THESE MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 3 MAY 1993 AT 10H00 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT: B Alexander (Chairperson by rotation)

R Cronje C Eglin PJ Gordhan FT Mdlalose RP Meyer

MC Ramaphosa

J Slovo Z Titus M Webb

T Eloff (Administration)
G Hutchings (Minutes)

1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

2. Welcome and Attendance

- 2.1 All members were welcomed.
- 2.2 An apology for late arrival by FT Mdlalose was noted.

3. Ratification of Agenda

The Agenda was ratified with the following amendments:

- Item 5 and 6 interchange;
- * The addition of an Item 5.3 to read "Management of Technical Sub-Committees"
- * The addition of an Item 6.6 to read "Participation of Non-South Africans within the Negotiating Structures";
- * The addition of an Item 6.7 to read "Procedure on resolutions in respect of the

4. Minutes

- 4.1 Minutes of the meeting of 31 March 1993:
 - 4.1.1 The minutes were adopted with no amendments.
 - 4.1.2 No matters arising were noted.
- 4.2 Minutes of the meeting of 1 April 1993:
 - 4.2.1 The minutes were adopted with no amendments.
 - 4.2.2 No matters arising were noted.
- 4.3 Minutes of the meeting of 22 and 23 April 1993:
 - 4.3.1 The minutes were adopted with no amendments.
 - 4.3.2 Matters arising:
 - * It was agreed that the Administration present to the Planning Committee an on-going report with regard to "Contact with other Negotiating Fora".
- 4.4 Minutes of the meeting of 29 April 1993:
 - 4.4.1 The minutes were adopted with the following amendments:
 - * Item 3.2.2 should read "It was agreed that as individuals, members of the Planning Committee accept responsibility for procedural recommendations, but that this does not bind them as delegates of their respective parties/organisations/administrations".
 - * Item 3.2.4, the last section of the sentence should read "..., these should be raised and notice thereof be given in the meetings of the Planning Committee and not only in the meetings of the Negotiating Council".
 - 4.4.2 No matters arising were noted.
- 4.5 Minutes of the Negotiating Forum of 1 April 1993:

It was agreed that the Negotiating Forum minutes be distributed to

5. Substantive Issues

5.1 Appointment of Technical Sub-Committees

- 5.1.1 It was agreed that, once all the submissions with regard to the membership of the Technical Sub-Committees had been received from participants (deadline 5 May 1993 at 12h00) these be forwarded to the Sub-Committee (consisting of M Maharaj, B Ngubane and SS van der Merwe). The Sub-Committee would process this with a view to submit recommendations on the composition of the various Technical Sub-Committees to the Planning Committee. The Planning Committee would in turn submit a firm proposal to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993.
- 5.1.2 A guideline on the suggested number of members per Technical Sub-Committee was given to the Sub-Committee (see Addendum A). The Sub-Committee is to submit a recommendation to the Planning Committee in this regard.
- 5.1.3 It was agreed that the Planning Committee meet at 09h15 on Friday before the meeting of the Negotiating Council to discuss the proposed composition as recommended by the Sub-Committee. Therefore, the Negotiating Council would meet at 11h00 and not 09h15 as previously stated.
- 5.1.4 Feedback, as well as the complete list of nominees should be faxed to the Planning Committee on the recommended composition of the Technical Sub-Committees on Thursday 6 May 1993.
- 5.1.5 The Sub-Committee was mandated by the Planning Committee to send a circular to all participants to clarify issues such as:
 - * What expertise is needed in the Technical Sub-Committees;
 - * The approximate number of members to compose the Technical Sub-Committees;
 - * That nominees should tentatively be available to attend a meeting with the Planning Committee at the World Trade Centre on the afternoon of Monday 10 May 1993. Confirmation of this meeting would be conveyed to nominees who are accepted to compose the Technical Sub-Committees as would be decided on by the Negotiating Council at its meeting on Friday 7 May 1993.

* Participants recommending members should be sure that the nominees are available for the work and should be aware of the time frames and intensity of the process.

After lunch the circular, as proposed by the Sub-Committee, was agreed to with amendments (Addendum B).

5.1.6 Paragraphs 6 and 7 of "The Explanatory Memorandum" were referred to as the basis of the relationship between the Negotiating Structures and the Technical Sub-Committees.

5.2 Time Frames for the work of the Technical Sub-Committees

- 5.2.1 It was agreed that a first progress report should be ready for the meeting of the Negotiating Council on 18 May 1993.
- 5.2.2 The Planning Committee should, therefore, receive the first draft reports before their meeting on 14 May 1993.
- 5.2.3 It was noted that the Technical Sub-Committees would be responsible for their own meetings schedule, subject to the time frames given by the Planning Committee.

5.3 Overall Management of the Technical Sub-Committees

It was agreed to recommend the following proposed process of overall management of the Technical Sub-Committees to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993:

- 5.3.1 That an initial meeting be held between all appointed members of the Technical Sub-Committees, the Planning Committee and the Sub-Committee to brief and give them their relevant Guidelines.
- 5.3.2 The Technical Sub-Committees report to the Negotiating Council through the Planning Committee, with the assistance of the Sub-Committee.
- 5.3.3 No member of the Sub-Committee is entitled to serve on any of the Technical Sub-Committees.
- 5.3.4 The Sub-Committee, assisted by the members of the Technical Sub-Committees, should present progress reports at every meeting of the Planning Committee, who in turn would present to every meeting of the Negotiating Council. It was agreed that this item be permanently on the agendas of the Negotiating Council and the Planning Committee.

- 5.3.5 It was further agreed that the Sub-Committee prepare a document, in liaison with the Administration, clearly stating the Function, Management and Time-Frames of the Technical Sub-Committees. After lunch this document was submitted to the Planning Committee who in turn agreed to table the document at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993 (see Addendum C).
- 5.3.6 It was agreed to mandate the Sub-Committee and the Administration to negotiate the question of remuneration with individual members of the Technical Sub-Committees, keeping in mind the decision taken by the Planning Committee at their meeting of 22 April 1993 (see Planning Committee minutes of 22 and 23 April 1993, Item 4.1.9, 3rd asterisk).
- 5.3.7 It was agreed that the Administration would organise the necessary Administrative support for the Technical Sub-Committees. Furthermore, the Administration should in liaison with the Sub-Committee distribute all inputs received so far from participants to the relevant Technical Sub-Committees.
- 5.3.8 It was agreed in principle to recommend to the Technical Sub-Committee dealing with constitutional issues to consider the advisability of setting up a commission/committee on regions to deal with the issue of boundaries, functions and powers in terms of the CODESA Agreements with regard to whatever dispensation is to be agreed upon. This would not imply commitment, decision or acceptance on behalf of any participant. The issues would still be debated within the Negotiating Council. The Technical Sub-Committee is to submit to the Planning Committee a recommendation on the composition, Terms of Reference, time frames and the way in commission/committee the would function. This recommendation should reach the Planning Committee before 14 May 1993.

5.4 Violence

- 5.4.1 It was noted that, in terms of the recommendation from the Planning Committee, to the Negotiating Council on Friday 30 April 1993 the Planning Committee is to meet with Mr John Hall, Chairman of the Peace Committee, during the course of the day. The Sub-Committee was mandated to have an exploratory discussion with Mr Hall after which the Planning Committee would briefly meet with Mr Hall as a matter of protocol.
- 5.4.2 After lunch the Sub-Committee reported back to the Planning Committee on the meeting held with Mr Hall. In this regard the

following was noted:

- * All the issues that were isolated in the Negotiating Forum meeting of 1 April 1993 had already been identified in the peace process;
- * A specialised group had been set up within the peace structures to strengthen the Peace Accord structures;
- * A formal meeting between the Planning Committee and representatives from the Executive of the Peace Committee (and possibly the specialised group) was proposed. This was agreed to. It was further agreed that such a meeting take place on any of the days that the Planning Committee is scheduled to meet.

The meeting adjourned for lunch at 13h00.

The meeting reconvened at 14h20.

5.5 Election Date

It was agreed that the proposal as submitted to the last meeting of the Negotiating Council on 30 April 1993 still stands.

6. Procedural Issues

6.1 New Participants

A report was presented to the Planning Committee by the Sub-Committee and after discussion the following was noted:

- 6.1.1 It was agreed to confine the discussion to the question of new applicants;
- 6.1.2 It was agreed to accept the report from the Sub-Committee with regard to the criteria for Political Parties and Organisations and to submit it as a recommendation from the Planning Committee to the Negotiating Council.
- 6.1.3 It was noted that M Webb gave notice that he would raise the issue of accepting new participants also on the basis of substantial support in a regional context.

- 6.1.4 With regard to Traditional Leaders it was agreed to recommend the following:
 - * That the principle of provincial representation should be maintained, but the problems around the representivity of existing delegations should be addressed in consultation with and a manner acceptable to all concerned. This issue should be discussed in the meeting of the Negotiating Council and, if necessary, be referred back to the Planning Committee;
 - * It was noted that R Cronje and FT Mdlalose reserve their position on this issue.
- 6.1.5 With regard to other applicants it was agreed to recommend that applications of organisations who are not political parties or organisations, be refused.
- 6.1.6 It was noted that the problem of both the Administrations and political parties in one region participating in the Negotiating Process, has not been resolved and will require further attention.
- 6.1.7 It was agreed to recommend the following process for dealing with applications of political parties or organisations:
 - * Applicants should be informed of the criteria and requested to submit whatever facts and arguments they wish to, but they should be required to at least respond to the questionnaire (Addendum D);
 - * Administration should cause a newspaper survey over the preceding year to be conducted to establish the type of press coverage every applicant has received;
 - * As soon as all the information is at hand in respect of a particular application, it is put before the Negotiating Council for a decision.
- 6.1.8 It was noted that no applications from any governments or administrations had been received.

6.2 Name of the Process

It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that this issue be removed from the agenda until the Planning Committee is in a position to submit a recommendation to the Negotiating Council.

6.3 Role of the International Community

It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that this issue be removed from the agenda, because it will be dealt with at the level of the Technical Sub-Committees, where appropriate. Participants will have the opportunity to make inputs at this level.

6.4 Media Arrangements

After having received a report from the Communications Committee, the Planning Committee agreed to recommend the following to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on 7 May 1993:

- 6.4.1 That, for the meetings of the Negotiating Council of 7, 18, 24 and 28 May 1993, the SABC be requested to arrange audio and video feed as is presently the case;
- 6.4.2 That the Communications Committee, in liaison with the Administration, draft a tender pro-forma for the purpose of putting the coverage of Negotiating Council meetings out on tender;
- 6.4.3 That recommendations of the Communications Committee with regard to the Negotiating Forum be dealt with at a later stage;
- 6.4.4 That Administration take note of the last part of the report.

6.5 Assistance to the Negotiating Council Chairpersons

After discussion the following was noted:

- 6.5.1 That in terms of Item 7.2 of the Standing Rules it is stated that both the Negotiating Forum and the Council "shall be chaired by a core panel of Chairperson (assisted by two persons from within the Negotiating Council)";
- 6.5.2 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that it recommend to the next meeting of the Negotiating Forum that this should not be applicable to the Negotiating Council, but only to the Negotiating Forum. Practical reasons were given for this proposal (cf Report from the Planning Committee to the Negotiating Council of 7 May 1993).

6.6 Participation of Non-South Africans within the Negotiating Structures

After discussion the following was noted:

6.6.1 A distinction should be drawn between the role of the international

- community in this process and the participation of non-South Africans in the structures of the negotiations process;
- 6.6.2 In the Plenary, the Negotiating Forum, the Negotiating Council, the Planning Committee or in any sub-structures as set up by these structures, non-South Africans cannot be participants on the basis that they are representing, in either delegate or adviser capacity, any participant;
- 6.6.3 However, with regard to Technical Sub-Committees, individual participants may seek advise from non-South Africans on a technical basis or the Technical Sub-Committees may request technical or expert inputs from non-South Africans, should that be required.
- 6.6.4 That this issue be further discussed in the Negotiating Council.

6.7 **Procedures on Resolutions within meetings of the Negotiating Forum**

In order to achieve a balance between the right of participants to air their views and the effectivity of arriving at constructive resolutions, it was agreed to recommend the following:

- 6.7.1 That the right of participants to speak on all relevant matters should at all times be acknowledged;
- 6.7.2 That the Chairperson has the responsibility and the right to guide the meeting in a constructive and facilitating manner;
- 6.7.3 That every proposed resolution should have a proposer and a seconder;
- 6.7.4 That each resolution should initially come before the meeting of the Negotiating Forum;
- 6.7.5 That, if necessary, the Forum can decide to create an ad hoc Resolutions Committee to facilitate the drafting of a resolution taking into account, as far as possible, all views expressed.

7. **Administrative and Financial Matters**

- 7.1 A report with regard to the problem experienced with the Courier Company was accepted and will be submitted to the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993.
- 7.2 A document on "Guidelines for Demonstrations" was accepted as amended and would be submitted to the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993 (See Addendum E). It was noted that, wherever possible, the Planning

Committee should receive prior notice of any planned demonstrations. It was agreed that the Administration meet with the police officer in charge of security outside the World Trade Centre in this regard.

- 7.3 It was noted that monitors carrying CNN television would be available to participants 24 hours a day at no cost to the process. Four monitors would be supplied for this purpose.
- 7.4 It was noted that copies of the contract between the South African Government and the CBM will be made available to the Negotiating Council in due course.

8. Agenda and report to Negotiating Council from the Planning Committee

- 8.1 The draft agenda with amendments was agreed to (see Addendum F).
- 8.2 It was agreed that all the reports from the Planning Committee to the Negotiating Council be presented by B Alexander on behalf of the Planning Committee, and that the report on the name of the process be presented by J Slovo.

9. Schedule of Meetings

A revised schedule of meetings was agreed to (see Addendum G). This would be submitted to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993.

10. Closure

The meeting closed at 18h15.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of 10 May 1993 and the amended version signed by the Chairperson of the original meeting on 14. 1991993

CHAIRPERSON

Addendum A

Guideline on the suggested number of members per Technical Sub-Committee:

Constitutional Issues
Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition
Violence 6 (plus the 3 members from the peace structures)
Independent Election Commission
Independent Media Commission
Amendment or repeal of legislation impeding free political activity and discriminatory legislation
TEC and its Sub-Councils

COMMUNICATION TO ALL PARTICIPANTS

- 1. On 29 April 1993 the Negotiating Council asked participants to submit names of experts for the following technical committees:
 - * Constitutional Issues (Form of State and Constitutional Principles, Constitution Making Body/Constituent Assembly, Transitional/Interim Constitution, Transitional Regional/Local Government, Future of the TBVC States, Self Determination)
 - * Fundamental Human Rights During the Transition
 - Violence
 - * Independent Election Commission
 - * Independent Media Commission
 - * Amendment or Repeal of Legislation Impeding Free Political Activity and Discriminatory Legislation
 - * TEC and its Sub-Councils
- 2. For the sake of clarification: The proposal is that each technical committee should consist of from 3 to at the most 6 members who should be experts and not representatives of parties.
- 3. Participants are therefore asked to submit names of experts to be put on a panel. As a general guidance relating to the type of expertise required we refer you to the technical committees agreed upon. The Planning Committee will then propose members for each technical committee drawn from this panel. The proposals will be submitted to the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May.
- 4. It will be appreciated if in respect of every name the following particulars can be given:
 - * Title
 - * Initials
 - * Surname
 - Occupation
 - * Expertise
 - Work and home address
 - * Work and home telephone
- 5. As decided by the Negotiating Council the names must reach administration before 12h00 on Wednesday 4 May 1993.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT TO THE NEGOTIATION COUNCIL ON 7 MAY 1993

FUNCTION, MANAGEMENT & AND TIMEFRAMES OF TECHNICAL SUBCOMMITTEES

- 1. It was agreed by the Negotiating Council that the following Technical Committees should deal with the following aspects:
 - * Constitutional Issues (Form of State and Constitutional Principles, Constitution Making Body/Constituent Assembly, Transitional/Interim Constitution, Transitional Regional/Local Government, Future of the TBVC States, Self Determination)
 - * Fundamental Human Rights During the Transition
 - * Violence
 - * Independent Election Commission
 - * Independent Media Commission
 - * Amendment or Repeal of Legislation Impeding Free Political Activity and Discriminatory Legislation
 - * TEC and its Sub-Councils
- 2. The following is recommended in this regard:
 - 2.1 The names for the Panel should be submitted before 12h00, Wednesday 4 May 1993.
 - 2.2 The Sub-Committee will fax proposals on the composition of the Technical Committees as well as proposed task description of each, and suggestions on the management of the Technical Committees, to members of the Planning Committee on Thursday 6 May 1993.
 - 2.3 The Planning Committee will meet on Friday morning (7 May 1993) to formulate proposals to the Negotiating Council on the composition and task description of the Technical Committees.
 - 2.4 The Negotiating Council will appoint the Technical Committees on Friday 7 May 1993. This item should be given priority on the agenda to enable members of the subcommittees to be notified timeously about the meeting on Monday 10 May 1993.
 - 2.5 All members of the Technical Committees are to meet the Planning Committee during the afternoon of Monday 10 May 1993, to be briefed on their mandates, time scales, nomination of convenors, functioning, etc.
 - 2.6 The Technical Committees will report to the Negotiating Council. The Planning Committee will co-ordinate the Technical Committees and serve as a channel between them and the Negotiating Council. The Planning Committee may delegate some of these functions to the Sub-Committee.
 - 2.7 The Technical Committees will be asked to each submit an initial report to the Planning Committee on 14 May 1993, for tabling in the Negotiating Council on 18 May 1993.

QUESTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES/ORGANISATIONS APPLYING TO JOIN THE MULTI-PARTY NEGOTIATING PROCESS: FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROCESSING THE APPLICATION

1.	Date of formation of party/organisation		
2.	Names of office bearers and designation		
3.	Statement of Political Intent/Constitution		
4.	Indicators of demonstrable support (signed up membership, attendance at rallie elections results)		
5.	Activities:	Meetings Publications Other	
6.	Participation in	n other organisations:	Parliament/Legislative Assembly Local Government Civics Other
7.	Offices:	Address HQ and other of Telephone, Fax Number of personnel emp	fices ployed by your party/organisation
8.	Are you viable in regards to sustained funding?		
9.	Geographical area of operations: support, offices, etc?		
10.	Rules of mem	bership	

Report from the Planning Committee on Guidelines for Demonstrations

- 1. The Multi-Party Security Force at the World Trade Centre is an impartial force, aimed at the protection and safety of all parties represented in the Negotiating Process. It is here to assist in the security of the World Trade Centre and to ensure the safety of all persons within the premises.
- 2. Therefore the Planning Committee proposes the following guidelines for demonstrations:
 - 2.1. All demonstrations should take place at the gates of the World Trade Centre;
 - 2.2. Demonstrators should not block the free flow of traffic into the World Trade Centre;
 - 2.3. Demonstrators should not stone or damage buildings or vehicles in the vicinity of the World Trade Centre;
 - 2.4. The Multi-Party security would welcome dialogue between itself and heads of demonstrators;
 - 2.5. Multi-Party security should assist leaders of demonstrations in forwarding memoranda through Administration to the relevant people concerned. In this regard, parties whom the demonstrators want to meet, should be notified. If such a party does not want to meet the demonstrators, the memorandum should be received by the Head of Administration, who should ensure that the memorandum is subsequently handed to the relevant party.
 - 2.6. By agreement by all the parties, delegations wishing to hand over a memorandum is restricted to three (3) persons who may enter the premises to hand over such memorandum.

DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL TO BE HELD ON FRIDAY 7 MAY 1993 AT 11H00

Chairpersonship - MJ Mahlangu assisted by FT Mdlalose

- 1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation
- 2. Welcome and Attendance
- 3. Ratification of Agenda
- 4. **Minutes**
 - 4.1 Adoption of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 30 April 1993
 - 4.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 30 April 1993
 - 4.3 Other minutes to be noted:
 - 4.3.1 Planning Committee of 29 April 1993
 - 4.3.2 Planning Committee of 3 May

5. **Substantive Issues**

- 5.1 Election Date - continuation of debate
- Report of the Planning Committee on the Technical Sub-Committees: 5.2
 - 5.2.1 Management and Time Frames
 - 5.2.2 Composition and Appointment:

	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
5.2.2.1	Violence
5.2.2.2	Constitutional Issues
5.2.2.3	Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition
5.2.2.4	Independent Election Commission
5.2.2.5	Independent Media Commission
5.2.2.6	Amendment or repeal of legislation impeding free political activity and discriminatory legislation
5.2.2.7	TEC and its Sub-Councils

. Procedural Issues - Report of the Planning Committee

- 6.1 New Participants approval of criteria and process
- 6.2 Name of the Process
- 6.3 Role of the International Community
- 6.4 Media Arrangements
- 6.5 Assistance to the Negotiating Council Chairpersons
- 6.6 Participation of non South Africans in the Negotiating Process
- 6.7 Procedures on Resolutions

7. Administrative Matters

- 7.1 Report on the problem with the Couriers
- 7.2 Guidelines for Demonstrations
- 8. Meetings Schedule
- 9. Closure

PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

Planning Committee	Monday 3 May 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Friday 7 May 1993	09h15 - 10h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 7 May 1993	11h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Monday 10 May 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Friday 14 May 1993	08h00 - 13h30
Planning Committee	Monday 17 May 1993	14h00 - 18h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 18 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Tuesday 18 May 1993	18h00 - 20h00
Planning Committee	Monday 24 May 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 25 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Tuesday 25 May 1993	18h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 28 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Tuesday 1 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
NEGOTIATING FORUM	Wednesday 2 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00

THESE MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL.

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 29 APRIL 1993 AT 12H30 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT:

B Alexander

R Cronje

C Eglin

PJ Gordhan

FT Mdlalose

RP Meyer

MC Ramaphosa

J Slovo

Z Titus

M Webb (Chairperson by rotation)

1. Moment of Silence

A moment of silence was observed by all members.

2. Welcome and Attendance

- 2.1 All members were welcomed.
- 2.2 Apologies for late arrival were noted from:

PJ Gordhan

FT Mdlalose

RP Meyer

3. Ratification of Agenda

- 3.1 The Agenda was ratified with the following amendments:
 - * The addition of Item 4.5 to read "An Election Date".
- 3.2 A question was raised with regard to the status of recommendations submitted by the Planning Committee. In this regard the following was noted:

- 3.2.1 In terms of the Terms of Reference for the Planning Committee, it has to "plan and submit recommendations on procedural and substantive issues".
- 3.2.2 It was agreed that as individual members of the Planning Committee accept responsibility for procedural recommendations, but that this does not bind them as delegates of their respective parties/organisations/administrations.
- 3.2.3 It was agreed that a member from the Planning Committee will present recommendations of the Planning Committee to the Negotiating Council. The choice of the member will depend upon the issue.
- 3.2.4 It was agreed that there be a general understanding between members of the Planning Committee that, if any member has a problem or reservation with an issue discussed in the Planning Committee, or any other issues, these should be raised and notice thereof be given in the meetings of the Planning Committee and not only in the meetings of the Negotiating Council.

4. Substantive Issues

4.1 Outstanding Constitutional Issues

- 4.1.1 A report was presented by the Sub-Committee.
- 4.1.2 After discussion the following was noted:
 - * It was agreed to draft a document entitled "Explanatory Memorandum". This was agreed to as a way to allay fears and to facilitate agreement to be reached.
 - * Contained within the Explanatory Memorandum should be an item outlining the understanding on how the Technical Subcommittees are set up.
 - * It was initially agreed to fax the memorandum to all participants, but due to time constraints the Planning Committee was unable to approve the final draft at this meeting. It was noted that the Planning Committee would meet briefly before the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 30 April 1993. If any amendments were suggested at that meeting, they would be conveyed to the meeting of the Negotiating Council in the report on this issue.

- * The report was accepted as amended to be tabled at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 30 April 1993.
- * It was noted that the Report presents a way of moving forward procedurally.

4.2 Guidelines for the proposed Technical Sub-Committees

- 4.2.1 After discussion and taking into account that the deadline for submissions by participants was 17h00 on Thursday 29 April 1993, it was agreed that if the Sub-Committee can present a report at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 30 April 1993, encompassing the views of all submissions received, then it should be done. If the report is not ready an extension should be requested from the Negotiating Council for the receipt of the report.
- 4.2.2 It was noted that the receipt of the Guidelines should not delay the establishment of the proposed Technical Sub-Committees. The Technical Sub-Committees could begin work using the Guidelines that have already been established.
- 4.2.3 It was agreed to request the Negotiating Council to forward names of experts, to the Planning Committee, to possibly form part of the composition of the proposed Technical Sub-Committees. It was further agreed to request a mandate from the Negotiating Council that the Planning Committee compose the Technical Sub-Committees and report back to the Negotiating Council at the next meeting. The members of the proposed Technical Sub-Committees would be appointed on the basis of their expertise. It was agreed that R Meyer report on this issue to the meeting of the Negotiating Council.

4.3 Recommendation on Violence

It was agreed that the report as it stands is to be resubmitted to the meeting of the Negotiating Council.

4.4 An Election Date

- 4.4.1 It was agreed to submit a written proposal to the meeting of the Negotiating Council.
- 4.4.2 It was noted that R Cronje, FT Mdlalose and M Webb reserve their positions in this regard.
- 4.4.3 It was agreed that a brief meeting of the Planning Committee be held before the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 30 April 1993 to finalise the proposal and to decide on whom presents the proposal

to the meeting of the Negotiating Council. This was done, and, it wad decided that J Slovo would present the report to the Negotiating Council. (See Addendum A)

5. Procedural Issues

Due to time constraints no procedural issues were discussed. It would be reported to the meeting of the Negotiating Council that the Planning Committee concentrated on the substantive issues and would deal with procedural issues in their own right at the next meeting of the Planning Committee.

6. Agenda of and Report to the Negotiating Council

- 6.1 It was agreed that, in addition the next Chairperson of the Negotiating Council, MJ Mahlangu, the Chairperson of the Planning Committee meeting of 29 April 1993 (M Webb) assist the Chairperson (L Landers) of the Negotiating Council. It was noted that although assisting the Chairperson, full speaking rights as the leader of the leader of his delegation will still be permitted.
- 6.2 After the addition of Item "5.3 An Election Date", the draft agenda for the meeting of the Negotiating Council was accepted.
- 6.3 With regard to the presentation of reports from the Planning Committee to the Negotiating Council the following was agreed:
 - 6.3.1 Report on Constitutional Issues: M Webb assisted by the Sub-Committee;
 - 6.3.2 Report on Violence: M Webb;
 - 6.3.3 Report on The Transition Process: M Webb;
 - 6.3.4 Report on An Election Date: J Slovo;
 - 6.3.5 Procedural Issues: The Chairperson of the day.

7. Administrative and Financial Matters

It was agreed that these issues be deferred to the next meeting of the Planning Committee.

Schedule of Meetings

It was agreed to mandate the Administration to finalise this taking into account the following decision of the Planning Committee:

- 8.1 The Negotiating Council meeting on Friday 14 May 1993 will move to Tuesday 18 May 1993;
- 8.2 The Negotiating Forum will meet on Wednesday 2 June 1993.

9. Closure

The meeting closed at 18h15.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of
amended version signed by the Chairperson of the original meeting on
CHAIRPERSON

DECLARATION OF INTENT ON THE NEGOTIATING PROCESS

This meeting of the Negotiating Council, held on 30 April 1993 at the World Trade Centre

1. Noting That There Is An Urgent Need To:

- * Inspire confidence in the negotiating process and our ability to resolve our problems peacefully;
- * Offer a clear vision of the major milestones in the negotiating and transition process;
- Create conditions which eliminate violence in South Africa;

2. And recognising wide acceptance of the need for democratic elections in South Africa;

3. Therefore Commit Ourselves:

- * To reach agreements on binding constitutional principles, the constitutional framework and the constitution-making process within which an election will be held;
- * To provide for an adequate time-frame for the implementation of decisions on these matters and procedures for elections; and
- * To ensure that the negotiating process moves forward over the next five weeks to set the exact date for an election that should take place not later than the end of April 1994.

DECLARATION OF INTENT ON THE NEGOTIATING PROCESS

This meeting of the Negotiating Council, held on 30 April 1993 at the World Trade Centre

1. Noting That There Is An Urgent Need To:

- * Inspire confidence in the negotiating process and our ability to resolve our problems peacefully;
- Offer a clear vision of the major milestones in the negotiating and transition process;
- * Create conditions which eliminate violence in South Africa:

2. And recognising wide acceptance of the need for democratic elections in South Africa:

3. Therefore Commit Ourselves:

- * To reach agreements on binding constitutional principles, the constitutional framework and the constitution-making process within which an election will be held;
- * To provide for an adequate time-frame for the implementation of decisions on these matters and procedures for elections; and
- * To ensure that the negotiating process moves forward over the next five weeks to set the exact date for an election that should take place not later than the end of April 1994.

PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT TO THE NEGOTIATION COUNCIL ON 7 MAY 1993

FUNCTION, MANAGEMENT & AND TIMEFRAMES OF TECHNICAL SUBCOMMITTEES

- 1. It was agreed by the Negotiationg Council that the following Technical Committees should deal with the following aspects:
 - * Constitutional Issues (Form of State and Constitutional Principles, Constitution Making Body/Constituent Assembly, Transitional/Interim Constitution, Transitional Regional/Local Government, Future of the TBVC States, Self Determination)
 - * Fundamental Human Rights During the Transition
 - Violence
 - * Independent Election Commission
 - * Independent Media Commission
 - * Amendment or Repeal of Legislation Impeding Free Political Activity and Discriminatory Legislation
 - * TEC and its Sub-Councils
- 2. The following is recommended in this regard:
 - 2.1 The names for the Panel should be submitted before 12h00, Wednesday 4 May 1993.
 - 2.2 The Sub-Committee will fax proposals on the composition of the Technical Committees as well as proposed task description of each, and suggestions on the management of the Technical Committees, to members of the Planning Committee on Thursday 6 May 1993.
 - 2.3 The Planning Committee will meet on Friday morning (7 May 1993) to formulate proposals to the Negotiating Council on the composition and task description of the Technical Committees.
 - 2.4 The Negotiating Council will appoint the Technical Committees on Friday 7 May 1993. This item should be given priority on the agenda to enable members of the subcommittees to be notified timeously about the meeting on Monday 10 May 1993.
 - 2.5 All members of the Technical Committees are to meet the Planning Committee during the afternoon of Monday 10 May 1993, to be briefed on their mandates, time scales, nomination of convenors, functioning, etc.
 - 2.6 The Technical Committees will report to the Negotiating Council. The Planning Committee will co-ordinate the Technical Committees and serve as a channel between them and the Negotiating Council. The Planning Committee may delegate some of these functions to the Sub-Committee.
 - 2.7 The Technical Committees will be asked to each submit an initial report to the Planning Committee on 14 May 1993, for tabling in the Negotiating Council on 18 May 1993.

PROCEDURAL ISSUES - REPORT FROM THE PLANNING COMMITTEE

1. Recommended Criteria and Process for New Participants

The Planning Committee has discussed this issue on several occasions and submits the following recommendations:

- 1.1 Political Parties or Organisations to qualify, must show:
 - 1.1.1 That it is indeed a political party or organisation intending to participate as such in the first election under a transitional/new constitution:
 - 1.1.2 That it has proven substantial support in a national context;
 - 1.1.3 That its admission will enhance the peaceful negotiating process.

1.2 Traditional Leaders

The principle of provincial representation should be maintained for the time being, but the problems around the representivity of existing delegations should be addressed in consultation with and a manner acceptable to all concerned. This issue should be discussed in the meeting of the Negotiating Council and, if necessary, be referred back to the Planning Committee.

1.3 Other Applicants

It is proposed that applications of organisations who are not political parties or organisations, be refused.

1.4 The problem of both the Administrations and political parties in one region participating in the Negotiating Process, has not been resolved and will require further attention.

2. Process

The following process for dealing with applications of political parties or organisations is proposed:

2.1 Applicants should be informed of the criteria and requested to submit whatever facts and arguments they wish to, but they should be required to at least respond to the questionnaire annexed to this report (Annexure A);

- 2.2 Administration should cause a newspaper survey over the preceding year to be conducted to establish the type of press coverage every applicant has received;
- 2.3 As soon as all the information is at hand in respect of a particular application, it is put before the Negotiating Council for a decision.

2. Name of the Process

It is recommended that this issue is removed from the agenda and that the process be simply known as the Multi-Party Negotiating Process.

3. Role of the International Community

It is recommended that this issue, likewise, is removed from the agenda, because it will be dealt with at the level of the Technical Sub-Committees, where appropriate. Participants will have the opportunity to make inputs at this level.

4. Media Arrangements

After having received a report from the Communications Committee (Annexure B), the Planning Committee recommends the following:

- 4.1 That, for the meetings of the Negotiating Council of 18 May, 24 and 28 May 1993, the SABC is requested to arrange audio and video feed as is presently the case;
- 4.2 That the Communications Committee, in liaison with the Administration, draft a tender pro-forma for the purpose of putting the coverage of Negotiating Council meetings out on tender;
- 4.3 That recommendations of the Communications Committee from the Negotiating Forum be dealt with at a later stage;
- 4.4 That Administration take note of the last part of the report.

5. Assistance to the Negotiating Council Chairpersons

In terms of Item 7.2 of the Standing Rules it is stated that both the Negotiating Forum and the Council "shall be chaired by a core panel of Chairpersons (assisted by two persons from within the Negotiating Council)". After discussing this issue at length, the Planning Committee recommends to the Negotiating Council to recommend to the next meeting of the Negotiating Forum that this should not be applicable to the

Negotiating Council, but only to the Negotiating Forum.

The reason for this is largely a practical one. The present practice, by which the Chairperson of a meeting is assisted by the in-coming Chair (for sake of continuity) and by the previous Chairperson of the Planning Committee (for the sake of reporting back from the Planning Committee), is working very well. To add to one Chairperson and two assistant Chairpersons another two assistants from the Negotiating Council would be impractical and would achieve the aim of improving the effectivity of the meeting. Furthermore, the absence of two more leaders from their delegations would in fact detract from effective contribution and participation in the meeting.

6. Participation of Non-South Africans in the Negotiating Process

- 6.1 A distinction should be drawn between the role of the international community in this process versus the participation of non-South Africans in the structures of the negotiations process;
- 6.2 In the Plenary, in the Negotiating Forum, in the Negotiating Council, in the Planning Committee or in any sub-structures set up by these structures, non-South Africans cannot be participants on the basis that they are representing in either delegate or adviser capacity any participating organisation, however,
- 6.3 With regard to Technical Sub-Committees individual participants may seek advise from non-South Africans on a technical basis or the Technical Sub-Committees may request technical or expert inputs from non-South Africans should that be required, but again not as a participant.

7. Procedures on Resolutions in the Negotiating Forum

To achieve a balance between the right of participants to air their views and the effectivity of arriving at constructive resolutions, the Planning Committee recommends as follows:

- 7.1 The right of participants to speak on all relevant matters, should at all times be acknowledged;
- 7.2 The Chairperson has the responsibility and the right to guide the meeting in a constructive and facilitating manner;
- 7.3 Every proposed resolution should have a proposer and a seconder;
- 7.4 Each resolution should initially come before the meeting of the Negotiating Forum;

7.4 If necessary, the Forum can decide to create an ad hoc Resolutions Committee to facilitate the drafting of a resolution taking into account, as far as possible, all views expressed.

QUESTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES/ORGANISATIONS APPLYING TO JOIN THE MULTI-PARTY NEGOTIATING PROCESS: FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROCESSING THE APPLICATION

- 1. Date of formation of party/organisation
- 2. Names of office bearers and designation
- 3. Statement of Political Intent/Constitution
- 4. Indicators of demonstrable support (signed up membership, attendance at rallies, elections results)
- 5. Activities:

Meetings

Publications

Other

6. Participation in other organisations:

Parliament/Legislative Assembly

Local Government

Civics Other

7. Offices:

Address HQ and other offices

Telephone, Fax

Number of personnel employed by your party/organisation

- 8. Are you viable in regards to sustained funding?
- 9. Geographical area of operations: support, offices, etc?
- 10. Rules of membership

REPORT FROM THE PLANNING COMMITTEE ON THE PROBLEM OF THE COURIER SERVICE

- 1. After investigation by Administration into the causes of the non-delivery of the documents to Delegates on Saturday 24 April 1993, the following transpired:
 - 1.1 The Managing Director, Peter Baker attended a meeting with Administration and presented the attached letter with a full explanation and solution to future services. Certain points in the said letter have been summarised as follows:

Sun Couriers will;

- Set up a facility at the World Trade Centre
- * In house training at their premises for Administration Staff was verbally agreed to.
- * Facilities to have direct contact with Senior Management of Sun Couriers (ie home telephone numbers after hours) has been arranged.
- * It was established that special services (ie Saturday deliveries to rural areas) are available
- 1.2 Subsequently Sun Couriers were contacted by Administration at 17h55 on 30 April 1993 and informed that 10 parcels had to be delivered to various addresses on Saturday, 01 May 1993. The response was immediate from their operations Manager. The documents were collected by Sun Couriers by 20h30. All deliveries took place as promised and Administration was contacted on Sunday morning with confirmation that this had been done.

A further "follow-up" call was received on Monday 03 May 1993 to ascertain that they had performed to Administration's satisfaction.

- 2. Administration has in the interim obtained a proposal and quotation from another accredited Courier service to ensure that in the event of any breakdown in the services rendered by the aforementioned there is an alternative service available.
- 3. In the light of the aforementioned, it is recommended that Administration continue to utilise the services of Sun Couriers on a "trial basis".



28th April, 1993

Mr. J. Christensen Staatkundige Ontwikkelingshulp World Trade Centre Kempton Park

BY HAND

Dear Mr. Christensen

Our Company is greatly concerned at the incident last Friday which appears to have resulted in delays in the Constitutional Negotiations.

While I cannot reverse the events of the past, I believe it is important to establish as afar as possible what caused the incident, and to set up the necessary safeguards to prevent a recurrence. I do believe that the services Sun Couriers can provide will be of inestimable value to the delegates at the World Trade Centre, and it would be a shame if one incident stood to prevent this.

The aggravations you experienced in arranging the collection of the twenty envelopes for distribution, and their non-delivery on the Saturday, arose not from poor service but from mutual misunderstanding of the procedures and conditions involved. The exception is the member of our after-hour staff who apparently hung up on you, for which I extend my personal apologies. The last thing we want as a Company is to present such an image, and we are constantly urging our staff to adopt a helpful attitude towards all callers. If it were possible to identify the culprit, we would take immediate corrective action.

The actual events of Friday seem to have occurred as follows:-

Miekie du Preez of the Department in Pretoria called our Pretoria office on Friday afternoon to request us to deliver large quantities of Dispatch Documentation, bags and Tracking stickers to the World Trade Centre urgently. A number of 300 shipments was mentioned.



-2-

She was asked to liaise with our Johannesburg office, in whose area the World Trade Centre lies, and did so.

Irene Bennett arranged the delivery of the material, and offered to provide one of our people to assist with the wrapping. This was duly done, but the person Patrick who was sent with the material did not stay to help. It is unclear whether he left of his own accord or on the request of your colleagues. Most certainly, it was not on the instructions of his superiors.

During the course of the afternoon, Customer Service Representative Lene Fletcher spoke to Miekie du Preez about the planned deliveries, and Lene confirms that Miekie enquired whether we did Saturday Deliveries. Lene said yes, but only to major centres. Apparently there was no discussion of what constituted Major Centres, or how to request Saturday Delivery. Lene says she regarded the conversation as a general enquiry and not a specific request.

The result of these events appears to have been that the people at the World Trade Centre who prepared the envelopes were under the impression that Saturday Delivery would be automatic to all destinations and dispatched the envelopes in this belief.

Our operations staff, on the other hand, on receipt of the envelopes, handled them in terms of the standard operating procedures, which provided for Monday delivery. (In fact, our Branch Manager, Phillip Miller, was aware that Saturday Delivery had been mentioned, and personally examined each envelope and document to ensure that any Saturday Delivery instruction was properly actioned. He saw that none were marked for Saturday Delivery, and concluded that the people at the World Trade Centre had decided against Saturday Delivery. Phillip entered the shipments into the normal system, confident that he had looked after his client's interests. I have to endorse his decision, particularly in view of the fact that Saturday Delivery is clearly displayed as a service option on the Dispatch document, but was not selected.)

Consequently, the envelopes were delivered, in the best of faith, on Monday.

We are acutely aware that the misunderstanding has caused much friction at the negotiations, and I am sure you will understand that no actions of our Company or any of our staff had this intention. On the contrary, our role as Courier is to assist our clients, and the Negotiation Forum is no exception.

I do request you to regard the incident as a genuine misunderstanding, on the part of many people, and to accept that our staff acted in good faith.

Sun Couriers has been appointed by many Government Departments as their chosen Courier, who appear to approve of the services we provide them. I would like to think that the Negotiating Forum can also rely on Sun Couriers to provide courier services during the coming weeks and months. The best way to ensure proper performance is to set up the facility properly. All users must have easy access to our service, and a clear understanding of what can and cannot be done.

4



-3-

I suggest that all Courier services are centralised at your secretariat in the World Trade Centre, and delegates are advised that courier services are available from that point. We will supply a Sun Couriers decal if you would like us to, which can be fixed to the door or window.

Secretariat staff will be thoroughly briefed on the services available, and this will be supported by copies of our Tariff brochure which describes our services and coverage in detail, including places where Saturday Delivery and Same Day services are available to.

We will also supply our plastic envelopes, Tracking stickers and Dispatch documentation free of charge. We will "preprint" the Negotiating Forum's details on the documents to minimise clerical work. I assume the Department Account number will be used for all billings.

We will arrange for a DAILY collection from the Secretariat at a time convenient to you. I suggest around 16h30 to 17h00, but this will depend on when you close.

(If there is nothing to collect, there is no charge. It is better to call on a schedule as people can plan more effectively.)

The normal telephone numbers and contacts will be suitable for day to day matters, such as delivery queries or Same Day requests (which obviously must be called for separately).

If at any time, your secretariat cannot get satisfaction from the normal channels, either due to service problems or service requirements which are not provided by Sun Couriers, I would request you to call our Bedfordview office and ask to speak to any Director. If none are available, the Managing Director's Secretary will ensure you get the assistance you need.

In the nature of the Constitutional Negotiations, it is likely that you will use almost exclusively, our "Express" services. The following summary guide will help you in service selection (this information is contained in the Tariff Brochure):

"SAME DAY" Service:

Is available on REQUEST at any time of day, including week-ends and holidays. Destinations are limited to centres served by SCHEDULED AIRLINES (SAA Comair, etc.) and subject to flight timings.

In emergencies, we can charter an aircraft to almost anywhere. This can only be arranged by SENIOR MANAGEMENT and is extremely costly.

"OVERNIGHT EXPRESS"

We will deliver by 10h30 the next WORKING day. All the destinations listed on our Tariff Brochure are served.

Delivery to REMOTE REGIONAL TOWNS can be as late as 14h30 due to vehicle arrival times.

٩



4-

"SATURDAY DELIVERY":

This EXTRA service is only available to what we call "Major Centres". These are listed in our Tariff and are consistent with what are generally regarded as "Major Centres" by the public.

Saturday delivery in other places can only be arranged by SENIOR MANAGEMENT and is very expensive.

"SUNRISE OPTION":

We will deliver before 09h00. This service is available also only to Major Centres, or to Regional Towns served from our Johannesburg Hub. (These towns are shown in the Brochure.)

The service required MUST be requested on the Dispatch Document by marking the appropriate block. Only one block need be selected, as all possible combinations (eg Saturday Delivery plus Sunrise Option) are catered for on the document.

I trust that the above will assist in ensuring that the services provided to the World Trade Centre in future will be of the highest standard.

Yours sincerely

Peter M. Baker Director

PB27-04.93

4

Report from the Planning Committee on Guidelines for Demonstrations

- 1. The Multi-Party Security Force at the World Trade Centre is an impartial force, aimed at the protection and safety of all parties represented in the Negotiating Process. It is here to assist in the security of the World Trade Centre and to ensure the safety of all persons within the premises.
- 2. Therefore the Planning Committee proposes the following guidelines for demonstrations:
 - 2.1. All demonstrations should take place at the gates of the World Trade Centre;
 - 2.2. Demonstrators should not block the free flow of traffic into the World Trade Centre;
 - Demonstrators should not stone or damage buildings or vehicles in the vicinity of the World Trade Centre;
 - 2.4. The Multi-Party security would welcome dialogue between itself and heads of demonstrators;
 - 2.5. Multi-Party security should assist leaders of demonstrations in forwarding memoranda through Administration to the relevant people concerned. In this regard, parties whom the demontrators want to meet, should be notified. If such a party does not want to meet the demonstrators, the memorandum should be received by the Head of Administration, who should ensure that the memorandum is subsequently handed to the relevant party.
 - 2.6. By agreement by all the parties, delegations wishing to hand over a memorandum is restricted to three (3) persons who may enter the premises to hand over such memorandum.

PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

Planning Committee	Monday 3 May 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Friday 7 May 1993	08h30 - 10h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 7 May 1993	11h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Monday 10 May 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Friday 14 May 1993	08h00 - 13h30
Planning Committee	Monday 17 May 1993	14h00 - 18h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 18 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Tuesday 18 May 1993	18h00 - 20h00
Planning Committee	Monday 24 May 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 25 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Tuesday 25 May 1993	18h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 28 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Tuesday 1 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
NEGOTIATING FORUM	Wednesday 2 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00

THESE ARE DRAFT MINUTES. THEY ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THE DRAFT MINUTES ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD ON 3 MAY 1993 AT 10H00 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE

PRESENT: B Alexander (Chairperson by rotation)

R Cronje C Eglin PJ Gordhan FT Mdlalose RP Meyer MC Ramaphosa

J Slovo Z Titus M Webb

T Eloff (Administration)
G Hutchings (Minutes)

1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

2. Welcome and Attendance

- 2.1 All members were welcomed.
- 2.2 An apology for late arrival by FT Mdlalose was noted.

3. Ratification of Agenda

The Agenda was ratified with the following amendments:

- Item 5 and 6 interchange;
- * The addition of an Item 5.3 to read "Management of Technical Sub-Committees"

- The addition of an Item 6.6 to read "Participation of Non-South Africans within the Negotiating Structures";
- The addition of an Item 6.7 to read "Procedure on resolutions in respect of the meetings of the Negotiating Forum".

Minutes 4.

- 4.1 Minutes of the meeting of 31 March 1993:
 - 4.1.1 The minutes were adopted with no amendments.
 - 4.1.2 No matters arising were noted.
- 4.2 Minutes of the meeting of 1 April 1993:
 - 4.2.1 The minutes were adopted with no amendments.
 - 4.2.2 No matters arising were noted.
- 4.3 Minutes of the meeting of 22 and 23 April 1993:
 - 4.3.1 The minutes were adopted with no amendments.
 - 4.3.2 Matters arising:
 - It was agreed that the Administration present to the Planning Committee an on-going report with regard to "Contact with other Negotiating Fora".
- Minutes of the meeting of 29 April 1993: 4.4
 - 4.4.1 The minutes were adopted with the following amendments:
 - Item 3.2.2 should read "It was agreed that as individuals, members of the Planning Committee accept responsibility for procedural recommendations, but that this does not bind them as delegates of their respective parties/organisations/ administrations".
 - Item 3.2.4, the last section of the sentence should read "..... these should be raised and notice thereof be given in the meetings of the Planning Committee and not only in the meetings of the Negotiating Council".
 - 4.4.2 No matters arising were noted.

4.5 Minutes of the Negotiating Forum of 1 April 1993:

It was agreed that the Negotiating Forum minutes be distributed to participants.

5. Substantive Issues

5.1 Appointment of Technical Sub-Committees

- 5.1.1 It was agreed that, once all the submissions with regard to the membership of the Technical Sub-Committees had been received from participants (deadline 5 May 1993 at 12h00) these be forwarded to the Sub-Committee (consisting of M Maharaj, B Ngubane and SS van der Merwe). The Sub-Committee would process this with a view to submit recommendations on the composition of the various Technical Sub-Committees to the Planning Committee. The Planning Committee would in turn submit a firm proposal to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993.
- 5.1.2 A guideline on the suggested number of members per Technical Sub-Committee was given to the Sub-Committee (see Addendum A). The Sub-Committee is to submit a recommendation to the Planning Committee in this regard.
- 5.1.3 It was agreed that the Planning Committee meet at 09h15 on Friday before the meeting of the Negotiating Council to discuss the proposed composition as recommended by the Sub-Committee. Therefore, the Negotiating Council would meet at 11h00 and not 09h15 as previously stated.
- 5.1.4 Feedback, as well as the complete list of nominees should be faxed to the Planning Committee on the recommended composition of the Technical Sub-Committees on Thursday 6 May 1993.
- 5.1.5 The Sub-Committee was mandated by the Planning Committee to send a circular to all participants to clarify issues such as:
 - * What expertise is needed in the Technical Sub-Committees;
 - * The approximate numbers of members to compose the Technical Sub-Committees;
 - * That nominees should tentatively be available to attend a meeting with the Planning Committee at the World Trade Centre on the afternoon of Monday 10 May 1993. Confirmation of this meeting would be conveyed to nominees

who are accepted to compose the Technical Sub-Committees as would be decided on by the Negotiating Council at its meeting on Friday 7 May 1993.

* Participants recommending members should be sure that the nominees are available for the work and should be aware of the time frames and intensity of the process.

After lunch the circular, as proposed by the Sub-Committee, was agreed to with amendments (Addendum B).

5.1.6 Paragraphs 6 and 7 of "The Explanatory Memorandum" were referred to as the basis of the relationship between the Negotiating Structures and the Technical Sub-Committees.

5.2 Time Frames for the work of the Technical Sub-Committees

- 5.2.1 It was agreed that a first progress report should be ready for the meeting of the Negotiating Council on 18 May 1993.
- 5.2.2 The Planning Committee should, therefore, receive the first draft reports before their meeting on 14 May 1993.
- 5.2.3 It was noted that the Technical Sub-Committees would be responsible for their own meetings schedule.

5.3 Overall Management of the Technical Sub-Committees

It was agreed to recommend the following proposed process of overall management of the Technical Sub-Committees to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993:

- 5.3.1 That an initial meeting be held between all appointed members of the Technical Sub-Committees, the Planning Committee and the Sub-Committee to brief and give them their relevant Guidelines.
- 5.3.2 The Technical Sub-Committees report to the Negotiating Council through the Planning Committee, with the assistance of the Sub-Committee.
- 5.3.3 No member of the Sub-Committee is entitled to serve on any of the Technical Sub-Committees.
- 5.3.4 The Sub-Committee, assisted by the members of the Technical Sub-Committees, should present progress reports at every meeting of the Planning Committee, who in turn would present to every meeting of the Negotiating Council. It was agreed that this item be permanently

on the agendas of the Negotiating Council and the Planning Committee.

- 5.3.5 It was further agreed that the Sub-Committee prepare a document, in liaison with the Administration, clearly stating the Function, Management and Time-Frames of the Technical Sub-Committees. After lunch this document was submitted to the Planning Committee who in turn agreed to table the document at the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993 (see Addendum C).
- 5.3.6 It was agreed to mandate the Sub-Committee and the Administration to negotiate the question of remuneration with individual members of the Technical Sub-Committees, keeping in mind the decision taken by the Planning Committee at their meeting of 22 April 1993 (see Planning Committee minutes of 22 and 23 April 1993, Item 4.1.9, 3rd asterisk).
- 5.3.7 It was agreed that the Administration would organise the necessary Administrative support for the Technical Sub-Committees. Furthermore, the Administration should in liaison with the Sub-Committee distribute all inputs received so far from participants to the relevant Technical Sub-Committees.
- 5.3.8 It was agreed in principle to recommend to the Technical Sub-Committee dealing with constitutional issues to consider the advisability of setting up a commission on regionalism to deal with the issue of boundaries, functions and powers in terms of the CODESA Agreements with regard to whatever dispensation is to be agreed upon. This would not imply commitment, decision or acceptance on behalf of any participant. The issues would still be debated within the Negotiating Council. The Technical Sub-Committee is to submit to the Planning Committee a recommendation on the composition, Terms of Reference, time frames and the way in which the commission would function. This commission would be a public commission. This recommendation should reach the Planning Committee before 14 May 1993.

5.4 Violence

5.4.1 It was noted that, in terms of the recommendation from the Planning Committee, to the Negotiating Council on Friday 30 April 1993 the Planning Committee is to meet with Mr John Hall, Chairman of the Peace Committee, during the course of the day. The Sub-Committee was mandated to have an exploratory discussion with Mr Hall after which the Planning Committee would briefly meet with Mr Hall as a matter of protocol.

- 5.4.2 After lunch the Sub-Committee reported back to the Planning Committee on the meeting held with Mr Hall. In this regard the following was noted:
 - All the issues that were isolated in the Negotiating Forum meeting of 1 April 1993 had already been identified in the peace process;
 - A specialised group had been set up within the peace structures to strengthen the Peace Accord structures;
 - A formal meeting between the Planning Committee and representatives from the Executive of the Peace Committee (and possibly the specialised group) was proposed. This was agreed to. It was further agreed that such a meeting take place on any of the days that the Planning Committee is scheduled to

The meeting adjourned for lunch at 13h00.

The meeting reconvened at 14h20.

Election Date 5.5

It was agreed that the proposal as submitted to the last meeting of the Negotiating Council on 30 April 1993 still stands.

Procedural Issues 6.

New Participants 6.1

A report was presented to the Planning Committee by the Sub-Committee and after discussion the following was noted:

- 6.1.1 It was agreed to confine the discussion to the question of new applicants;
- 6.1.2 It was agreed to accept the report from the Sub-Committee with regard to the criteria for Political Parties and Organisations and to submit it as a recommendation from the Planning Committee to the Negotiating Council.
- 6.1.3 It was noted that M Webb gave notice that he would raise the issue of accepting new participants also on the basis of substantial support in

a regional context.

- 6.1.4 With regard to Traditional Leaders it was agreed to recommend the following:
 - * That the principle of provincial representation should be maintained for the time being, but the problems around the representivity of existing delegations should be addressed in consultation with and a manner acceptable to all concerned. This issue should be discussed in the meeting of the Negotiating Council and, if necessary, be referred back to the Planning Committee;
 - * It was noted that R Cronje and FT Mdlalose reserve their position on this issue.
- 6.1.5 With regard to other applicants it was agreed to recommend that applications of organisations who are not political parties or organisations, be refused.
- 6.1.6 It was noted that the problem of both the Administrations and political parties in one region participating in the Negotiating Process, has not been resolved and will require further attention.
- 6.1.7 It was agreed to recommend the following process for dealing with applications of political parties or organisations:
 - * Applicants should be informed of the criteria and requested to submit whatever facts and arguments they wish to, but they should be required to at least respond to the questionnaire (Addendum D);
 - * Administration should cause a newspaper survey over the preceding year to be conducted to establish the type of press coverage every applicant has received;
 - * As soon as all the information is at hand in respect of a particular application, it is put before the Negotiating Council for a decision.
- 6.1.8 It was noted that no applications from any governments or administrations had been received.

6.2 Name of the Process

It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that this issue be removed from the agenda. The process would, therefore, simply be known

as the Multi-Party Negotiating Process.

6.3 Role of the International Community

It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that this issue be removed from the agenda, because it will be dealt with at the level of the Technical Sub-Committees, where appropriate. Participants will have the opportunity to make inputs at this level.

6.4 Media Arrangements

After having received a report from the Communications Committee, the Planning Committee agreed to recommend the following to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on 7 May 1993:

- 6.4.1 That, for the meetings of the Negotiating Council of 7, 18, 24 and 28 May 1993, the SABC be requested to arrange audio and video feed as is presently the case;
- 6.4.2 That the Communications Committee, in liaison with the Administration, draft a tender pro-forma for the purpose of putting the coverage of Negotiating Council meetings out on tender;
- 6.4.3 That recommendations of the Communications Committee from the Negotiating Forum be dealt with at a later stage;
- 6.4.4 That Administration take note of the last part of the report.

6.5 Assistance to the Negotiating Council Chairpersons

After discussion the following was noted:

- 6.5.1 That in terms of Item 7.2 of the Standing Rules it is stated that both the Negotiating Forum and the Council "shall be chaired by a core panel of Chairperson (assisted by two persons from within the Negotiating Council)";
- 6.5.2 It was agreed to recommend to the Negotiating Council that it recommend to the next meeting of the Negotiating Forum that this should not be applicable to the Negotiating Council, but only to the Negotiating Forum. Practical reasons were given for this proposal (cf Report from the Planning Committee to the Negotiating Council of 7 May 1993).

6.6 Participation of Non-South Africans within the Negotiating Structures

After discussion the following was noted:

- 6.6.1 A distinction should be drawn between the role of the international community in this process and the participation of non-South Africans in the structures of the negotiations process;
- 6.6.2 In the Plenary, the Negotiating Forum, the Negotiating Council, the Planning Committee or in any sub-structures as set up by these structures, non-South Africans cannot be participants on the basis that they are representing, in either delegate or adviser capacity, any participating organisation;
- 6.6.3 However, with regard to Technical Sub-Committees, individual participants may seek advise from non-South Africans on a technical basis or the Technical Sub-Committees may request technical or expert inputs from non-South Africans, should that be required. This will, however be as participants.
- 6.6.4 That this issue be further discussed in the Negotiating Council.

6.7 Procedures on Resolutions within meetings of the Negotiating Forum

In order to achieve a balance between the right of participants to air their views and the effectivity of arriving at constructive resolutions, it was agreed to recommend the following:

- 6.7.1 That the right of participants to speak on all relevant matters should at all times be acknowledged;
- 6.7.2 That the Chairperson has the responsibility and the right to guide the meeting in a constructive and facilitating manner;
- 6.7.3 That every proposed resolution should have a proposer and a seconder;
- 6.7.4 That each resolution should initially come before the meeting of the Negotiating Forum;
- 6.7.5 That, if necessary, the Forum can decide to create an ad hoc Resolutions Committee to facilitate the drafting of a resolution taking into account, as far as possible, all views expressed.

7. Administrative and Financial Matters

- 7.1 A report with regard to the problem experienced with the Courier Company was accepted and will be submitted to the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993.
- 7.2 A document on "Guidelines for Demonstrations" was accepted as amended

and would be submitted to the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993 (See Addendum E). It was noted that, wherever possible, the Planning Committee should receive prior notice of any planned demonstrations. It was agreed that the Administration meet with the police officer in charge of security outside the World Trade Centre in this regard.

- 7.3 It was noted that monitors carrying CNN television would be available to participants 24 hours a day at no cost to the process. Four monitors would be supplied for this purpose.
- 7.4 It was noted that copies of the contract between the South African Government and the CBM will be made available to the Negotiating Council in due course.

9. Agenda and report to Negotiating Council from the Planning Committee

- 9.1 The draft agenda with amendments was agreed to (see Addendum F).
- 9.2 It was agreed that all the reports from the Planning Committee to the Negotiating Council be presented by B Alexander on behalf of the Planning Committee, and that the report on the name of the process by presented by J Slovo.

8. Schedule of Meetings

A revised schedule of meetings was agreed to (see Addendum G). This would be submitted to the meeting of the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May 1993.

9. Closure

The meeting closed at 18h15.

	The state of the s
These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the	Planning Committee of 1993 and the
amended version signed by the Chairperson of the	original meeting on
CHAIRPERSON	

Addendum A

Guideline on the suggested number of members per Technical Sub-Committee:

Constitutional Issues
Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition
Violence 6 (plus the 3 members from the peace structures)
Independent Election Commission
independent Election Communication 3
Independent Media Commission
free political activity and discriminatory
legislation
TEC and its Sub-Councils

COMMUNICATION TO ALL PARTICIPANTS

- 1. On 29 April 1993 the Negotiating Council asked participants to submit names of experts for the following technical committees:
 - * Constitutional Issues (Form of State and Constitutional Principles, Constitution Making Body/Constituent Assembly, Transitional/Interim Constitution, Transitional Regional/Local Government, Future of the TBVC States, Self Determination)
 - * Fundamental Human Rights During the Transition
 - * Violence
 - * Independent Election Commission
 - * Independent Media Commission
 - * Amendment or Repeal of Legislation Impeding Free Political Activity and Discriminatory Legislation
 - * TEC and its Sub-Councils
- 2. For the sake of clarification: The proposal is that each technical committee should consist of from 3 to at the most 6 members who should be experts and not representatives of parties.
- 3. Participants are therefore asked to submit names of experts to be put on a panel. As a general guidance relating to the type of expertise required we refer you to the technical committees agreed upon. The Planning Committee will then propose members for each technical committee drawn from this panel. The proposals will be submitted to the Negotiating Council on Friday 7 May.
- 4. It will be appreciated if in respect of every name the following particulars can be given:
 - * Title
 - * Initials
 - * Surname
 - Occupation
 - * Expertise
 - Work and home address
 - Work and home telephone
- 5. As decided by the Negotiating Council the names must reach administration before 12h00 on Wednesday 4 May 1993.

PLANNING COMMITTEE

PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT TO THE NEGOTIATION COUNCIL ON 7 MAY 1993

FUNCTION, MANAGEMENT & AND TIMEFRAMES OF TECHNICAL SUBCOMMITTEES

- 1. It was agreed by the Negotiating Council that the following Technical Committees should deal with the following aspects:
 - * Constitutional Issues (Form of State and Constitutional Principles, Constitution Making Body/Constituent Assembly, Transitional/Interim Constitution, Transitional Regional/Local Government, Future of the TBVC States, Self Determination)
 - * Fundamental Human Rights During the Transition
 - * Violence
 - * Independent Election Commission
 - * Independent Media Commission
 - * Amendment or Repeal of Legislation Impeding Free Political Activity and Discriminatory Legislation
 - * TEC and its Sub-Councils
- 2. The following is recommended in this regard:
 - 2.1 The names for the Panel should be submitted before 12h00, Wednesday 4 May 1993.
 - 2.2 The Sub-Committee will fax proposals on the composition of the Technical Committees as well as proposed task description of each, and suggestions on the management of the Technical Committees, to members of the Planning Committee on Thursday 6 May 1993.
 - 2.3 The Planning Committee will meet on Friday morning (7 May 1993) to formulate proposals to the Negotiating Council on the composition and task description of the Technical Committees.
 - 2.4 The Negotiating Council will appoint the Technical Committees on Friday 7. May 1993. This item should be given priority on the agenda to enable members of the subcommittees to be notified timeously about the meeting on Monday 10 May 1993.
 - 2.5 All members of the Technical Committees are to meet the Planning Committee during the afternoon of Monday 10 May 1993, to be briefed on their mandates, time scales, nomination of convenors, functioning, etc.
 - 2.6 The Technical Committees will report to the Negotiating Council. The Planning Committee will co-ordinate the Technical Committees and serve as a channel between them and the Negotiating Council. The Planning Committee may delegate some of these functions to the Sub-Committee.
 - 2.7 The Technical Committees will be asked to each submit an initial report to the Planning Committee on 14 May 1993, for tabling in the Negotiating Council on 18 May 1993.

QUESTIONS TO POLITICAL PARTIES/ORGANISATIONS APPLYING TO JOIN THE MULTI-PARTY NEGOTIATING PROCESS: FOR THE PURPOSES OF PROCESSING THE APPLICATION

1.	Date of	tormation	ot	party/organisation

- 2. Names of office bearers and designation
- 3. Statement of Political Intent/Constitution
- 4. Indicators of demonstrable support (signed up membership, attendance at rallies, elections results)
- 5. Activities:

Meetings

Publications

Other

6. Participation in other organisations:

Parliament/Legislative Assembly

Local Government

Civics Other

7. Offices:

Address HQ and other offices

Telephone, Fax

Number of personnel employed by your party/organisation

- 8. Are you viable in regards to sustained funding?
- 9. Geographical area of operations: support, offices, etc?
- 10. Rules of membership

Report from the Planning Committee on Guidelines for Demonstrations

- 1. The Multi-Party Security Force at the World Trade Centre is an impartial force, aimed at the protection and safety of all parties represented in the Negotiating Process. It is here to assist in the security of the World Trade Centre and to ensure the safety of all persons within the premises.
- 2. Therefore the Planning Committee proposes the following guidelines for demonstrations:
 - 2.1. All demonstrations should take place at the gates of the World Trade Centre;
 - 2.2. Demonstrators should not block the free flow of traffic into the World Trade Centre;
 - 2.3. Demonstrators should not stone or damage buildings or vehicles in the vicinity of the World Trade Centre;
 - 2.4. The Multi-Party security would welcome dialogue between itself and heads of demonstrators;
 - 2.5. Multi-Party security should assist leaders of demonstrations in forwarding memoranda through Administration to the relevant people concerned. In this regard, parties whom the demonstrators want to meet, should be notified. If such a party does not want to meet the demonstrators, the memorandum should be received by the Head of Administration, who should ensure that the memorandum is subsequently handed to the relevant party.
 - 2.6. By agreement by all the parties, delegations wishing to hand over a memorandum is restricted to three (3) persons who may enter the premises to hand over such memorandum.

DRAFT AGENDA FOR THE MEETING OF THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL TO BE HELD ON FRIDAY 7 MAY 1993 AT 11H00

Chairpersonship - MJ Mahlangu assisted by FT Mdlalose

- 1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation
- 2. Welcome and Attendance
- 3. Ratification of Agenda

4. Minutes

- 4.1 Adoption of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 30 April 1993
- 4.2 Matters arising out of the minutes of the meeting of the Negotiating Council of 30 April 1993
- 4.3 Other minutes to be noted:
 - 4.3.1 Planning Committee of 29 April 1993
 - 4.3.2 Planning Committee of 3 May

5. Substantive Issues

- 5.1 Election Date continuation of debate
- 5.2 Report of the Planning Committee on the Technical Sub-Committees:

Winterson

- 5.2.1 Management and Time Frames
- 5.2.2 Composition and Appointment:

5.2.2.1	Violence
5.2.2.2	Constitutional Issues
5.2.2.3	Fundamental Human Rights during the Transition
5.2.2.4	Independent Election Commission
5.2.2.5	Independent Media Commission
5.2.2.6	Amendment or repeal of legislation impeding free political activity and discriminatory legislation
5.2.2.7	TEC and its Sub-Councils

- 6. Procedural Issues Report of the Planning Committee
 - 6.1 New Participants approval of criteria and process
 - 6.2 Name of the Process
 - 6.3 Role of the International Community
 - 6.4 Media Arrangements
 - 6.5 Assistance to the Negotiating Council Chairpersons
 - 6.6 Participation of non South Africans in the Negotiating Process
 - 6.7 Procedures on Resolutions
 - 7. Administrative Matters
 - 7.1 Report on the problem with the Couriers
 - 7.2 Guidelines for Demonstrations
 - 8. Meetings Schedule
 - 9. Closure

PROPOSED SCHEDULE OF MEETINGS

Planning Committee	Monday 3 May 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Friday 7 May 1993	09h15 - 10h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 7 May 1993	11h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Monday 10 May 1993	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Friday 14 May 1993	08h00 - 13h30
Planning Committee	Monday 17 May 1993	14h00 - 18h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 18 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Tuesday 18 May 1993	18h00 - 20h00
	Manuface 24 Mars 1002	10h00 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Monday 24 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Negotiating Council	Tuesday 25 May 1993	
Planning Committee	Tuesday 25 May 1993	18h00 - 20h00
Negotiating Council	Friday 28 May 1993	09h15 - 18h00
Planning Committee	Tuesday 1 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00
NEGOTIATING FORUM	Wednesday 2 June 1993	10h00 - 18h00

NOMINATIONS FOR TECHNICAL COMMITTEES RECEIVED FROM PARTICIPANTS

AFRICAN NATIONAL CONGRESS, Addendum K:

Adv A Chaskalson, Constitutional issues (including...)

Adv A Omar, Constitutional issues (including...) and TEC

F Cachalia, Constitutional issues (including...)

J Mathews, Constitutional issues (including...)

Adv D Moseneke, Constitutional issues (including...)

Dr S Nkomo, Constitutional issues (including...)

Prof G Erasmus, Constitutional issues (including...)

Prof Wiechers, Constitutional issues (including...)

A Sachs, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof J van der Westhuizen, Fundamental Rights during transition

B Ngcuka, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof C Heyns, Fundamental Rights during transition

Adv I Semenya, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof H Corder, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof K Asmal, IEC

Prof D Davis, IEC

Dr F Ginwala, IEC

Prof N Stytler, IEC

Ms A Armstrong, IMC

Mr M Markovitz, IMC

Mr D Dison, IMC

W Currie, IMC

Adv G Bizos, Repeal/ Free political activity

Adv P Langa, Repeal/ Free political activity

Adv P Moroka-Motlana, Repeal/ Free political activity

Adv MTK Moerane, Repeal/ Free political activity

Prof N Haysom, TEC

AFRIKANER VOLKSUNIE, Addendum C:

Prof PJ Oosthuizen, Violence
Prof AWG Raath, Fundamental Rights during transition
Prof CE Noffke, IMC
JH van der Merwe, IEC

BOPHUTHATSWANA GOVERNMENT, Addendum L:

Prof DFS Fourie, Violence and IMC

Prof DJ Kriek, Constitutional issues (including...) and TEC

Dr B de Villiers, Constitutional issues (including...), Fundamental Rights during transition and IEC

Prof A du P Louw, Constitutional issues (including...)

Mr PA Pienaar, Constitutional issues (including...)

Prof D van Wyk, Fundamental Rights during transition and Repeal/ Free political activity

Prof I Rautenbach, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof M Wiechers, Fundamental Rights during transition

Dr PAH Labuschagne, IEC and TEC

CISKEI GOVERNMENT, Addendum M:

Prof A Seegers, Violence

Prof M Hough, Violence

Dr PW Liebenberg, Violence

Prof D Fourie, Violence

Dr A Jeffries, Violence

Prof A Steyn, Violence

Prof M Wiechers, Constitutional issues (including...)

Adv IJ Smuts, Constitutional issues (including...) and Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof FL Ackron, Constitutional issues (including...)

Dr D van Vuuren, Constitutional issues (including...)

Prof S Straus, Constitutional issues (including...)

Mr JS Kane-Berman, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof LM du Plessis, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof A Thomashausen, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof J Potgieter, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof G Barrie, Fundamental Rights during transition

Dr P Kopp, IEC

Prof WA Kleynhans, IEC

Prof H Laurie, IEC

Mr J Knox-Grant, IMC

Mr A Thomashausen, Repeal/ Free political activity

Prof M Frost, Repeal/ Free political activity

Prof T Geldenhuys, Repeal/ Free political activity

Prof SX Hanekom, TEC
Prof H Gillomee, TEC
Prof PJ Niewenhuisen, TEC

DEMOCRATIC PARTY, Addendum E:

Mr RJ Lorimer, Violence
Dr F van Zyl Slabbert, Constitutional issues (including...)
Prof DJ Welsh, Constitutional issues (including...)
Mr AJ Leon, Fundamental Rights during transition
Prof CJR Dugard, Fundamental Rights during transition
Mr RP Rosenthal, IEC
Mr PEB Reynolds, IMC
Mr PA Bracher, IMC
Prof MG Erasmus, Repeal/ Free political activity and TEC
Mr KM Andrew, TEC

DIKWANKWETLA PARTY, Addendum H:

Dr JA Dannhauser, Violence, Constitutional issues (including...), IEC, TEC Dr PA Erasmus, Violence, IEC and IMC Prof DP Wessels, Constitutional issues (including...)
Mr CC Harrington, Repeal/ Free political activity and TEC

INKATHA FREEDOM PARTY, Addendum T:

Dr Ambrosini, Constitutional issues (including...)
Prof Weichers, Constitutional issues (including...)
H Vilakazi, Violence
A Jeffreys, Violence
Prof Ndabandaba, Violence
D van Wyk, IEC
STK Ndlovu, IEC
L Schlemmer, IMC
Prof ADE Dlodlo, IMC
Mrs D Nene, Repeal/ Free political activity and TEC
Dr Jiyane, Repeal/ Free political activity and TEC
C Nkabinde, TEC
Dr M Xulu, TEC

INTANDO YE SIZWE PARTY

INYANDZA NATIONAL MOVEMENT, Addendum G:

Captain MJ Thwala, Violence

Mr C Albertyn, Constitutional issues (including...) and Fundamental Rights during transition

Mr EB Mabuza, IEC

Mr EJ Mabuza, IEC and IMC

Adv GG Zama, Repeal/ Free political activity

Adv PM Mojapelo, TEC

KONSERWATIEWE PARTY, Addendum F:

Genl-Maj J Fourie

Mnr DS Pienaar

Prof SC Jacobs, Constitutional issues (including...)

JF Kirsten

A Danzfuss

Dr Samuel

CH Werth

Prof H van der Wateren

KWA-ZULU GOVERNMENT, Addendum R:

Dr A Jeffreys

Mr J Kane-Berman

Prof L Schlemmer

Prof M Weickers

Prof H Vilakazi

Dr E Jiyane

Prof H Ngubane

Prof M Frost

Mr STK Ndlovu

Mr C Nkabinde

Prof G Ndabandaba

Prof AE Dlodlo

LABOUR PARTY, Addendum B:

Prof GE Devenish, Constitutional issues (including...)

NATIONAL PARTY, Addendum O:

Prof I Rautenbach

Adv L Laubscher

Adv Q Pelser

NIC/TIC, Addendum A:

S Sealy, Violence

F Cachalia, Constitutional issues (including...)

Z Jacoob, Fundamental Rights during transition

K Govender, Fundamental Rights during transition

NATIONAL PEOPLES PARTY, Addendum I:

Mr S Ismail, Constitutional issues (including...)

PAN AFRICANIST CONGRESS OF AZANIA, Addendum J:

Prof H Vilakazi, Violence

Adv B Moepe, Constitutional issues (including...)

K Sizani, Fundamental Rights during transition

Prof D Basson, IEC

Mr J Tloloe, IMC

Adv J Renene, Repeal/ Free political activity

Adv J Renene, TEC

SOLIDARITY PARTY, Addendum P:

Prof M Wiechers

Prof D van Wyk

Prof MG Erasmus

Prof T Matthews

Mr B de Villiers

Mr A Chaskalson

Justice I Mohamod

SOUTH AFRICAN GOVERNMENT, Addendum D:

Lt-Genl LCA Pruis, Violence
Dr F Venter, Constitutional issues (including...)
Mr S Grovè, Fundamental Rights during transition
Mr AF Tredoux, IEC, IMC
Adv PH Pretorius, Repeal/ Free political activity
Dr JC Heunis, TEC

TRANSKEI GOVERNMENT, Addendum S:

Min M Titus, TEC
Prof DS Konyana, Constitutional issues (including...)
Mr LV Ntsubane, Violence
Miss SN Sigcau, IEC

TRANSVAAL TRADITIONAL LEADERS

Mr MA Mangena (Magistrate)

Mr JM Nonopane (Adv)

Mr JT Marishane (Adv)

Mr NS Ratlabala (Magistrate)

Mr RE Rasefate (Magistrate)

Mr AT Mulema (Chief)

Mr CA Nelwamondo (Chief)

Mr NG Kekana (Chief)

Mr FTT Mogotse (Chief)

UNITED PEOPLES FRONT, Addendum N:

Mr R Matsane, Violence
Adv J Maake, Constitutional issues (including...)
Mr NS Ratlabala, IEC
Mr ER Maponya, IMC

Mr J Tladi, Repeal/ Free political activity

Mr S Molepo, Repeal/ Free political activity

Mr ME Mapheto, TEC

RECOMMENDATIONS BY THE SUB-COMMITTEE TO THE PLANNING COMMITTEE ON THE COMPOSITION OF THE TECHNICAL SUB-COMMITTEES

1. Violence

In addition to four representatives from the National Peace Committee the Following:

- Mr V Ntsubane
- * Prof H Vilakazi
- Prof PJ Oosthuisen

2. Constitutional Matters:

- * Prof M Wiechers
- * Adv A Chaskalson
- * Adv E Moseneke
- * Dr F Venter
- * Mr JF Kirsten
- Prof GE Devenish
- Mr F Cachalia

3. Fundamental Rights During the Transition

- * Adv GH Grove
- * Adv Z Yacoob
- Prof LM du Plessis
- * Prof H Corder

4. Transitional Executive Council

- Prof D van Wyk
- Prof F Haysom
- * Dr JC Heunis
- Mr ME Mapheto

5. Independent Media Commission and Independent Telecommincations Authority

- * Adv D Dison
- * Dr B de Villiers
- * Adv P Pretorius
- Mr EJ Mabuza

Independent Electoral Commission 6.

- Mr STK Ndlovu
- Prof D Davis
- Mr RP Rosenthal
- Adv L Laubscher

7. Repeal of Discriminatory Legislation

- Adv P Langa Adv C Nkabinde
- Prof J Dugard Prof MG Erasmus