, duties and functions of a ceremonial head of state and an executive head of state and government.

#### **CEREMONIAL HEAD OF STATE**

- Appoint and accredit, receive and recognise ambassadors and other diplomatic officers;
- 2. Appoint the times for the holding of sessions for the National Assembly and the Senate;
- Pardon or reprieve offenders;
- Confer such honours as it considers appropriate on residents and friends;
- Assent, sign and promulgate laws;
- 6. Officiates in all matters of State which are non-party political in nature etc.;

#### **EXECUTIVE PRESIDENT**

The Executive President acts either in consultation or on advice of the Cabinet. His powers incorporates all those mentioned under Ceremonial Head of State and in addition he has the power to:-

- To administer and execute the laws of the country;
- Declare martial law or state of emergency;
- Establish and dissolve government departments and ministries;
- 4. Appoint Ministers and other high ranking government functionaries, etc.

#### THE CABINET

Members of the Cabinet shall have the following functions:

- To direct, coordinate and supervise the activities of Ministers and government departments;
- 2. To initiate Bills for submission to the national Assembly;
- To formulate, explain and assess for Parliament the budget of State and its economic plans and to report to Parliament thereon;
- 4. To explain and analyse to Parliament the goals of foreign policy and its relationship with other States;
- Advise the President on matters including National defence, maintenance of law and order, etc.;
- 6. To issue notices, instructions and directives to facilitate the

implementation and administration of laws administered by the Executive.

The above powers are stated in general terms.

# vou write today could be your rights tomorrow.

There is still time for all South Africans to make history happen now. The following topics are presently under discussion at the Constitutional Assembly. The 490 elected members of the Constitutional Assembly would like to hear from you.

### Theme Committee 2

THE STRUCTURE AND FUNCTIONING OF GOVERNMENT

#### 1. AT NATIONAL LEVEL

#### The Legislature including

- Bicameral or unicameral legislature
- Composition of house's constituting parliament
- Powers and functions of house/s

#### The Executive including

- Head of State
- Head of Government
- Method of appointment of Head of Government Head of State
- Structure and functioning of Cabinet

#### Checks and Balances including

- Accountability of Executive to Parliament
- Votes of no confidence
- Approval of budgets and expenditure

#### 2. AT PROVINCIAL LEVEL

#### The Legislature including

Composition and functioning of legislature

#### The Executive including

- Head of the Executive, method of appointment, powers, etc.
- Structure and functioning of Executive Councils

#### Checks and Balances including

Relationship between Executive and Legislature

These are very important issues that will affect your life now - and for the future. To air your views, contact any member of the Constitutional Assembly, your organisation, or write direct to The Executive Director, Constitutional Assembly, P.O. Box 15, Cape Town 8000. Remember, the closing date for submissions is 10 March 1995.

Do the write thing, and make your mark in the pages of history.

X You've made your mark. S Now have your say. THE NEW CONSTITUTION