# CONTENTS OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL MEETING PACK FOR 30 MARCH 1993 AT 09H15

	Item	Page No.
1.	Draft agenda for the Negotiating Council meeting - 30 March 1993	1
2.	Report on Chairpersonship (Addendum A)	2
3.	Draft minutes of the Negotiating Council meeting - 18 March 1993 (Addendum B1)	3
4.	Report of the Planning Committee (Addendum D)	13
5.	Standing Rules of Procedure (Addendum D2)	15
6.	Draft Agenda for the Negotiating Forum meeting - 1 & 2 April 1993	23
7.	Report from the meeting of the Women Representatives held on 26 March 1993 (Addendum E)	24
8.	Administrative and Financial Matters (Addendum F)	25
9.	Proposed Meeting Schedule (Addendum G)	26
10.	Correspondence (Addendum H)	28

### DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL TO BE HELD AT 09H15 ON TUESDAY 30 MARCH 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

- 1. Chairpersonship (Addendum A : Pg 2)
- 2. Welcome, attendance
- 3. Ratification of agenda
- 4. Minutes of meeting of 18 March 1993 (Addendum B1 : Pg 3 12)
- 5. Substantive Issues
  - 5.1 CODESA Agreements (Addendum C : will be available at the meeting)
- 6. Procedural Issues (Report of Planning Committee, Addendum D : Pg 13 14)
  - 6.1 Composition of the Planning Committee
  - 6.2 Administration (Addendum D1 report will be available at the meeting)
  - 6.3 Standing Rules (Addendum D2 : Pg 15 22)
  - 6.4 New participants
    - 6.4.1 Criteria
      - 6.4.2 New applications
      - 6.4.3 Observers
  - 6.5 Agenda for and functioning of the meeting of the Negotiating Forum of 1 and 2 April (Addendum D3 : Pg 23)
  - 6.6. Media arrangements for the Negotiating Forum of 1 and 2 April
  - 6.7 Meeting of women representatives (Addendum E : Pg 24)
  - 6.8 The name of the process (Addendum D4 sub-committee report will be available at the meeting)
- 7. Administrative and Financial Matters (Addendum F : Pg 25)
  - 7.1 Recommendations by the Planning Committee
  - 7.2 Demonstrations on 1 & 2 April 1993 (Addendum H : Pg 28 29)

#### 8. Media Statement

- 9. Meetings Schedule (Addendum G : Pg 26 27)
- 10. Closure

PLANNING COMM/AGENDA/AGEN3003.PC

I

#### **REPORT ON CHAIRPERSONSHIP**

It is recommended that:

- 1. The Panel of Chairpersons consists of the following:
  - \* R Cronje
  - D de Villiers
  - \* PJ Gordhan
  - \* L Landers
  - MJ Mahlangu
  - \* FT Mdlalose
- 2. The Chairpersonship for the Negotiating Council will be alphabetically rotated after every meeting according to surnames.
- 3. This meeting of the Negotiating Council be chaired by C Eglin until the Panel has been accepted by meeting, upon which DJ de Villiers will take the Chair (in light of the fact that R Cronje chaired the previous meeting).
- 4. In meetings of the Negotiating Forum, the Chairpersonship will rotate alphabetically after sessions, as decided by the Panel. Assistance by members of the Negotiating Council will be rendered in a form to be decided by the Negotiating Council.



THESE ARE DRAFT MINUTES. THEY ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THEY ARE SUBJECT TO RATIFICATION AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

#### DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL ON 18 MARCH 1993 AT 13H30 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT : See Addendum A

#### 1. Chairpersonship

It was agreed that R Cronje and J Slovo co-chair the meeting.

#### 2. Welcome, Apologies and Registration

- 2.1 All members were welcomed and no apologies were noted.
- 2.2 It was noted that two delegates from the OFS Traditional Leaders were present due to a difference of opinion over who should attend as leader of the delegation. It was agreed to allow both delegates to attend the meeting of the Negotiating Council but they were requested to attend to this issue themselves before the next meeting.

#### 3. Ratification of Agenda

The agenda was ratified with the addition of the issue of the representation of the Traditional Leaders and the Gender Advisory Committee under Item 5.2.5.

#### 4. Minutes of previous meetings

- 3.1 The minutes of the meeting of the Facilitating Panel of 5 March 1993 at 10h15 were adopted with the following amendments:
  - 3.1.1 The spelling of "alphabetically" was corrected;
  - 3.1.2 The following corrections were made to Addendum A:
    - 3.1.2.1 The delegate for the OFS Traditional Leaders be corrected to read RH Mopeli;
    - 3.1.2.2 "Pan African Congress" should read "Pan Africanist

#### Congress"; and

- 3.1.2.3 "United People's Party" should read "United People's Front".
- 3.2 The minutes of the meeting of the Facilitating Committee of 5 March 1993 at 18h00 were adopted with the following amendments:
  - 3.2.1 In Item 2.1.2, in the third line, the word "not" should read "no";
  - 3.2.2 Item 3.4 should read "The following members were elected by the Facilitating Committee to form the ad hoc sub-committee:";
  - 3.2.3 In Addendum A, "United People's Party" should read "United People's Front".
- 3.3 The minutes of the meeting of the Facilitating Committee of 6 March 1993 at 09h00 were adopted with the following amendments:
  - 3.3.1 The Chairperson of the meeting was B Ngubane. Item 1 should therefore read "Chairperson";
  - 3.3.2 Item 2.2 should read "Amendments were made and the draft resolutions approved by the committee (See Addendums B, C and D). T Langley recorded his dissent in this regard.";
  - 3.3.3 Item 2.3, in the second line of the second sentence, the word "the" should be deleted;
  - 3.3.4 Item 2.4 should read "It was also noted that 4.2 and 4.3 of Resolution Number 2 (Addendum C) should be interpreted .....";
  - 3.3.5 The name of the delegation of the OFS Traditional Leaders was deleted from the attendance register as they had not attended the meeting;
  - 3.3.6 Addendum C (Resolution Number 2) was added to the Minutes.
- 3.4 The minutes of the meeting of the Facilitating Committee of 6 March 1993 at 14h00 were adopted with the following amendments:

3.4.1 In item 1, "CJ" should read "CD";

- 3.4.2 In item 2.4, the word "for" in the first line should be replaced by "at";
- 3.4.3 The second item 2.5 should become 2.6 and should begin with "It was agreed that the .....";

3.4.4 In item 4.3, the second asterisk, the sentence should read "To prepare and submit proposals and recommendations for the meeting of the Facilitating Committee.

#### 4. Logistical Arrangements for the Multi-Party Process

#### 4.1 Date:

It was noted that the date of 1 and 2 April had already been agreed at a previous meeting of the Facilitating Committee.

#### 4.2 Numbers:

It was agreed to discuss this issue under Item 5.2.6. (see item 5.5 of these minutes).

#### 4.3 Venue:

It was agreed that the venue remain the World Trade Centre in Kempton Park.

#### 4.4 Administration:

- 4.4.1 It was agreed that the present Administration (The Consultative Business Movement) continue up to and including 1 and 2 April 1993.
- 4.4.2 It was agreed to form an ad hoc sub-committee consisting of M Maharaj and SS van der Merwe to submit recommendations to the Negotiating Council in respect of the Administration. The following Terms of Reference apply:
  - \* To submit recommendations on operational guidelines and procedures for the Administration;
  - \* To draw up a contract explicitly defining the relationship between and the services of the Administration to the Multi-Party Negotiations.
  - \* The sub-committee was requested to note the concerns of various parties/organisations/administrations in respect of the neutrality of the Administration.
- 4.4.3 All parties/organisations/administrations are invited to submit and proposals or recommendations in this regard to the subcommittee.
- 4.4.4 The sub-committee can consult with T. Eloff.

5

#### 4.5 Minutes and Recordings:

The recommendation of the Planning Committee was agreed to. This entails that only with regard to Plenaries, transcriptions will be made, and that for meetings of the Negotiating Forum and Negotiating Council minutes and audio-recordings will be kept.

# 5. Procedural Arragements for the Multi-Party Process

#### 5.1 Name:

- 5.1.1 After general discussion it was agreed by general consensus that this issue be discussed further informally and stand over until the next meeting of the Negotiating Council.
- 5.1.2 It was further agreed that a decision should be made before 1 and 2 April 1993.

#### 5.2 Chairpersonship:

It was agreed to discuss this issue under Item 5.2.6. (See item 5.6 of these minutes.)

#### 5.3 Agenda:

It was agreed that this issue be discussed at the next meeting of the Negotiating Council before 1 and 2 April 1993.

#### 5.4 Standing Rules:

- 5.4.1 A report was tabled by the sub-committee appointed to deal with this issue. Attached to the report was a report submitted by J Matthews dealing with the decision-making process of the Standing Rules. (Addendum C of the Agenda Document.)
- 5.4.2 It was noted that Items 8 11 of this report was accepted by the Planning Conference as the decision-making process.
- 5.4.3 After general discussion, it was agreed that the issue of the decisionmaking process and deadlock-breaking mechanisms be referred back to the sub-committee with a request to consider the report submitted by J Matthews within their report. The sub-committee should make recommendations to the Planning Committee, who would report to the Negotiating Council.
- 5.4.4 It was further agreed that any party/organisation/administration could make submissions, through the Administration, to this sub-committee

NEG COUNCIL/MINUTES/MIN1803.NC

6

for consideration.

5.4.5 The report with amendments was adopted, with the exception of the issue referred back to the sub-committee.

The meeting adjourned for tea at 15h25.

The meeting reconvened at 15h45.

#### 5.5 New Participants:

- 5.5.1 A report was tabled by the sub-committee appointed to deal with this issue. (See Addendum D1 of the Agenda Document.)
- 5.5.2 This issue was also, after intensive discussion, referred back to a subcommittee to make recommendations on criteria for participation, to be considered at the next meeting.
- 5.5.3 It was agreed that submissions from parties/organisations/ administrations in respect of criteria and applications of new participants could be made to the sub-committee, (through the Administration). The sub-committee should present a report to the next meeting of the Planning Committee, who would report to the Negotiating Council at its next meeting.
- 5.5.4 The issue of how to deal with delegations of Traditional Leaders should be incorporated within the report from the sub-committee.

#### 5.6 Structures and Composition:

- 5.6.1 The structures and composition as recommended by the Planning Committee were adopted with amendments (see Addendum B of the Agenda Document).
- 5.6.2 With respect to the panel of Chairpersons, the principles of rotation and continuity were agreed to. The Planning Committee will make recommendations about the composition of the panel to the next Negotiating Council meeting.
- 5.6.3 It was further noted that the Planning Committee is accountable to the Negotiating Council.
- 5.6.4 It was agreed that a person who is not a member of the Negotiating Council can not be a member of the Planning Committee.
- 5.6.5 It was agreed that the composition of the Negotiating Council would be one delegate and two advisers of each participating party/

#### organisation/administration.

- 5.6.6 It was agreed that an invitation be sent out by the Administration to all participating parties/organisations/delegations for a female representative to attend a meeting to put forward views on the form of women participation in the negotiating process.
- 5.6.7 It was agreed to adopt the recommendation of the Planning Committee that the same persons who were members of the sub-committee of the Facilitating Committee compose the Planning Comittee.

#### 5.7 Media

- 5.7.1 It was agreed that at the end of each meeting of the Negotiating Council, a media statement would be drafted and agreed to.
- 5.7.2 It was further agreed that the Chairperson of a particular meeting, assisted by two persons nominated by the meeting, would present the statement to the media.
- 5.7.3 It was noted that members of the Planning Committee should not discuss recommendations to be made to the Negotiating Council with the media, before the recommendations are presented to the Negotiating Council.
- 5.7.4 It was agreed that a proposal by J Slovo that the media be present during meetings of the Negotiating Council will be put on the agenda of the next meeting of the Negotiating Council. It was further agreed that this will be discussed informally.

#### 6. Political Issues

- 6.1 CODESA Agreements
  - 6.1.1 A report was tabled at the meeting (Addendum E of the Agenda Document).
  - 6.1.2 All members were requested to study the document before the next meeting of the Negotiating Council.
  - 6.1.3 It was agreed that this issue would be placed high on the agenda of the next meeting of the Negotiating Council and taken further.

#### 7. Next meeting

7.1 The next meeting of the Negotiating Council will take place on Tuesday 30

March 1993 at 08h30 and will carry on until the business of the Negotiating Council is finished.

- 7.2 The following meetings were also agreed upon:
  - \* Planning Committee 26 March 1993 at 08h30 13h00.
  - \* Planning Committee 31 March 1993 at 16h00 20h00.
  - Multi Party Forum 1 and 2 April 1993.
- 7.3 A proposed schedule of meetings was distributed to members who were requested to keep these dates open in their diaries (Addendum B). The schedule would be discussed further at the next meeting of the Negotiating Council.

#### 8. Closure

The meeting closed at 17h20.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Negotiating Council of ...... 1993 and the

amended versions signed by the Chairperson of this meeting on .....

CHAIRPERSON

The following were present at the Facilitating Committee meeting of 18 March 1993:

African National Congress Afrikaner Volksunie Bophutatswana Government Cape Traditional Leaders Ciskei Government Democratic Party Dikwankwetla Freedom Party Inkatha Freedom Party Intando Yesizwe Party Inyandza National Movement Konserwatiewe Party KwaZulu Government Labour Party National Party National Peoples Party NIC\TIC Orange Free State Traditional Leaders Orange Free State Traditional Leaders Pan Africanist Congress Solidarity Party South African Communist Party South African Government Transkei Government Transvaal Traditional Leaders United People's Front Venda Government Ximoko Progressive Party

MC Ramaphosa CD de Jager R Cronje (Co-Chairperson) M Nonkonyana M Webb C Eglin TJ Mohapi FT Mdlalose NJ Mahlangu SS Ripinga T Langley **BS** Ngubane LT Landers DJ de Villiers A Rajbansi PJ Gordhan **RH** Mopeli MB Mota **B** Alexander **DS** Rajah J Slovo (Co-Chairperson) **RP** Meyer **B** Holomisa LC Mothiba MJ Mahlangu S Makhuvha E Ngobeni

T Eloff (Administration) G Hutchings (Minutes)

# PROPOSED MEETINGS SCHEDULE

Planning Committee	26 March 1993	08h30 - 13h00
Council	30 March 1993	08h30 - 17h00
Planning Committee	31 March 1993	16h00 - 20h00
FORUM	01 April 1993	09h00 - 17h00
	02 April 1993	09h00 - 17h00
Planning Committee	15 April 1993	
Council	15 April 1993	
	16 April 1993	
Planning Committee	19 April 1993	
Council	19 April 1993	
	20 April 1993	
FORUM	22 April 1993	
FORUM	23 April 1993	
Planning Committee	26 April 1993	
Council	26 April 1993	
Council	27 April 1993	
Planning Committee	29 April 1993	
C	29 April 1993	
Council	30 April 1993	
	50 April 1995	
Planning Committee	03 May 1993	
Council	03 May 1993	
	04 May 1993	
FORUM	06 May 1993	
FOROM	07 May 1993	
Planning Committee	10 May 1993	
Council	10 May 1993	
	11 May 1993	
Planning Committee	13 May 1993	
Council	13 May 1993	
Junch	14 May 1993	

Paning	Committee
1 mound	Commente

17 May 1993

Council	17 May 1993
	18 May 1993
Planning Committee	29 May 1993
Council	24 May 1993
	25 May 1993
FORUM	27 May 1993
	28 May 1993

12

#### Addendum D

### **REPORT OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE** TO THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL MEETING OF 30 MARCH 1993

#### 1. Composition of the Planning Committee

- 2. Administration (See Addendum D1 available at the meeting)
- 3. Standing Rules (See Addendum D2)

#### 4. New Participants

- 4.1 Criteria:
  - 4.1.1 A report and recommendations were tabled by the sub-committee of the Planning Committee.
  - 4.1.2 After discussion and the raising of various points of view it was agreed to defer this matter to the next meeting of the Planning Committee, because the Planning Committee was not yet in a position to make recommendations at this stage.
  - 4.1.3 It is recommended, though, that after this meeting of the Negotiating Council, a letter should be sent to all applicants informing them that the issue is still under consideration.

#### 4.2 New Applications

The present list of applications is as follows:

- \* African Democratic Movement
- \* Afrikaner Freedom Foundation
- Contralesa
- Federated Council of Indigeneous Rules of Southern Africa
- \* Gender Advisory Committee of CODESA
- Gazankulu Council of Tihosi
- \* Green Party of SA
- Insika National Party
- \* Natal Traditional Leaders
- \* National Council of Women of SA
- \* National Seoposengwe Party
- \* People's Democratic Christian Party
- \* People's Progressive Party

PLANNING COMM/MINUTES/MIN2603.PC

- Reform Party of SA
- \* SA Association of University Women
- \* Sindawonye Progressive Party
- Technikon Mangasothu
- The National Forum
- \* United Federal Party
- \* Volks Unity Committee

#### 4.3 Observers:

At the Planning Conference, all missions and the South African head offices of International Organisations were invited to attend as observers. The Planning Committee did not discuss this issue, but a decision is needed at this meeting in order to timeously invite the appropriate organisations.

Organisations/Parties who arrived uninvited at the Planning Conference, were also accorded observer status. A decision is also needed in this regard.

# 5. Agenda for and Functioning of the meeting of the Negotiating Forum (1 & 2 April 1993):

See Addendum D3 - available at the meeting.

6. Media arrangements for the meeting of the Negotiating Forum (1 & 2 April 1993):

It is recommended that the meeting is open to both the printed and electronic media.

# 7. Meeting of women representatives held on Friday 26 March 1993:

See Addendum E.

### 8. The Name of the Negotiating Process:

See Addendum D4 - available at the meeting.

#### [NAME OF FORUM]

#### STANDING RULES OF PROCEDURE

(With regard to Section 4 hereof entitled "Agreements and decisions" the Sub-Committee received suggestions from the IFP, the PAC and the DP. These suggestions appear as Annexures A,B and C respectively.)

#### 1. Application

These Rules of Procedure apply at Plenary meetings and at meetings of the Negotiating Forum and the Negotiating Council.

#### 2. Participants

The participating parties entitled to be represented at meetings are those listed in the List of Participating Parties annexed hereto. The Negotiating Forum can add parties to or delete parties from the list, on the recommendation of the Negotiating Council.

#### 3. Delegates

- 3.1 Each participating party shall be entitled to be represented by:
  - 3.1.1 Ten delegates at Plenary meetings;
  - 3.1.2 Three delegates and two advisers at meetings of the Negotiating Forum;
  - 3.1.3 One delegate and two advisers at meetings of the Negotiating Council;
- 3.2 Each participating party shall submit and register the names of its delegates and advisers with the [name of the forum] Administration.
- **3.3** Delegates can be substituted by alternatives to be registered with the Administration in advance.

FCSC CODESA Agreements 18/3/93

- 3.4 Advisers can be substituted at any time provided that the substituting advisers are registered with the Administration in advance and that the leaders of the delegations notify the Chairpersons whenever an adviser is substituted during the course of a meeting.
- 3.5 In the event of a dispute concerning the credentials of a delegate or an adviser, the issue will be decided by the meeting itself upon the receipt of a factual report and recommendation of the Planning Committee.

#### 4. Agreements and Decisions

- 4.1 All agreements are to be arrived at and decisions taken by general consensus.
- 4.2 If general consensus cannot be achieved, the method of sufficient consensus will be used.
- 4.3 Sufficient consensus means that:
  - 4.3.1 There is a lack of general consensus
  - 4.3.2 There is enough agreement from enough participating parties to enable the process to move forward
  - 4.3.3 Parties who disagree can record their objections or rejections formally, but will, in the spirit of cooperation, not hinder the process from going forward
- 4.4 The ruling that there is consensus/sufficient consensus or not, shall be taken by the Chair in his/her discretion, however,
  - 4.4.1 Before ruling that there is sufficient consensus or not, the Chair shall ensure that the disagreeing parties, especially those who consider themselves materially affected, as well as the meeting, shall have had sufficient opportunity to utilise a variety of mechanisms in order to reach the widest possible consensus. In particular such mechanisms shall include adjournments to enable informal discussions between participants, setting up technical committees composed as the meeting deems appropriate for the particular matter under consideration, as well as allowing participants to consult their principals. The Chair and the meeting shall decide upon the specific mechanism/s on the basis of the

16

nature of the issues around which the disagreement exists, with the view to arriving at consensus/sufficient consensus. These mechanisms are intended for resolving substantive issues and not for formal and administrative decisions.

4.5 The ruling that there is consensus/sufficient consensus or not can however be challenged by any party who disagrees. The meeting will then deal with it as is appropriate.

#### 5. Quorum

The Chair may declare a meeting open and permit the debate to proceed when delegates of at least two-thirds of the participating parties are present

#### 6. Speeches and interventions

- 6.1 Every delegate shall be entitled to speak
- 6.2 If a speaking order has been agreed upon, the Chair shall call the speakers in that order
- 6.3 In general, the Chair shall call on speakers in that order in which they signify their desire to speak. The Chair however, shall ensure that each delegation is afforded a reasonable opportunity to speak
- 6.4 The Chair shall apply the standard rules applicable to meetings, accept as otherwise stipulated herein

#### 7. Chairing of meetings

- 7.1 Plenary meetings shall be chaired by an independent Chairperson/s to be decided upon by the Negotiating Council
- 7.2 The Negotiating Forum and the Negotiating Council shall be chaired by a core panel of Chairpersons, appointed on merit and capability by the Negotiating Council from its own ranks and serving on a rotating basis

#### 8. Minutes and Documentation

- 8.1 The proceedings of Plenary meetings shall be recorded and transcribed and copies of the transcript made available to all delegates
- 8.2 The proceedings of meetings of the Negotiating Forum and Negotiating Council shall be recorded in full, but only agreements and decisions shall be minuted

#### 9. Media

- 9.1 All Plenary meetings shall be open to the media
- 9.2 The Negotiating Forum and the Negotiating Council shall itself decide on whether, and if so which of its meetings shall be open to the media

#### 10 Amendment of the Rules of Procedure

10.1 These Rules of Procedure can be amended by the Negotiating Council



#### Annexure "A"

#### DECISION MAKING PROCESS

(Suggestions by the IFP)

- 1. When called by the Chair to express its position on a proposal or on a matter before the meeting, every delegation shall have such position stated by the leader of the delegation or by a spokesperson appointed by the leader of the delegation.
- 2. Agreement will be reached by consensus.
- 3. If general consensus cannot be reached the method of material consensus shall be employed.
- 4. Parties who disagree can record their objection or rejection formally, and
  - 4.1 record that the process may go forward nevertheless;
  - 4.2 record that the process should not go forward.
- 5. The ruling that there is material consensus or not should be taken by the Chairperson in his or her discretion.
- 6. In the event that the Chair rules that
  - 6.1 there is no material consensus; or
  - 6.2 there is material consensus but one or more parties challenge this ruling,

the following process shall be followed:

a) the matter shall be referred to a technical committee for reformulation, and
b) the technical committee shall consider the views expressed and try to find consensus position.

In the event that the technical committee is not able to determine the consensus position, it can in its discretion

\* request delegates and outside experts to give evidence

or

\* set up a special subcommittee of its own members and/or outside experts and/or delegates to investigate and discuss the matter and to report.

- c) The Technical Committee shall report on what it believes is the consensus position or the position as close to consensus as achievable at that stage.
- d) If the negotiations forum is still unable to reach material consensus the matter shall be referred again to the Technical Committee to decide whether it can amend, supplement or substantiate its original report having heard the additional debate.
- e) If after all this there is still no consensus the Negotiations Forum has to decide the way forward under the circumstances.
- 7. Definition: Material consensus is sufficient consensus qualified by the requirement of materiality. When a decision is "material" to one or more parties, there can not be consensus if the parties materially affected by that decision do not agree. In other cases material consensus will be equivalent to the notion of sufficient consensus previously employed.

20



#### (Suggestions by the PAC)

#### 1. <u>DISPUTE RESOLVING AND DEADLOCK AND DEADLOCK BREAKING</u> <u>MECHANISMS.</u>

1.2 After the word 'plenary' add a new sentence

'It is however advisable that maximum effort be employed in the Negotiating Council to attend to deadlocks which has arisen. To this end a standing Appeals Committee should be established with balanced representativity and acting as a sub-organ to which all structures from the Negotiating Council upwards can refer matters for proposed consensus formulation. The Appeals Committee may also propose bilateral discussions with or without mediators between parties after due consultation with them.

#### 1.3 Add new sentence

'This is subject to the agreed upon role of the international community'.

#### 2. Re: ITEMS 8-10 OR ORIGINAL DRAFT AGREEMENTS AND DECISIONS:

add new 10.4 to read

'should the item under discussion be a fundamental cornerstone of the approach to constitution making of one of the parties and no decision can be reached, a deadlock shall be deemed to exist. Such deadlock will be dealt with in terms of the deadlock-breaking machinery.

#### 3. <u>Re: ITEM 11 OF ORIGINAL DRAFT</u>

After the word 'appropriate' add 'having regard for the provisions of the dispute resolving deadlock breaking mechanism'.

(Suggestions by the Democratic Party)

#### 1. Deadlock Breaking Mechanism

1.1 The rules relating to Consensus/Sufficient Consensus decided upon at the Multi-Party Planning Conference of 5 and 6 March should apply in order to determine whether there is Consensus/Sufficient Consensus.

#### 2. Procedures on Issues

- 2.1 In the event of there not being sufficient consensus the matter would be referred to the Technical Committee.
- 2.2 The Technical Committee would consider the views expressed and try to find a consensus position. In this process, the Technical Committee could:
  - a) request MPC delegations and/or outside experts to give evidence;

and/or

- b) set up Sub-Committees consisting of Technical Committee members and/or outside experts and/or other MPC delegates and advisers.
- 2.3 The Technical Committee would report to the MPC on what it believes is the consensus position or as close to it as is achievable at that stage.
- 2.4 If the MPC is still unable to reach sufficient consensus the matter will be referred back once more to the Technical Committee to see whether, having heard the MPC debate, it is able to propose an amended report (after taking further evidence if necessary) to the MPC.
- 2.5 If the MPC is unable to reach sufficient consensus after it has considered the Technical Committee's second report, the MPC will then have to decide how to proceed further.

#### 3. Use of Indicative Secret Ballots

3.1 Decisions should be made on the basis of consensus/ sufficient consensus. However, provision should be made for indicative secret ballots both in respect of appointments (e.g to agenda and Technical Committees) and on issues. These ballots would not be decisive or binding, but would help to guide the MPC towards finding sufficient consensus more quickly than the procedures used at CODESA.

#### Addendum D3

# DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE FIRST MEETING OF THE MULTI-PARTY NEGOTIATING FORUM TO BE HELD ON THURSDAY 1ST APRIL AND FRIDAY 2ND APRIL 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

### DRAFT AGENDA

- 1. Moment of silence
- 2. Opening statement by Chairperson
- 3. Confirmation of Agenda
- 4. Mechanisms and procedures
  - 4.1 Name of the Multi-Party Negotiating Forum
  - 4.2 Composition and structure of the Multi-Party Negotiating Forum
  - 4.3 Standing Rules
  - 4.4 Participation
- 5. Violence and its impact on the Negotiating Process
- 6. The transitional process
  - 6.1 CODESA agreements and the accommodation of those participants who were not in CODESA
  - 6.2 Phases of the transition
  - 6.3 The role of the International Community
- 7. The way forward
- 8. Closure

#### REPORT ON DECISIONS OF THE MEETING OF WOMEN REPRESENTATIVES OF PARTICIPATING PARTIES HELD ON 26 MARCH 1993

At a meeting of women representatives of parties/organisations/administrations, it was the majority viewpoint that women obtain meaningful participation in decision making in both the Negotiating Forum and the Negotiating Council by expanding these bodies to accommodate one woman delegate from each party/organisation/administration.

It was further suggested that the women delegates on the Negotiating Forum and the Negotiating Council have the right to meet in a Women's Caucus as and when they deem necessary.

It is recommended that mandated women attend the meeting on Tuesday 30 March to articulate their own cause to the Facilitating Committee and be present during the discussions and decision making which will affect the future of all women in South Africa.



### ADMINISTRATIVE AND FINANCIAL MATTERS

It is recommended that:

- 7.1 No per diem allowance be paid to organisations/parties/delegations, and where an organisation/party/delegation believes that it requires such an allowance to be paid, an application needs to be made to the Negotiating Council through the Planning Committee.
- 7.2 From 1 April 1993 an ex gratia maximum monthly subsidy of R2 500 per party/organisation/delegation will be paid in respect of expenses. Parties/organisations/delegations can pool secretarial resources in this regard in possible liaison with the Administration.
- 7.3 Travel and accommodation arrangements for delegates and advisers only can be made on a prepaid basis through any of the travel agencies accredited for this purpose or the travel office in the WTC.

It was agreed that when accommodation is necessary on the night before and/or after meetings, supper should be included.

Notes explaining the consequences of the above recommendations will be available at the meeting.

# PROPOSED MEETINGS SCHEDULE

Planning Committee	26 March 1993	08h30 - 13h00
Council	30 March 1993	08h30 - 17h00
Planning Committee	31 March 1993	16h00 - 20h00
FORUM	01 April 1993 02 April 1993	09h00 - 17h00 09h00 - 17h00
Planning Committee	15 April 1993	
Council	15 April 1993 16 April 1993	
Planning Committee	19 April 1993	
Council	19 April 1993 20 April 1993	
FORUM	22 April 1993 23 April 1993	
Planning Committee	26 April 1993	
Council	26 April 1993 27 April 1993	
Planning Committee	29 April 1993	
Council	29 April 1993 30 April 1993	
Planning Committee	03 May 1993	
Council	03 May 1993 04 May 1993	
FORUM	06 May 1993 07 May 1993	
Planning Committee	10 May 1993	
Council	10 May 1993 11 May 1993	
Planning Committee	13 May 1993	
Council	13 May 1993 14 May 1993	
Planning Committee	17 May 1993	

Council	17 May 1993
	18 May 1993
Planning Committee	29 May 1993
Council	24 May 1993
	25 May 1993
FORUM	27 May 1993
	28 May 1993

:29- 3-93 ;12:09PM ; ANC NEG COMMISION→ MULTIP NEG PROCESS;# 1/ 1

ADDENDUM "H"

# TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

THIS IS TO INFORM YOU THAT WE OF THE AMAGOSA CULTURAL ENSEMBLE WOULD LIKE TO PROMOTE THE PEACE EFFORT BY STAGING A DEMONSTRATION AT THE MULTI-PARTY MEETING ON FRIDAY MORNING.

WE ARE A GROUP WHICH IS COMPOSED OF RETURNEES OF THE AFRICAN NATIONAL CONGRESS AND ACTIVE INKATHA FREEDOM PARTY MEMBERS .

THE MAIN PURPOSE OF OUR DEMONSTRATION IS TO SHOW OUR PEOPLE AND THE REST OF THE WORLD THAT WE THE FOLLOWERS CAN LIVE TOGETHER IN HARMONY AND BY SO DOING PROMOTE, INTER-ALIA, HEALTHY DEBATE AMONG OUR LEADERS BOTH IN AND OUT OF CONFERENCE.

WE HOPE PERMISSION REQUESTED SHALL BE GRANTED.

P/S OUR CONTACT ADDRESS IS :

AMAGOSA CULTURAL ENSEMBLE

NU METRO ACTION CINEMA CNR CLAIM AND PLEIN STREETS JOHANNESBURG 2000 TEL. (011) 337-3033

FAX NO: 397 2211	PAGE: 1OF: 1
ATTENTION OF:	GLEZ DATE: 29403-93
COMPANY: ANC FAXNO: 330 7119	Post-It Notes from 3M



ADDENDUM "H".

# DEMOCRATIC TEACHERS'S UNION

SOUTH AFRICAN

# (SADTU)

# NORTHERN TRANSVAAL REGION FORWARD TO ONE EDUCATION DEPARTMEN

OFFICE NO 27 - 1ST FLOOR MIMOSA BUILDING 58 MARKET STREET 0699 PIETERSBURG

9/4311 TEL: (01521) 945123/913144

9/4311 P.O. BOX 2049 PISTA PIETERSBURG 0700

29

FAX: 01521 914310

MAR 18 /93 15:52 ANC N. TVL. TEL. 01521 914310

ENQUIRIES: Weston Mkazi

17 March 1993

TO : THE NATIONAL PEACE SECRETARIAT 3204652. JOHANNESBURG

FROM: THE REGIONAL SECRETARY SADTU N.TVL

Dear Sir/Madam

NOTICE OF A PICKET AGAINST RAMODIKE AT KEMPTON PARK WHEN THE MULTI\_PARTY NEGOTIATIONS RESUME ON THE 01-04-1993.

1. SADTU N.TVL wishes to give notice of a picket to be held at Kempton Park on the Ol April 1993 when the multy-party forum resumes negotiations on constitutions talks.

2. The aim of this march is to protest against the participation of Mr Ramodike, the Chief Minister of Lebowa, in democratic forums when he oppress<sup>2</sup> and dismiss<sup>2</sup> teachers at will in his homeland.

3. Our information from very reliable sources is that the dismiss al of teachers, suspensions, transfers etc, are direct instructions from Ramodike. What emerge very clearly is that, all those who differs with Ramodike are dismissed or transferred. We therefore feel that Ramodike should solve the problems of teachers and other community problems before participating in democratic forums. It should be understood that this picket is not against the UPF, but against this tyrant who make life difficult for teachers. We do not wish to refer to other problems in his Bantustan, e.g. nurses, Lebowakgomo Civic, etc.

4. We expect our branches to organise  $at_A42$  kombies to take people to the picket, which will be held on the 01-04-1993 from 07h30 at the World Trade Centre where the talks are to take place 5. We sincerely hope that your committee will understand the plight of teachers in the N.TVL.

the second second

Benny Boshielo (Regional Secretary)