CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY

THEME COMMITTEE 3
8 MAY 1995

8 MAY 1995

CHAIRPERSON:

... there is a lot of workshops going at the moment and that members actually have to split themselves between the various committees. At the stage also I have to formally ask Mr Ken Andrew he has to go - has had to go off, he has actually brought me along his input on the document which we have to handle today and there are various other people who have actually had problems also, some will join us later during the meeting.

At this stage a word of welcome to everybody here today. We are actually having to do the minutes of two meetings. The one is of the 19th of April first of all and then the one of the 20th.

The minutes of the 19th is in the right hand corner the number of that is TC3/20. Can I ask the members is there anybody who would like to make a contribution on page 2, any problem that may arise there, something which you would like to bring to our attention?

Page 3, none? Can we have a full proposal that these minutes are correct, the presentation of what happened at the meeting? We have a proposer, can we have a seconder

8 MAY 1995

please? 'Dit lyk my nie ons gaan 'n sekondant', - a seconder thank you.

Then we carry on to document TC3/22 which is the minutes of the 20th of the 4th month. Once again if we have a look at page 2, page 2, page 3 - no problem. And then we have the Annexure A which is a verbal document on the discussions that took place here.

But can we just get back to the minutes and can I ask someone to propose that we accept the minutes of the 20th of April please. There is a proposer and can we have - they'll tell me nobody was here, non-one of the people are here. Mr Albertyn won't you second that please.

MR ALBERTYN:

Yes, (inaudible) ...

2

CHAIRPERSON:

Thank you, right if we then can return to our agenda please. Any matters arising from the minutes that anybody would like to raise. Then point 4 on the agenda is now the discussion on the report heading 2 phase 1. That is now the summary of areas of agreement and disagreement which is the latest which we've received.

20

8 MAY 1995

But before we do that, there are a few things that I would like to just quickly run through, which should actually go under general, but we find that members leave the meeting as they have to run off and then eventually there are nog enough people to take note of these arrangements. So can we just quickly go through a few just formal arrangements here before we then get back to 4. We will again go back to general should there be anything that anybody would like to raise under general at a later stage.

10

Now what did I do with those letters you gave me. The first one is on - something which happened in a meeting of the Core Group, but which we would like to just perhaps bring to the attention of the members of the Theme Committee.

That there was a discussion on the remuneration of the advisors and which was represented in the newspapers and there was the unfortunate situation of names being mentioned and without the correct detail being made available at the same time.

20

And a release was done by the media department as requested by the Core committee in which we express

ourselves in the same - in the following way.

The Core Group Theme Committee 3 wishes to express concern regarding the articles which appeared in various newspapers referring to the high remuneration paid to the technical advisors, to Professor D Basson in particular.

Professor DC du Toit, the co-chairperson advised the Core Group meeting that he felt it his duty to audit Professor D Basson's account and his satisfied that the fees are in order when one takes into account the volume of work undertaken.

The Core Group wishes to set the records straight and seeks assistance in this regard.

And this was - this was then made available to the media. Perhaps we should just mention that Professor Basson's specifically was the person who actually work through all of the documentation which came in from public submissions. Which we don't always realise that this is a huge amount of work and a time consuming act that he has to - has had - that he took onto his shoulders and that was the reason for

10

his account.

If we will all just take note of that please.

Then on the 15th of May, that is next Monday, we will have during our Theme Committee meeting a local Government workshop in the same room here. If you will please take note of that at this stage, it is the one which was suppose to have been held already in April and we have put forward to next Monday.

10

And then also we have - there was a feeling by the Core Group of Theme Committee 3 that we would like to hold a public hearing on local Government on the 29th of May. The <u>ad hoc</u> committee on local Government has been asked to put together a list of stake holders. And this shall be finalised this list on Thursday the 11th of May. This is this coming Thursday at the Core Group meeting.

We have also given instructions as to how we are to engage the institute for local governance. To assist us with the organising of the event as well as the cost implications, because that could be far reaching. And that then is more

8 MAY 1995

or less a report of the work done by the Core committee at this stage. I thank you.

We now go on to the item no 4 on our agenda and that is the discussion on a report heading 2, phase 1. You received a separate document called summary of areas of agreement and disagreement.

DR DU TOIT:

Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON:

Yes Doctor.

DR DU TOIT:

Madam Chair before we go on with this very important discussion, we are a bit worried that our opposition is so thin today. We - this discussion today is of the utmost importance for directing our work and it's also the core - the heart of the contribution which this Theme Committee must make, which is at stake here.

Now I don't know what went wrong with the other parties.

I know there a workshop on -on election or electorial systems. It's the only thing I am aware of going on at the moment. And we also - I don't know whether we - all of

20

8 MAY 1995

that there is no technical expert available today. I thought Professor Davis would be here today.

Now we were in today to direct this whole process now and - because we not very satisfied with what we got on the table. And there are a strong contingent of three great men of the National Party available. And I am sure they will be able to stand ...

CHAIRPERSON:

There's s a forth one here.

10

UNKNOWN:

Chair you can sit down.

DR DU TOIT:

And the chair can just sit down and then we ...

CHAIRPERSON:

Well that's four - four out of five.

DR DU TOIT:

What - should we have some discussion and could we just have impressions from the other members here because we were taken a bit back and very disappointed on this one.

Ja I know that's good but still there is no-one else here.

20

CHAIRPERSON:

Yes that was one of the things that I was going to raise, first

8 MAY 1995

10

20

of all is that it certainly is a problem to discuss this if we don't have the technical advisors with us. Because as you have mentioned, we from our party certainly also have a problem with the - with the document and would of liked to have discussed it.

Can we have an explanation please.

MR MXENGE:

Up till early this morning we were under the impressing that Professor Davis would - would come and make a presentation. This, as of this afternoon you know we are not, we hadn't made any attempts to get in touch with him, because we are under that impression that he will be coming. So we are not sure as to why he couldn't make it to the meeting. We could follow that up and find out, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON:

Is there any chance that we could now try and contact him, just to know is he on his way or is he not on his way.

Because if he's not on his way, then I would think that really this is a very fruitless exercise.

MR MXENGE:

(inaudible) ...

8 MAY 1995

CHAIRPERSON:

I, at this stage would like to ask you all have your documents accept that you've all prepared. Should we should we find that Professor Davis is not going to be here, what are the suggestions as to how do we handle this?

UNKNOWN:

(inaudible) ...

CHAIRPERSON:

I would like to know should Professor Davis not come, in other words should we have none of our technical advisors here, what should be the procedure from here on. Should we carry on or should - what is it - we asking for suggestions, Mr Manie.

10

MR MANIE:

Madam Chair, for me it's not just the technical advisors. But if we going to go through a certain amount of the work the other political parties that are not here. We might so easily find our self in a situation where the input that would have been - coming from them, might arise hereafter.

20

So we have to decide if we going through with the content of the meeting as it was planned. Does it mean that, that issue is then put behind us after we've reached agreement here amongst us. Because to me it becomes a problem if

8 MAY 1995

we going to do that work and then other people because they've not been here would now want to raise the issue at the next meeting where they are present.

So it's not just the question of the technical advisors.

CHAIRPERSON:

Actually - actually it is a problem, I think we certainly have a dilemma here, because it is also it's a question of they're not here. If one followed simply the rules, that's there problem.

10

But on the other hand we here to try and reach a situation where everybody is going to be happy with it. So simply to overrule the fact that they are not here, is not really going to make it easier for us in the - over the longer term. So we certainly have a huge dilemma here.

MR CRONJE:

Madam depending on what answer we get now from - you know about the technical advisors. But I would that you know at least the National Party is here, the ANC is here, that accounts for 80% - we've got an input from Ken Andrew there, we can't debate with the input on paper unfortunately.

8 MAY 1995

But that leaves the IFP which is not here anyway and the PAC which is one person and I don't know.

CHAIRPERSON:

The ACDP.

MR CRONJE:

So I would suggest depending on what we get as an answer about the technical advisor that perhaps we should at least enter into some discussion, if not, as fully as we would of liked it to have been, sequently as it comes.

UNKNOWN:

Madam Chair I - I know it's difficult, but because we are now here and certain parties are now absent and that may curb us in going forward. It is actually a big frustrating but as Manie, Mister the honourable member Manie mentioned that point is valid in the sense we also agree with Mr Cronje as well.

But we also don't want to reflect the idea that we - we are willing to be you to exclude if necessary. What - there is no - the administration didn't hear of any apologies from one of these other parties?

20

10

Because that is one idea that I am afraid of as the

8 MAY 1995

honourable member Mr Manie said afterwards people can come with strong suggestions that we revisit this whole discussion and I don't think we'll have time at that moment.

Procedurally there is another suggestions we can make, but that is now entailing that this committee must finish those things that they can and then adjourn and do it some - some - in some other way. And that is postponing the whole thing. And - but it's now a bit difficult.

CHAIRPERSON:

Mr Carrim.

MR CARRIM:

Surely one of the issues that impinges on whether we go ahead or not is how this will effect the deadlines we have to meet. I don't know how substantially the fact would be put if we were to defer it.

The one thing that occurs to me is we normally allocate a Thursday afternoon to Core Groups meetings. And we might want to instead have a meeting of the entire Theme Committee. Of course it clashes with the National Assembly sitting, but on the other hand since it's so crucial, maybe we should defer this until Thursday and you know

20

8 MAY 1995

administration be asked to urge upon all the parties who are not here to actually turn up.

CHAIRPERSON:

Well that is another idea that has been put on the table.

We have actually not received any other apologies except that from Ken Andrew from the DP, as far as we know.

MS HAYDON:

Mrs (inaudible) ... and Mr Zam Kota that was all, not from the parties though.

10

CHAIRPERSON:

So ...

DR DU TOIT:

(inaudible) ... ANC.

CHAIRPERSON:

Is that ANC, (inaudible) ... okay, this is an ANC member - we are worried now about the ANC seems to be here in full force. So one person ...

DR DU TOIT:

(inaudible) ... are here as well.

20

CHAIRPERSON:

One person is not going to make that much difference, but we congratulate them on a good turn out. Our problem lies with the other parties. The IFP we know will not be here so

8 MAY 1995

it's really we talking about the PAC, die ACDP and the Freedom Front.

Now the DP did - they apologised, because Andrew - Ken Andrew has to be at another meeting. And he actually came running to me just before this meeting on his way to the other one. So as I say we really have a problem here.

UNKNOWN:

Madam Chair just to hear from the ANC. Thursday afternoon is difficult when the chamber is in session and people have to take part. I think it will be extended committees as well maybe in two different chambers but that makes it difficult for such many of us to be absent there.

But suggestion is what about two - two members per party with the Core committee discuss this at what - what are we doing on Friday.

CHAIRPERSON:

I think Friday is a CA meeting isn't it - or ...

20

10

UNKNOWN:

CA in the afternoon.

CHAIRPERSON:

No I think until two.

8 MAY 1995

UNKNOWN:

From ten.

CHAIRPERSON:

I am not sure it's a CC or a CA meeting on Friday.

UNKNOWN:

Two members per party with the Core committee, extended core committee that's not drawing so many from us, away from the chambers, so that a discussion can take place and that we can have a report back at the soonest, the Theme Committee if it's Friday, it will be a good thing and then it makes our discussion a bit shorter. Just a suggestion. Yes

10

sir.

DR DU TOIT:

Ja, I - my comrades must please react to that, I personally - I am only speaking personally now, would be against that, you see we thought this is a crucial meeting. We prepared, we divided the work up between our people and different people has got different contributions to make and we take - took this very seriously. And we brought our side.

So if we have a meeting, I just - my comrades must correct me, I feel we must have a full Theme Committee - yes somewhere this week. I am just saying that.

8 MAY 1995

CHAIRPERSON:

I am just wondering whether we should at this stage and Mr Cronje I did notice you, I'll give you an opportunity. I am just wondering whether we shouldn't then make a decision that at least we get the opportunity those parties who are here, to discuss the document or put on the table at least - your agreement or disagreement with whatever and then we will have to follow it up I am afraid with another meeting and how we going to do that is going to be I think the most difficult question of all.

10

That you know for everybody to have turned out here and nothing happened that also feels a little bit unfair almost, and the problem is to find the time again.

Mr Cronje do you think you have a solution?

MR CRONJE:

Ja I - first of all I think that if somebody who sits on this floor somewhere near could just please get the programme of Parliament I unfortunately I haven't got it here. So that we see exactly what is happening on the Wednesday or Thursday afternoon. And then we can make a decision and see how many people will actually then be absent. So please to get that.

8 MAY 1995

CHAIRPERSON:

So you looking for a order paper.

MR CRONJE:

So please to get that, the green one, ja get the green one.

Then - and then the - I think it's essential that the technical advisor be present, if not one more than one. Because you

know if we ...

DR DU TOIT:

(inaudible) ...

CHAIRPERSON:

Nee - nee dit sal nie help nie - nee dit is die 'budget cuts,

10

we looking for the order paper'.

MR CRONJE:

No the budget, well that's fine, it will tell you what is on, on Thursday and so forth.

CHAIRPERSON:

But I thought that we changed.

DR DU TOIT:

Never (inaudible) ...

UNKNOWN:

There are two budgets votes on Thursday, Home Affairs 20 and improvements of conditions (inaudible) ...

UNKNOWN:

Chairperson I do have an apology for one of the technical

8 MAY 1995

experts, Professor Basson he had an appointment with the President today, so was unable to attend.

CHAIRPERSON:

So there are two votes running at the same time, which already means that we are being spread very thinly in the two chambers. So if we then also have at the same still a Core Group meeting, it could have a further effect, but ...

MR CRONJE:

Ja you will notice that, that will be extended committee, so there's no question of quorum.

10

CHAIRPERSON:

That's right.

MR CRONJE:

It's simply a matter of saying Home Affairs, who needs to be there and the other one who needs to be there and we see how many people it effects.

CHAIRPERSON:

So would you suggest that we go that way?

MR CRONJE:

We try and go that way and see how many people are affected either on - ja Friday or even tomorrow afternoon you know look at it - but let's go for Thursday.

8 MAY 1995

CHAIRPERSON:

Tomorrow afternoon, actually tomorrow all day there is a Constitutional committee, a portfolio committee on Constitutional affairs all day on - so that's out tomorrow.

So I am afraid that we probably will have to look at Thursday unless we look at Wednesday morning.

DR DU TOIT:

We go for Thursday.

CHAIRPERSON:

Thursday.

10

UNKNOWN:

Madam Chair but what's the problem with Friday has the ANC a problem with this coming Friday.

CHAIRPERSON:

The Friday is a CA meeting - it's a CA meeting from the morning until two.

UNKNOWN:

We have not received any notification that there will be a meeting.

20

CHAIRPERSON:

That's on the program.

UNKNOWN:

Ja it's the program but that was altered several times in the

8 MAY 1995

past.

DR DU TOIT:

But otherwise the Constitutional committee.

CHAIRPERSON:

Ja, ons sit - if the CA does not sit, the CC sits. It almost looks as if Thursday is the obvious thing and it would mean that the Core committee would just have to try and make some alternative arrangement as far as their meeting is concerned.

10

Shall we just hang on, to find out whether Professor Davis is coming or not?

DR DU TOIT:

No it's not (inaudible) ...

UNKNOWN:

If - if we ...

CHAIRPERSON:

We could find ourselves in exactly the same situation of course again on Thursday that the other parties are again not here, because that's what happened to our Core committee meeting also on Thursday last week because of the fact that they are very few, they - and if they take part in a debate, they can't attend.

8 MAY 1995

DR DU TOIT:

The advisor is the important thing.

CHAIRPERSON:

Pardon?

DR DU TOIT:

The technical advisor is the important thing.

CHAIRPERSON:

That's right, but that's why I am saying shouldn't we just wait to hear about Professor Davis. Shall we give you five minutes to just relax and let's just hear. Mr Manie do you have another suggestion?

10

MR MANIE:

Chair - Chairperson I don't see the point in us taking a break now, I think we actually have to take a decision because we still have the same position, whether the technical advisor is here or not, are we actually proceeding without the political parties if the political or the technical expert is present.

So I think we have a position in my view where we have to actually say whether we are going to be continuing without them. At the very least we have to take a position on one occasion when the ANC had a very valid excuse, for not being present here in full. We were reprimanded here for

that reason.

Now I am not trying to bring up these things, but we have to decide are we going to proceed if there is deadlines, now we cutting in on the - the times of other meetings and so on. So just taking a five minute break is not solving the problem. We either say when the technical advisor is present we proceed without them or we postpone the meeting whether the technical advisor is here or not.

10

CHAIRPERSON:

But Mr Manie from what I understood it was a question of if the technical advisor was on his way here, and obviously he must be almost here, otherwise we not going to wait for him for half an hour or an hour. But that we would actually I think that on - from the side of the two parties, that are here.

I think that we could possibly as far as our own problems with the - regarding our own situation, and my party certainly would be able to try and sort out quite a couple of questions which we have as far as the report is concerned, regarding our original inputs.

8 MAY 1995

So that could be covered and I am quite sure that the ANC could do that as well without at all putting at risk anything which comes from the other parties, and then obviously we have to give them an opportunity also to raise their problem.

MR MANIE:

Could I just have a small follow up on that. I think if we are saying that we are going to just allow people the opportunity to state what their views are, but no firm decisions will be taken, then we actually wasting our time.

10

Because unless we are going to say that we going to discuss the issue to finality and actually take decisions, we might as well postpone.

UNKNOWN:

Madam Chair - Madam Chair on a procedural point, I am not under the impression that Theme Committee 3 make decisions. What we do, we go through this whole presentation here as summarised, but the positions and where the parties agree and disagree and that each party can still say he thinks that he is not - his point is not reflected very well here and he feels that it must go to that block or that block, he wants to add here and there.

8 MAY 1995

But to decide that it's final - I don't think is in the ambit of our job description. I just want to make that point.

CHAIRPERSON:

I think that's quite a valid point, because we don't take decisions, but I think - sorry - we don't take decisions here but I think any party can obviously state here that they don't agree that what they originally put into their input is in the - in the final document.

And we could actually cover a lot of ground in that way.

But it now seems that, that's not going to help us at all unless the technical advisors are here, or at least one of them.

It seems that Professor Davis needs a cellular phone so that one can try and find him or locate him where he is because he is not at home, he is not in his office, nobody knows where he is.

So at this stage it's not possible to contact him. It is a quarter to three and I think the first decision we now have to take is whether it's worthwhile at all debating this whole situation unless we have the - at least one of our technical

20

advisors with us.

DR DU TOIT:

Madam Chair then I'd propose the Thursday meeting. I am sure it can be arranged by the administration, it's not too quick. Let's go for a Thursday meeting, get the word out.

You know - do you realise Madam Chair, what we are wasting, there sits a person sent by the Free State Government high official, to come and listen to these debates because this was the important one. What do you think it cost the Free State Government to sent him here useless, without having being able to listen to an important debate.

Now they must sent him again on Thursday afternoon to - as a technical man, an expert, this cost money. And this meeting cost money, so I want to propose that we have the meeting on Thursday afternoon, but the ANC record that it is - 'wat is ontevrede'?

20

10

CHAIRPERSON:

Dissatisfied.

DR DU TOIT:

That we are - 'dat ons baie ontevrede is oor wat hier gebeur

8 MAY 1995

het vandag', that we highly dissatisfied with what happened here today. But I proposed, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON:

Doctor Du Toit yes we've taken note of that, I think that we agree with you and I think that what we should add to that is that on Thursday should people not turn up then we will - and we have the technical advisors here, we would have to carry on with the program.

Because it's obvious that we cannot carry on wasting time, Mr Saaiman.

10

MR SAAIMAN:

In taking the suggestion and the proposal and actually support it ...

CHAIRPERSON:

Mr Saaiman can I just, there were two other hands raised before yourself, so if you will - I am just wondering whether there is any need to just argue the case any further. I don't think there is much that we can do about it.

20

UNKNOWN:

Can we just urge Sandra and Mbasa to inform the parties as soon as possible this afternoon that this meeting is taking place on Thursday and urge them to come and point out to

8 MAY 1995

them that the meeting couldn't go ahead because they were

absent.

And also trace the advisors as soon as possible.

CHAIRPERSON:

Can I add to that, that I think in future that on the Friday before, prior to such a meeting or the day before such a meeting, that the administration always follow up to find out whether the advisors are going to be here or not. Because

we would hate to run into this again.

Now Mr Saaiman and Mr Cronje I am not sure which one of you were first, do you still would like to make a point.

MR CRONJE:

Ja you know we didn't say how many people would indeed be influenced on Thursday. There is another option obviously which is Wednesday from say 1:30 until 3:00 because we have question time only starting at three o'clock.

UNKNOWN:

(inaudible) ...

20

10

MR CRONJE:

Sorry.

8 MAY 1995

UNKNOWN:

We not here.

UNKNOWN:

There is meetings more or less you know as meetings increase it's already scheduled between those times as well.

MR CRONJE:

Okay, so if we happy with Friday and that, then it won't be too many ...

UNKNOWN:

Thursday.

UNKNOWN:

No Thursday.

MR CRONJE:

Thursday then it's - then let's go with Thursday.

CHAIRPERSON:

The lady - there is a lady over there.

UNKNOWN:

The first point we have covered it, I just wanted to suggest whether that if the other parties don't turn up, we go ahead as long as the technical advisors is present.

20

10

But I was going to say if there is a Parliamentary seating on Thursday, can we start at three o'clock so that we can register our presence in Parliament and then perhaps we can

8 MAY 1995

continue until six o'clock or seven o'clock - eight o'clock.

CHAIRPERSON:

Did you say three o'clock.

UNKNOWN:

Yes I say we'll start at three o'clock.

CHAIRPERSON:

At three o'clock, or ...

UNKNOWN:

Yes, so that we can register our presence.

CHAIRPERSON:

I think that's a sensible suggestion because we all have to at least register our presence in Parliament or should we make it quarter to three.

MEMBER'S DISCUSSION

CHAIRPERSON:

Or shall we stick to three o'clock, you prefer to stick to three o'clock. Okay we will make it at three then on Thursday afternoon.

20

10

And the venue will be confirmed because we would have to find out whether this venue is available.

8 MAY 1995

Well perhaps we could have the Core Group meeting at two, that may just help so that we do it all in one, somehow the Core Group will just have to just quickly run in and sign and run out again. So we will carry on with the Core Group meeting, can I get members from the Core Group - is that - ja would you - would you accept that from the ANC side the representatives on the Core Group would you happy with that if we start at two with the Core Group meeting and then the Theme Group ...

10

DR DU TOIT:

What day Madam Chair?

CHAIRPERSON:

On Thursday at two and at three we start with the Theme Committee.

Thank you Mr Saaiman.

MR SAAIMAN:

I don't know if I have to ask the question -must ask this question, but it's just a procedural thing that worries me.

No - no it worries me a bit, Madam Chair this technical advisors do they get notice that - of all the meetings that - and the times and the dates, because to me - between them, they must now organise that one man is compulsory here

8 MAY 1995

when meetings are scheduled.

I just want to enquire because it worries me a bit that people just vanish here, thank you.

CHAIRPERSON:

All right we will see to it that we also check up on them, they are - they are aware of the meetings and we will ask for the administration to always the day before confirm, thank you.

10

Ladies and gentleman then we get back to general.

MR MXENGE:

I just got a message.

CHAIRPERSON:

Just one moment there's a message here. Under general is there anything that anybody else would like to raise at this stage?

Okay Mxenge I can't that.

MR MXENGE:

Ja from the administration side, from the administration side, committee liaison had asked us to circulate invitations to members you know for - for the CPM that is the public

8 MAY 1995

meetings. And we hope that you will be receiving those and - you know that is members responding to that.

And another thing is, there was an invitation which was circulated to members which comes from the International Republican Institute, also wanted you know to know by today as to who will be representing our Theme Committee - whether Theme Committee is interested in meeting with Daniel Alazaar who is from the International Republican meeting I mean Institute and if so, you know who will be representing the Theme Committee at - at that meeting.

10

CHAIRPERSON:

It will be in Cape Town on May 17 or 18, so it would be a question of whether we are available, I don't think that it's a question of how many - probably is how few are available with the rest of the program.

Because the 18th and the 19th if I remember correctly we have the CPG meeting on inter Governmental relations. So if there is anybody that would be interested in meeting with Mr Alazaar please if you need any more information, just come now to the administration to get that. It was circulated to everybody.

8 MAY 1995

DR DU TOIT:

Madam Chair this Professor Alazaar from Canada you talking about. Could I just inform my - my comrades and 'my broers aan die ander kant'. This Professor Alazaar is really one of the world's foremost experts on inter Governmental relations. He has just last year - end of last year his newest book has appeared and really this - this is like a very important academic.

CHAIRPERSON:

Then do you suggest that we try and you say he's on international - ag inter Governmental relationships.

10

DR DU TOIT:

Ja his ...

CHAIRPERSON:

Is he not going to be at the conference?

DR DU TOIT:

Could I, it's possible I don't know, but Alazaar is famous for his work on so-called federational systems and their impact in ethnic societies and he's one of the chaps who has made the point that federations are not the final answer in - in racially divides societies.

20

So he is really very much into this type of field, this is a great man.

8 MAY 1995

CHAIRPERSON:

So that's the kind of gentleman that the ANC would like to promote - okay we are asking you then to please take note of that and if you would approach the administration to give us an indication of when it may suit most people, perhaps on the Wednesday the 17th, I don't know, but if anybody is interested please - please go and give your names, we do have a problem.

I don't know whether you are aware of it, one of the - was it on the tribal authorities that was in Pretoria, traditional authorities, in fact twelve people were booked, only two turned up in Pretoria, the ten - ten of them simply were - well everything was paid and all that money is lost.

So people are taking on invitations and then don't turn up, so please those of you who are interested please come and tell us so that we make sure that we don't have the gentleman turning up here and only one person sitting waiting for him. Thank you.

We conclude the meeting.

20

10

[END]

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that in so far as it is audible, the foregoing is a true and correct transcription of the proceedings recorded by means of a mechanical recording of the:

THE CONSTITUTIONAL ASSEMBLY

THEME COMMITTEE 3

8 MAY 1995

(
TRANSCRIPTIONIST: L WOOD
EDITOR: H POTCIETER