HESE MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

### MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL HELD AT 11H15 ON MONDAY 19 JULY 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT: See Addendum A

### 1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

### 2. Welcome and Attendance

The participants were welcomed.

### 3. Ratification of the Agenda

The agenda was ratified with the following amendments:

- 3.1 The addition of an Item 4.3 to read "Draft Programme and Schedule of Meetings";
- 3.2 The addition of an Item 4.4 to read "Participation of Delegates in the Negotiating Council".

### 4. Minutes

### 4.1 Adoption of Minutes:

4.1.1 Minutes of the meeting of 24 June 1993:

The minutes were adopted with the following amendments:

- \* Item 5.1.10 refers: The word "extension" should read "extensive";
- \* Item 7.2.8, the second asterisk refers: The word "and" in line four be deleted.
- 4.1.2 Minutes of the meeting of 25 June 1993:

The minutes were adopted with the no amendments.

4.1.3 Minutes of the meeting of 28 June 1993:

The minutes were adopted with the following amendments:

- \* The addition of an Item 4.2 to read "It was noted that the Planning Committee minutes were not available for distribution in the Negotiating Council as they had not yet been ratified by the Planning Committee."
- \* Item 5.2.5.8, the first asterisk refers: The word "of" should read "as".
- \* Item 5.2.5.11 refers: The word "offenses" should read "offences".
- 4.1.4 Minutes of the meeting of 29 June 1993:

The minutes were adopted with the following amendments:

- \* Item 5.1.5.3 refers: The word "given" should read "give";
  \* Item 5.2.3.2, the first asterisk refers: The word "bottoms-up"
- should read "bottom-up";
  Item 5.2.7 refers: The word "fundamental" should read "fundamentally".
- 4.1.5 Minutes of the meeting of 1 July 1993:

The minutes were adopted with no amendments.

4.1.6 Minutes of the meeting of 2 July 1993:

The minutes were adopted with no amendments.

4.2 Matters arising out of the minutes:

\*

- 4.2.1 No matters arising were noted out of the minutes of the meeting of 24 June 1993.
- 4.2.2 Minutes of the meeting of 25 June 1993:
  - Item 4.8, asterisk two. A progress report with regard to the Commission of Inquiry that the Planning Committee was mandated to set up was given by PJ Gordhan. The following was noted:
    - The matter was still under discussion in the Planning Committee;

- The Planning Committee was not yet in a position to report back fully on this issue to the Negotiating Council;
- The Planning Committee had been involved in discussions with the Goldstone Commission prior to the two week adjournment of the negotiating process;
- Certain issues were forwarded to the Goldstone Commission for enquiry;
- The Goldstone Commission report would be made available to participants in the course of the day;
- There had been a request that the Goldstone Commission report be simultaneously released to the Department of Justice and the Multi-Party Negotiating Process. This was not done and enquiries are proceeding in this regard.

It was agreed that the Planning Committee be given an opportunity to report back more fully on this issue at a later stage during the course of the day. It was further agreed that this issue would at that point be fully debated.

- 4.2.3 No matters arising were noted out of the minutes of the meeting of 28 June 1993.
- 4.2.4 No matters arising were noted out of the minutes of the meeting of 29 June 1993.
- 4.2.5 Minutes of the meeting of 1 July 1993:
  - Page 68 and 69, Item 4.2 refers: The PAC requested that it be noted the that the PAC was not present during the debate.
- 4.2.6 No matters arising were noted out of the minutes of the meeting of 2 July 1993.

### 4.3 Meetings Schedule and Programme

- 4.3.1 The meetings schedule and the proposed programme were agreed to (see Addendum B).
- 4.3.2 It was noted that the proposed programme was a flexible one.

### 4.4 **Participation in the Negotiating Council by Delegations:**

- 4.4.1 Discussion and debate proceeded on this issue.
- 4.4.2 It was noted that no official notification of suspension had been

received by any of the relevant participants.

- 4.4.3 The SACP requested that the following be noted:
  - \* That it displayed gross disrespect to the whole process for the Process to not have been informed about the withdrawal of the relevant participants;
  - \* That this Process remained fully constituted and remained able to take the necessary decisions to move the country to democracy;
  - \* That all the withdrawn parties would be welcome to return but should not be allowed to complain about decisions taken in their absence;
  - That the Negotiating Council proceed with its agenda and appeal to the relevant participants to come back to the negotiating process;
  - \* That the SACP felt that as a result of the relevant participants not even officially informing the Negotiating Process about their withdrawal, this indicated a lack of seriousness as to how they regarded the process.
- 4.4.4 It was agreed that as a matter of procedure it should be noted that the following participants were absent from the meeting without apologies:
  - \* IFP
  - \* KP
  - \* Kwazulu Government
- 4.4.5 After discussion and the putting forward of various proposals it was agreed not to proceed with any of the proposals as the Multi-Party Negotiating Process had not been officially notified of the decision of the relevant participants. Until this was done, the meeting should proceed as planned. Furthermore, it was agreed that the meeting could not act on an issue that was not officially/formally before the meeting.

### 5. Substantive Issues

### 5.1 Draft Bill on the IBA:

- 5.1.1 The Technical Committee on the IMC was welcomed. Present were A Armstrong, D Dison, P Pretorius and C Noffke. Apologies were noted from EJ Mabuza. M Markowitz attended the meeting as a technical support person.
- 5.1.2 It was agreed to deal with the Report on the IBA first.



- 5.1.3 It was noted that this Report had been made available for public comment and extensive submissions had been received in this regard. The Technical Committee was still processing these and other submissions received.
- 5.1.4 It was agreed to deal with the Draft Bill chapter by chapter. It was noted that the Technical Committee was free to give inputs on any section of the Draft Bill during the course of the discussion. Questions of clarity were addressed to the Technical Committee. During the discussion and debate the following was noted:
  - 5.1.4.1 The Item on Definitions refers:
    - It was suggested that the formulation of the definition of "associate" was clumsy. The Technical Committee was requested to take note of this.
    - It was suggested that the definition of "Control" was too wide and vague. Various participants disagreed with this view. The Technical Committee was requested to reconsider this item in any case, taking into account the views expressed.
    - \* The definition of "Party Election Broadcast" was discussed and debated. At the end of the debate, it was agreed to request the Technical Committee to reconsider this item, taking into account the views of participants expressed.
    - \* The Bophuthatswana Government reserved its position with regard to the definition of "Republic".

### 5.1.4.2 Chapter 2 - Object and Policy refers:

- The SA Government noted that it supported the suggestion of the Technical Committee in footnote 4. The PAC noted that it did not support this.
- It was suggested that the question of religion was not catered for in as far as policy was concerned. The Technical Committee should consider this aspect. The SACP expressed a reservation in this regard.

- It was suggested that Item 3 (a) should read "develop and protect a national identity, culture and characters;".
- The Technical Committee was requested to clarify Item 7.
- It was suggested that Item 10 was an overregulation of the issue concerned and should not be laid down in the policy guidelines.

### 5.1.4.3 Chapter 3 - Independent Broadcasting Authority refers:

\*

- It was suggested that the Technical Committee should be more gender sensitive throughout the Draft Bill.
- It was suggested that under Item 1 (b) the words "full-time" be deleted. It was further suggested that the Authority should be representative of society as a whole.
- Item 6 (b) refers: It was suggested that town councillors should not be excluded from being members of the Authority. There was a divergence of views on this issue.
- Item 6 (c) refers: It was suggested that the words "or organisation" be added at the end of the sentence.
- Item 6 (d) and (e) refers: It was suggested that the word "spouse" should be considered for these items. Furthermore, that with regard to financial interest, consideration should be given to amending the items to accommodate the words "direct or indirect".
- Item 6 (h) refers: The Technical Committee should reconsider this item. It was also suggested that this item be deleted.
  - Item 7 (1) refers: The Technical Committee was requested to reconsider the period of appointment, taking into account the views expressed. Clarity was requested as to when the period of appointment could end.

Item 8 (b) refers: The SA Government expressed strong reservations with regard to Schedule 1.

\*

- Item 8 (c) refers: It was suggested that the removing authority should be the same as the appointing authority.
  - Item 11 refers: It was suggested that the Technical Committee should give consideration that the meetings should be held in public.
  - It was suggested that where there are inconsistencies in the Draft Bill with regard to references to Ministers and Parliament, these should be dealt with and where these references could not be entirely eliminated, mechanisms for consultation with the relevant sub-councils should be catered for. The relationship between the transitional structures and the IMC should be clarified. Further clarity should be given on who appoints the staff and the suggestion was that the Multi-Party Negotiating Process should fulfil this function.
- Item 13 (1) and (2) refers: It was suggested that the principle of a mechanism as to where the TEC should play a role was applicable in these items.
- \* Item 17 (2) refers: It was suggested that the rules should be published in the Government Gazette.
- \* Item 20 refers: It was suggested that this item was not necessary.
- 5.1.4.4 Chapter 4 Committees, Appointment of Experts and Inquiries:

No comments were noted.

- 5.1.4.5 Chapter 5 Broadcasting Frequency Spectrum Management:
  - \* Item 31 refers: It was suggested that the Draft Bill should make provision for a salient

provision regarding existing rights, taking into account the views expressed in the meeting.

- Item 31 (1) refers: It was suggested that the following phrase be included "with the intention of providing the maximum number of allotments".
- Item 31 (2) refers: It was suggested that the investigation should be as comprehensive as possible. Furthermore, that a comprehensive allocation plan needs to be worked out, taking into account factors beyond what is contained in the Draft Bill.
- \* It was agreed that the present criteria would be expanded.
- 5.1.4.6 Chapter 6 Broadcasting Signal Distribution Licenses:
  - \* Item 33 (1) (a) refers: It was suggested that the Technical Committee consider a definition for "common carrier".
  - \* Item 33 (2) refers: It was suggested that special reference should not be made to "Sentech".
- 5.1.4.7 Chapter 7 Broadcasting Licences:
  - \* Footnote 8 refers: The ANC and DP stated that they were in support of the second view.
  - \* Item 42 (1) refers: It was suggested that the words "as defined in section 1" be deleted.
  - \* Item 42 (3) refers: It was suggested that the words "or terminated" be inserted after The word "disposed".
  - \* Item 42 (3) refers: It was suggested that the words "or interim legislative body" be deleted.
  - \* Item 43 (1) refers: The Technical Committee was requested to consider whether there should not be some qualification of the word "licensed", i.e. by whom it has been licensed.

- Item 43 (2) refers: It was suggested that the Technical Committee considers how the IBA could take a more proactive role to ensure that the disadvantaged played a major role in broadcasting services. It was further suggested that the criteria did not sufficiently cover this issue.
- \* Item 43 (2) (h) refers: It was suggested that the word "persons" be replaced by "communities".
  - Item 43 (2) (h) refers: It was suggested that historically disadvantaged persons (communities) should be present at all levels of the broadcasting services.
  - Item 44 refers: It was suggested that the Technical Committee should consider as to how the relevant applicants support would be tested.

The meeting adjourned for lunch at 13h05.

\*

\*

The meeting reconvened at 14h05.

- Item 45 (2) refers: It was suggested that 20% was an acceptable percentage.
- Item 46, the footnote refers: It was suggested that the meeting accept the third view as suggested by the Technical Committee.
- <sup>\*</sup> Item 47 (11) refers: The PAC suggested that the Technical Committee changes the percentage of 35% to 20%.
- \* The Technical Committee requested submissions from participants on the issue of cross media ownership.
- Item 48 refers: It was suggested that the Technical Committee reconsiders this item with regard to a possible bias towards business ownership, taking into account the views expressed. It was further suggested that the formulation is too general.

- Item 50 refers: It was suggested that the definition of "local television content" be broadened.
- Item 52 refers: It was suggested that the Negotiating Council agrees to seven year for a television broadcasting licence and five years for a sound broadcasting licence.
- Item 53 (2) refers: The Technical Committee was requested to consider whether a reference to Item 47 should be included in this item.

#### 5.1.4.8 Chapter 8 - Broadcasting Programmes:

\*

- \* Item 57 (2) refers: It was suggested that no broadcaster should be exempt from the provisions of the code.
- \* Item 59 (4) refers: It was agreed that the word "determine" should appear after the word "may" in the third line.
- \* Item 61 refers: The Technical Committee was requested to reconsider this item taking into account the views expressed in the meeting.
  - A further sub-section was proposed: "That the licensee shall not broadcast any polling forecast that is published within 72 hours of polling day". It was suggested that this should be dealt with in the Electoral Act.
  - It was further suggested that party political alliances, formed to contest an election, should be treated as a single party in relation to time allocated for a political broadcast.

### 5.1.4.9 Chapter 9 - Enforcement:

Item 64 refers: It was suggested that the Technical Committee considers an obligation on the part of the IBA to ensure confidentiality of information that it might obtain from licensees, as long as the information does not violate the terms of the licence.

- \* Item 66 refers: It was suggested that notice of inspection of records should be given.
- \* Item 67 (4) (b) refers: The Technical Committee was requested to consider a clearer definition.
- It was suggested that Item 68 (2) was incomplete.
- \* Item 69 refers: It was suggested that the Technical Committee should consider decriminalising offences.
- 5.1.4.10 Chapter 10 Regulations, Amendment and Repeal of Laws, Short Title and Commencement:
  - Item 70 (1) refers: It was suggested that the words "on the recommendation of" be replaced with "in consultation with". Further suggestions were "upon the advice of" and "on the instruction of". The Technical Committee was requested to reconsider this item, but to ensure that the words were binding and with legal power.
- 5.1.4.11 Schedule 1 (Section 5):

\*

- It was suggested that the Authority should be appointed by the Multi-Party Negotiating Process or the TEC and afterwards by Parliament with a four fifths majority.
- 5.1.4.12 Schedule 3 (Section 57):
  - \* Item 2 (1) refers: The question of who would determine whether material was acceptable or non-acceptable was raised.
    - Item 4 (1) refers: It was suggested that the sentence should end after the word "importance".
  - \* Item 4 (3) refers: It was suggested that the sentence should end after the word "opinion".
- 5.1.5 The Technical Committee was requested to ensure that its

wording of the Draft Bills remained consistent.

5.1.6 The Technical Committee was requested to note the various comments, respond to the various requests and submit a further report. It was noted that when the next report of the Technical Committee was received, the Negotiating Council would not discuss the Draft Bill clause by clause but would only deal with the outstanding matters.

### 5.2 Draft Bill on the IMC:

- 5.2.1 The PAC requested the Technical Committee to take into account its submissions with regard to Section 15, 16 and 17 of this Draft Bill.
- 5.2.2 It was agreed to deal with the Draft Bill chapter by chapter. Questions of clarity were addressed to the Technical Committee. During the discussion and debate the following was noted:
  - 5.2.2.1 Clause 1 Definitions:
    - \* It was agreed that consistency should exist between definitions on the IBA Draft Bill and this Draft Bill.
    - \* "Republic" refers: The Bophuthatswana Government and the Ciskei Government noted that it did not regard itself being bound in this regard.
    - \* "State-financed publication" refers: It was proposed that the following wording be deleted "for the purposes of the promotion of state policy or the policy of a department of state". This was seconded and no objections were noted. The Technical Committee was requested to find, if necessary, more acceptable wording to convey the point in this definition.
  - 5.2.2.2 Clause 3 Objects:
    - \* The Technical Committee was requested to reconsider the wording.
  - 5.2.2.3 Clause 4 Appointment of Members of the Commission:
    - \* It was suggested that the heading should read

"Composition of the Commission".

- 5.2.2.4 Clause 5 Persons Qualified to be Members of the Commission:
  - \* It was suggested the composition should be reconsidered by the Technical Committee taking into account the views of the meeting.
- 5.2.2.5 Clause 6 Persons Disqualified from being Members of Independent Media Commission:
  - \* Item 6 (a) refers: It was suggested that the word "present" be deleted.
  - \* Item 6 (f) refers: It was suggested that the Technical Committee reconsiders this item with regard to the type of un-rehabilitated insolvent, taking into account the views expressed in the meeting.
- 5.2.2.6 Clause 7 Term of Office of Members of Commission:
  - \* Item 7 (2) refers: The Technical Committee was requested to consider any lengthening of the lifespan of the IMC. The wording of this item was suggested to be vague.
- 5.2.2.7 Clause 8 Vacation of Office of Members of Independent Media Commission and the Filling of such Vacancy:
  - \* Item 8 (1) (b) refers: The Technical Committee was requested to consider putting in a reference in this item similar to the reference of the TEC in Item 8 (1) (f).
- 5.2.2.8 Clause 9 Remuneration of Members of Commission:
  - \* It was suggested that the words "State President" be replaced by "Minister of State Expenditure".
  - \* It was suggested that the words "on recommendation of" be replaced by "in consultation with".
  - \* It was suggested that any references to the State

President or a Minister should be omitted.

- \* The Technical Committee required guidance on how all the interim structures would be financed. The SA Government was requested to make submissions to this Technical Committee and any other relevant Technical Committees in this regard.
- 5.2.2.9 Clause 10 Meetings of the Commission:
  - \* Item 10 (1) refers: The Technical Committee should consider to what extent the members of the Commission would be involved full time during the run up to the election.
  - \* Item 10 (6) refers: It was suggested that this Item should also be provided for in the Draft Bill on the IBA.
  - \* It was suggested that, as is the case with the IBA, provision for casual vacancies should be made for in this Draft Bill.
  - \* It was suggested that Item 6 (b) be deleted.
  - \* Item 6 (a) refers: It was suggested that if a member had an interest he/she should be recused from the meeting.

### 5.2.2.10 Clause 11 - Establishment of Committees:

- \* Item 11 (1) refers: The Technical Committee should consider placing restrictions on the Committees themselves, with the most important aspects reserved for the Commission itself. The Committees should only be appointed in consultation with the TEC.
- \* It was suggested that Item 11 (2) should be made subject to 11 (1).
- \* Item 11 (2) (a) (i) refers: It was suggested that the sentence should end after the word "advertisements", reformulated and the appropriate terminology found.
- \* Item 11 (2) (a) (ii) refers: It was suggested that the sentence should end after the word "election",

reformulated and the appropriate terminology found.

- \* Item 11 (8) refers: It was suggested that a word was missing and the word "of" should be inserted between "performance" and "its".
- 5.2.2.11 Clause 12 Appointment of Experts:
  - \* Item 12 (1) (b) refers: It was suggested that the item should read "An expert shall perform the functions assigned to him by the Commission".
  - \* Item 12 (5) refers: The Technical Committee was requested to consider a more appropriate word for "seconded".
- 5.2.2.12 Clause 13 Staff of Commission:
  - \* Item 13 (2) refers: It was suggested that the TEC and its Sub-Councils should be involved in establishing the levels of remuneration.
- 5.2.2.13 Clause 15 Party Election Broadcasts on Public Sound Broadcasting Services:
  - \* It was suggested that private sound broadcasting services with regard to party election broadcasts should be clearly set out in this item.
- 5.2.2.14 Clause 16 Political Advertisements on Sound Broadcasting Services:
  - \* Item 16 (2) refers: It was suggested that if a broadcasting service altered its stance on this issue, appropriate notice of this should be given to each political party. The question of whether each political party should have a broadcast monitor was raised. This issue was referred back to the Technical Committee for consideration, taking into account the views expressed in the meeting.
- 5.2.2.15 Clause 17 Prohibition on Party Election Broadcast and Political Advertisements on Television Broadcasting Services:
  - \* The Technical Committee was requested to clearly define what a "party election broadcast" is for the purposes of television broadcasting.

- 5.2.2.16 Clause 18 Equitable Treatment of Political Parties by all Broadcasting Services:
  - \* Item 18 (4) refers: The Technical Committee noted that this item should have been deleted.
- 5.2.2.17 Clause 21 Orders, Penalties and Recommendations:
  - \* Item 21 (1) (d) refers: It was suggested that a restriction to the openness of financial penalties should be considered.
- 5.2.2.18 Clause 25 Regulations:
  - \* It was suggested that the words "only on the request of" be deleted and replaced with "in consultation with".
- 5.2.2.19 Clause 26 Offences and Penalties:
  - \* The Technical Committee was requested to consider the decriminalisation of offences.
  - \* Item 26 (b) refers: It was suggested that this item was inappropriate and the Technical Committee would should reconsider this item.
- 5.2.2.20 Clause 27 Delegation:
  - \* A concern was expressed with regard to the inclusion of a state member/civil servant. The Technical Committee was requested to reconsider this issue.
- 5.2.3 The Technical Committee was requested to take note of comments arising out of the debate on the Draft Bill on the IBA that were also applicable to this Draft Bill.
- 5.3 Proposal to the Negotiating Council with regard to the Functioning of the Technical Committee on the Independent Media Commission and Independent Broadcasting Authority:
  - 5.3.1 The following proposal was agreed to by the Negotiating Council:
    - 5.3.1.1 Due cognisance has been taken of the work done so far by the Technical Committee, and appreciation for the progress in finalising the Bills on the Independent Media Commission and the Independent Broadcasting Authority needs to be expressed.

- 5.3.1.2 However, cognisance has also been taken of the fact that:
  - \* In respect of both Bills, there are still various outstanding matters that will require extensive deliberations in the Technical Committee; and
  - \* The Technical Committee received voluminous comment from the broadcasting industry and other interested bodies and parties on the IBA Bill after it was published for general information and comment.
- 5.3.1.3 In view of the aforementioned, and because time is of the essence, it was proposed that:
  - \* The Technical Committee finalises both Bills with incorporation of all alternative proposals in respect of those clauses in the Bills on which there are dissenting views;
  - \* The Committee submits its final report preferably before or on 29 July 1993.
- 5.3.2 It was noted that the Technical Committee was granted flexibility in terms of the above accepted proposal.
- 5.3.3 It was noted that the Planning Committee had decided to recommend to the Negotiating Council that wherever a Bill was in the process of preparation, a State Legal Advisor be appointed to that Technical Committee with a view to refining the language into appropriate legislative language.
- 5.3.4 The Technical Committee was thanked for its work so far completed.

### 6. Procedural Issues

#### 6.1 Sufficient Consensus:

- 6.1.1 The Administration, on behalf of the Planning Committee and PJ Gordhan on behalf of the ad-hoc committee, gave a reportback on this issue and the following was noted:
  - \* A further meeting of the ad-hoc committee would occur at 13h00 on Tuesday 20 July 1993 and a report would then be made to the Planning Committee, and subsequently to the

Negotiating Council in the course of the afternoon.

- 6.1.2 An appeal was made to the ad-hoc committee to resolve this issue as a matter of urgency.
- 6.1.3 The AVU noted that its continued participation in the process would depend on the resolving of this issue.

### 6.2 Oral Evidence and Public Hearings:

- 6.2.1 M Webb, on behalf of the Planning Committee, gave a report to the Negotiating Council.
- 6.2.2 The following recommendation of the Planning Committee was agreed to:
  - \* That the Technical Committee be given the discretion to obtain verbal clarification on written submissions should they so wish.

### 6.3 State Legal Advisers:

- 6.3.1 M Webb, on behalf of the Planning Committee gave a report to the Negotiating Council.
- 6.3.2 The following recommendation from the Planning Committee was agreed to:
  - \* That State Legal Advisers be appointed to assist with the drafting of legislation only from a technical and legal aspect.

### 6.4 Commission of Inquiry into the Attack on the Negotiating Process:

It was agreed that the Planning Committee be given an opportunity at its meeting of 20 July 1993 to consider the Goldstone Commission Report. The Planning Committee would submit its recommendations in this regard to the Negotiating Council at its meeting of 20 July 1993. In the light of this, it was agreed that the meeting of the Negotiating Council would commence at 10h00 on 20 July 1993.

#### 7. Meetings Schedule

This item had already been dealt with (see Item 4.3 above).



### Closure

- 8.1 It was agreed to send a letter of condolences on behalf of the Negotiating Council to Mr Mtshizana from the Transkei Delegation who had lost his son through an illness.
- 8.2 It was noted that the Report of the Technical Committee on the IEC would be dealt with at the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 20 July 1993.
- 8.3 The Chairperson was thanked for the way the day's proceedings were handled.
- 8.4 The meeting adjourned at 16h05.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 27 July 1993 and the amended version signed by the Chairperson of the original meeting on .....

leen CHAIRPERSON

The following delegates and advisers were present at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Monday 19 July 1993:

D de Villiers	:	Chairperson
PJ Gordhan	:	Assistant Chairperson before the meeting adjourned for lunch
R Cronje	:	Assistant Chairperson when the meeting reconvened after lunch

Organisation	Delegates	Advisers
ANC	C Ramaphosa M Manzini	
AVU	M Mentz	AS Beyers JR de Ville
Bophuthatswana	R Cronje R Mangope	SG Mothibe BE Keikelame
Cape Trad. Leaders	M Nonkonyana	GD Gwadiso
Ciskei	M Webb VT Gqiba	TM Bulube SM Faku
DP	KM Andrew M Finnemore	PG Soal
Dikwankwetla	JSS Phatang K Ngwenya	SOM Moji
IFP		
ΙΥΡ	NJ Mahlangu N Mtsweni	AP Laka Q Vilankulu
КР		
INM	SS Ripinga	PMH Maduna MS Gininda
Kwazulu		
Labour Party	L Landers CC August	D Lockey J Douw
NIC/TIC	PJ Gordhan SR Pillay	K Mayet C Salojee
NP	L Wessels TJ King	SJ Schoeman

NEGCOUNS/MINUTES/MIN1907 4 August 1993

	PP
-	111

OFS Trad. Leaders

PAC

Solidarity

SACP

SA Government

Transkei

TVL Trad. Leaders

UPF

Venda

XPP

A Rajbansi S Naidoo

RH Mopeli

P de Lille B Alexander

N Singh P Naidoo

J Slovo L Jacobus

RP Meyer LR Brink

Z Titus N Jajula

LM Mokoena

MJ Mahlangu A Chabalala

SE Moeti TJ Tshivhase

NB Hlaisi EE Ngobeni B Singh S Singh

MB Mota

B Desai L Makhanda

T Pallan AS Razak

DPA Schutte A Tredoux

MA Netshimbupfe

RJ Dombo

GM Ligege S Makhuvha

H Matjokana

:	Administration
:	Minutes
:	Administration
:	Administration
:	Administration
	:

### Addendum B

# DRAFT PROGRAMME FOR MEETINGS

# 19 JULY 1993

Negotiating Council	Monday 19 July 1993	IMC IBA (first discussion)
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 20 July 1993	IEC
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 21 July 1993	FHR & Disc Leg
Negotiating Council	Monday 26 July 1993	Const. Issues
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 27 July 1993	TEC
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 28 July 1993	Disc Leg & FHR (Finalise) Constitutional Issues
Negotiating Council	Thursday 29 July 1993	TEC (finalise) IMC (finalise) IBA (finalise)
Negotiating Council	Friday 30 July 1993	Constitutional Issues

## **REVISED PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS**

# 19 JULY 1993

Planning Committee	Monday 19 July 1993	08h30 - 11h00
Negotiating Council	Monday 19 July 1993	11h00 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 20 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 21 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00

Planning Committee	Monday 26 July 1993	08h30 - 10h30
Negotiating Council	Monday 26 July 1993	10h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 27 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 28 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 29 July 1993	09h30 - 19h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 30 July 1993	09h30 - 17h00

Planning Comittee	Monday 2 August 1993	08h30-10h30
Negotiating Council	Monday 2 August 1993	10h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 3 August 1993	09h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Wednesday 4 August 1993	09h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Thursday 5 August 1993	09h30-19h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 6 August 1993	09h30-17h00

Negotiating Council	Monday 9 August and		
	following days	Finalisation	o f
DI		Constitution	

Please note :

The adjournment times of the Negotiating Council meetings as stated are target times, which will only apply if the agenda has been completed, subject to the final decision of the meeting.