

2/4/02/2/1

THEME COMMITTEE	CORE GROUP 6
DATE OF MEETING	27/6/95
NUMBER OF TAPES	1
CONTENT OF ENVELOPE	
1) PRINT-OUT	✓
2) NOTES	✓
3) TAPES	✓
4) COMPUTER DISK	✓

✓

Theme Committee 6
Core Group Meeting - 27 June 1995

Tape 1

NOTES

Tape not at all good, have deciphered what I could. Often many people talking together at once.

0063:
Who is speaker?

0166:
Who is speaker?

0223:
Who is speaker?

0350:
Who is speaker?

0391:
Who is speaker?

0445:
Who is speaker?

0887:
before ???

0990:
hands are tied ??? Francois

1255:
while Baleka??? is still here and that Baleka??? actually sign

???:
Who is speaker thanking Mr Jordaan?

1698:
Who is speaker? Is it Mr Jordaan?

1832:
Who is speaker?

1844:
General but there was ???

1899:
understanding was the procedure explained by ???. Had to spend time

1949:
Jakobs ??? this meeting

1985:
Who is speaker?

1990:
finished with ??? Could I suggest that we ask ??? as chairperson to liaise with the
chair people ???

2166:
the CC ??? we don't ??? wasting time and ???

2188:
Who is speaker???

2220:
Who is speaker?

2222:
??? the Core Group

2236:
Who is speaker? Is it Mr Jordaan?

2372:
Who is speaker?

2422:
Who is speaker? Is it Mr Jordaan?

2486:
Who is speaker?

2631:
Who is speaker? Is it Mr Jordaan?

2741:
Who is speaker?

2763:
I have been ??? to mention it again, but one needs to get progress reports ???

2857:
Who is speaker? OK, Mel?, you'll

2899:
Who is speaker?

2926:
Who is talking?

2962:
Who is talking? Is it Mr Jordaan?

3011:
refer to this ???

3075:
Who is speaker?

Theme Committee 6

Core Group Meeting - 27 June 1995

Tape 1

...Pretoria because he is going to the States next week and he mentioned something along the lines of that he will be spending some time in Pretoria in preparation as he is going to be away for a period of time.

(inaudible off mike talk)

???
Unknown

Well, I've paged for him now, I sent the thingy to her this morning, I sent a message to her this morning. I left a message on her machine as well.

???
Unknown

I think the question is what do we do?

(inaudible off mike talk)

???
Unknown

...that the chairman needs to address urgently. We need to ??? but it does sound to me that it is a serious situation which we need to address urgently. ??? requires urgent attention.

(tape very distorted).

???

I would suggest that we reconvene.

???

I don't know ??? it's dependent on the time that are available ??? 6.2. ???

???

Can I make a suggestion? I was at that meeting when this whole argument sort of started off, to and fro etc. What I believe one should do is, there is no way in which we can reconvene before we go our different ways, Wednesday evening or Thursday or whatever the case may be. What I think is crucial is that we schedule a meeting with this committee on that Monday, 6/4 at 2 o'clock on the Monday afternoon. And that we schedule a meeting for the Core Group at say 11 that morning. Will that be okay, Snakes? You've got a better idea about the programmes.

(inaudible)

When the CA is supposed to start and we schedule a meeting for 11. I believe it is crucial for Barbara Hogan to be at that meeting from the ANC side. She is standing in for the chairperson. Rob will not be at the meeting. In the sense of... Well, let me go through the whole process of what we might possibly do. That we also invite – Snakes, I think you must listen carefully – Piet Welgemoed from the National Party side because my perception that they had been... That Piet Welgemoed actually played a crucial role in sort of exercising party discipline over Francois Jakobs and was very keen to follow the route of bringing into this committee before ??? and see whether we can't resolve it instead of going the CC route as was originally proposed by the ANC. That we ask Rob Davies and Francois Jakobs to be available that day, that you write to them that the documentation, that they should state their cases in writing, and that their hands are tied ??? Francois Jakobs's speech be made available and that at that meeting that, that documentation should then be sent. Francois Jakobs's statement, should be sent to Rob Davies and Davies's statement, together with a handout to Francois Jakobs, that it be distributed to all the members beforehand during the recess, delivered at the addresses where they are available and that when we get to that meeting, we can meet with Rob Davies and Francois Jakobs waiting outside. We can then discuss it with the documentation that we had the privilege to see. That we can then allow Rob Davies to talk to his written statement and to the Hansard. We can allow Francois Jakobs to do that and see whether we can't resolve it that way. I think is... because Francois is away at the moment, Davies is away at the moment. But they can

make contact with them, even if you've got to use the parliamentary side of things and not just only the CC, but that we have the statements, the Hansard and all the people here. I believe this process should be set in motion whilst ??? is still here. And that ??? actually sign that it not just be a notice from Snakes, otherwise he might get the shakes in the process.

(much laughter)

And that it be addressed to all the members. Even if you give it to members over here, you still forward it, express post, where they've got to sign for the fact that they've received it etcetera during the recess. I think that is the only way in which I see we can actually pull it together as quickly as possible because I must say I felt extremely uncomfortable with the whole way in which things had gone and I think it is something which we need to resolve within Theme Committee 6 because if we fail to do that, it actually means it would go to the CC and all that and the publicity around this thing already has been very bad.

???

Thank you, Mr Jordaan. Actually as you were talking I realised this resolutionw as tabled in the Group Report of what is going on also given by Mr Davies. A proposal was then made at the CC that rather than the issue going back to the Theme Committee, it actually be taken up by the CC and in fact as a matter of urgency by the chairperson of the CC. It was at that point that Mr Davies explained that Mr Francois Jakobs was not going to be around, but otherwise the CC's position was that the chairperson needed to attend to the matter immediately and try and facilitate an amicable settlement of the issue, but that in terms of the debate themselves, and taking forward whatever work that's, you

know, given rise to the dispute, that, that goes to this committee of the CC. So that it's taken out of subcommittee. That was the idea.

Mr Jordaan??? Well, I'll be quite frank with you. If that is the case, I believe it is about time that communication in this set-up be jacked up because I, for the life of me, I cannot understand that, that was a decision taken by the committee and you see at the bottom over there. And if another decision was taken in the CC, I really don't understand how it came about. I don't know whether you are aware of it, Snakes.

(off mike discussion - inaudible)

??? Mr Davies was meant to report under Auditor General, but there was ??? about the Reserve Bank because it was referred to that committee by the CC and ever since it was referred to that no report had been presented. We are in the process of extending/explaining it. But again, my understanding was the procedure explained by ??? At the same time the issue of trying to resolve the matter or the dispute that has arisen between Mr Davies and Francois Jakobs ??? this meeting because it was indicated that the Core Group will be meeting on Tuesday. The Core Group could even deal with that.

??? OK Chair, I am now finished with ???. Could I suggest that we ask ??? as chairperson to liaise with the chair people ??? to clarify any misunderstanding about the process that they are following and the processes we are proposing that we would agree to and that we adopt that suggestion of Mr Jordaan. And if there is agreement with what Snakes is

saying, that there was nothing decided, that the CC doesn't short circuit that we then actually proceed on that basis, of getting written submissions to all concerned, copies of the Hansard speech distributed beforehand, we schedule a meeting for the Monday, we go in that meeting. It will then enable us by the time the CC meets on the 4th to be able to actually get some feedback to the CC. I think that consultation between what we are doing and the CC ??? we don't ??? wasting time and ???.

??? I think we are all agreed? So should we then say we actually meet on the 31st at 11 o'clock?

???
(inaudible)

Mr Jordaan??? Over and above that, notices sent it to their home addresses and they have got to sign for these things etc. with the necessary documentation. Or even if you send the notices first, say documentation will follow. People can't come and say: Look, we haven't been notified, etc. etc. because if we in the final analysis are going to end up with every issue ending up within the CC, it is really a waste of time for us then to actually bother ourselves with what happens within Theme Committees or something.

??? If we are going to send out a notice to the home address, the documentation in terms of the parties concerned, that Francois Jakobs and Rob, they won't... I don't know whether they will be back in time for us to get their written submissions.

Mr Jordaan??? What I believe is that you should... Francois Jakobs said

that he was going to be away for four or five days and Rob was going to be away for about a week. Both of them will be available, I think, at their home addresses towards the end of this week or early next week. That is the indication that they gave.

???

Chairperson, following on from that, you actually then, once they have been contacted, give them a time from the time that they have been contacted to actually give in a written submission, then it still gives you time to compile and copy the speech and give it out to people and gives people a chance to read it before coming back???

(off mike talk - inaudible)

Mr Jordaan???

I think if you just write a letter and you attach that statement that you've got in front of you. Tell them that this is a matter that has been referred to us to be resolved and you urge people and you say: My dear sir,... (much laughter) etc. I urge you because it's crucial. And you advise Piet Welgemoed that we would like to have him here as a representative of 6.2 and Barbara Hogan also as a representative of 6.2 and standing in for Rob Davies at the same time.

???

My question is the rest of the agenda. My question is about the agenda. I have looked at it and I thought, actually I have been ??? to mention it again, but one needs to get progress reports ??? But I have no indication from that agenda that there was actually a major issue that needed to be debated. I think that we should modify the agenda and have as point 1, Resolution of the issue arising from 6.2 and attach that

resolution and then go on to the progress report. One can separate the progress reports from resolution of this issue. The first item should be resolving this issue and the rest of the agenda we then deal with on the basis of whatever time is left over.

??? OK, Mel???, you'll write the letter and we'll compile the agenda and send it out together or will you write the letter for them to receive at home?

(off mike talk - inaudible)

??? The letter, plus the agenda plus the statment should go out immediately both to people here and in the group. And the Hansard.

(all talking together - inaudible)

??? ...a stage which says the two papers are ready, those then get sent out again as a reminder. By that stage the people have had that document.

(inaudible)

Mr Jordaan??? Can I just say here what would perhaps also be good for them to understand the whole situation. There was a report in Mondays, call it business report, that thing in the Cape Times, written by Bruce Cameron, which actually spells it out, the whole thing and also says that it was referred to this, to ??? If you could perhaps just make a copy of that report of Bruce Cameron because I think it is a fairly objective report of what the situation has been except that it doesn't say that the DP played the peacemaker or what (much laughter).

??? I think we've finished. (inaudible)