

THESE DRAFT MINUTES ARE CONFIDENTIAL AND RESTRICTED TO MEMBERS OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE AND THE NEGOTIATING COUNCIL. THE MINUTES ARE STILL TO BE RATIFIED AT THE NEXT MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE.

**DRAFT MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE PLANNING COMMITTEE HELD AT 13H35 ON THURSDAY 7 OCTOBER 1993 AT THE WORLD TRADE CENTRE**

- PRESENT :
- PJ Gordhan (Chairperson by rotation)
  - C Kruger
  - RP Meyer
  - MC Ramaphosa
  - J Slovo
  - Z Titus
  
  - M Maharaj (Sub-Committee)
  - SS van der Merwe (Sub-Committee)
  
  - T Eloff (Administration)
  - G Hutchings (Minutes)

**1. Moment of Prayer/Meditation**

A moment of prayer/meditation was observed by all members.

**2. Welcome and Attendance**

- 2.1 All members were welcomed.
- 2.2 Absent from the meeting were B Alexander, R Cronje, FT Mdlalose, B Ngubane (Sub-Committee) and M Webb.
- 2.3 Apologies were noted from C Eglin and SN Sigcau.

**3. Substantive Issues**

**3.1 Establishment of Transitional Structures:**

- 3.1.1 The Sub-Committee presented a report to the meeting (see Addendum A).
- 3.1.2 The Sub-Committee was given a mandate to take a decision with regard to the accommodation of the Transition Structures. The Sub-Committee was requested to keep Planning Committee members

informally informed with regard to the direction being taken.

- 3.1.3 It was noted that the World Trade Centre would be considered as possible accommodation for the IEC and the IMC. It was suggested that the World Trade Centre, due to security reasons, was not suitable for the TEC. It was further noted that security measures of the World Trade Centre would have to be improved for the Plenary session of the Multi-Party Negotiating Process.

### **3.2 Meeting with the Local Government Negotiating Forum:**

- 3.2.1 A report was distributed to the Planning Committee (see Addendum B). Also distributed to members were proposals and documents of the LGNF (further copies available on request).
- 3.2.2 It was agreed that the documents as referred to in item 5 should be distributed as proposed. It was noted that item 5.3 and 5.4 of the said report would be dealt with at a future meeting of the Planning Committee.
- 3.2.3 Concerns were expressed about the LGNF Draft Bill and time frames with regard to the proposed submission of the Draft Bill to the September session of Parliament. It was noted that this issue should be dealt with at the next meeting of the Planning Committee. It was suggested that an Ad-Hoc Committee of the Negotiating Council should be established as soon as possible to deal with this issue.
- 3.2.4 It was noted that the Draft Bill from the LGNF should not be treated as part of the package of agreements of the Multi-Party Negotiating Process. The said Bill would come before the Council after the package had been agreed upon.

### **4. Schedule of Meetings and Draft Programme:**

It was agreed to mandate the Administration, in liaison with the Sub-Committee, to finalise the meetings schedule and draft programme during the course of the day, taking into account the progress of the Negotiating Council at its meeting today and the need for further bilateral meetings.

### **5. Minutes**

It was agreed to mandate Z Titus to deal with the ratification of minutes on behalf of the Planning Committee. Members who had amendments should forward amendments to Z Titus.

**6. Earthquake Disaster in India:**

It was agreed that this issue should appear on the agenda of the Negotiating Council. It was further agreed that PJ Gordhan would draft a proposed resolution to be put before the Negotiating Council. Furthermore, 15 minutes would be put aside at the meeting of the Negotiating Council to accommodate this issue.

**7. Closure**

- 6.1. It was agreed that all outstanding issues on the agenda should be deferred until the next meeting of the Planning Committee.
- 6.2. The meeting adjourned at 14h05.

These minutes were ratified at the meeting of the Planning Committee of ..... 1993 and the amended version signed by the Chairperson of the original meeting on .....

.....  
CHAIRPERSON

**REPORT FROM THE SUBCOMMITTEE TO THE PLANNING  
COMMITTEE**

The seventeen buildings mentioned in the second report to the subcommittee were increased by four and the list was then reduced to six which were then visited by the Subcommittee and other officials.

As a result, the following three buildings are recommended to the Planning Committee in order of preference:

**1. TULBAGH PARK**

- The building complies with most of the guidelines contained in the first report to the Subcommittee (See 1.2.1.1 attached)
- Rentable area approximately 6 000 m<sup>2</sup>
- High quality ("A" category) building
- Security is acceptable but can be improved
- The building can accommodate the TEC as well as the IEC provided that relatively conservative norms for the sizes of individual offices, conference rooms and the cafeteria are accepted
- Administrative staff will be accommodated in open plan offices
- Conference facilities could be shared by the TEC and IEC to utilise space more efficiently
- The TEC and IEC could be separated completely and there should be no problem of confusing one with the other by the public

**2. CENTRAL COMPUTER SERVICES (CCS)**

- This building also complies with most of the guidelines in the first report (see paragraph 1.2.2.1)
- Available area ± 6 000 m<sup>2</sup>
- Maximum security already exists
- ± 400 under cover parking bays available

- The building can accommodate the TEC as well as the IEC provided that relatively conservative norms for the sizes of individual offices and conference rooms are accepted
- Restaurant and cafeteria already exist

### 3. VISTA PARK

- The building complies with most of the guidelines contained in the first report to the Subcommittee (See 1.2.2.2)
- This building can however, not accommodate both bodies and a choice will have to be made between the TEC and the IEC

## RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended to the Planning Committee:

- (a) that the officials be requested to investigate prices and contract periods for Tulbagh Park and Vista Park and to report back to the Subcommittee as soon as possible, and
- (b) that the Subcommittee be authorised to take a decision on the building(s) to be occupied by the TEC and IEC as soon as possible and to report back to the Planning Committee at its next meeting.

## PRETORIA

### Tulbagh Park

- Corner of Church and Duncan Streets, Pretoria
- One freestanding building  $\pm$  6 000 m<sup>2</sup>
- 80 + 30 Conference room possible
- 240 Parking bays (most under cover)
- Security acceptable
- A cafeteria/restaurant will have to be provided
- Toilet facilities may have to be expanded
- Entrance is in a very unsightly "alley"

## VERWOERDBURG

### Central Computer Service (CCS)

- Two to three floors available  $\pm$  5 500 m<sup>2</sup>
- 80 + 30 conference room possible
- Very high security
- Building shared with Central Computer Services (CCS)
- Own floors can be isolated from the rest although the same entrance must be used
- Cafeteria and restaurant facilities relatively restricted and will have to be shared with CCS
- On Pietersburg Freeway
- Centurion Lake Hotel  $\pm$  3 km away
- Government Building: No rental costs
- Address may create problems

### Vista Park

- Belongs to Sasol Pension Fund
- On Hendrik Verwoerd Avenue  $\pm$  3 km from CCS
- 5 000 m<sup>2</sup>
- $\pm$  200 parking bays, 65 under cover

- 80 + 30 conference room possible
- Close to Centurion Lake Hotel
- Close to Pietersburg Freeway
- Security Fence will have to be erected
- Toilet facilities relatively restricted
- Address may create problems

**REPORT ON THE MEETING BETWEEN  
THE SUB-COMMITTEE OF PLANNING COMMITTEE AND  
THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT NEGOTIATING FORUM  
ON 6 OCTOBER 1993**

**1. Present at this meeting were:**

**1.1 Sub-Committee:**

- \* PJ Gordhan
- \* Z Titus
- \* R Cronje (apologies)

**1.2 LGNF:**

- \* A Borraine
- \* L Kruger
- \* D Strydom
- \* YM Makda

**2. The LGNF representatives submitted the following documents of the LGNF:**

- 2.1 LGNF submission Chapter 10 of the Constitution for the Transition period, and an addendum indicating issues in dispute within the LGNF;
- 2.2 Draft Local Government Transition Bill;
- 2.3 Draft Agreement on Finances, Services and Service Rendering

**3. The following understanding was reached on the above:**

**3.1 Chapter 10:**

- 3.1.1 The submission of the LGNF will be distributed to all Planning Committee and Negotiating Council members;
- 3.1.2 This submission should be sent to the Technical Committee on Constitutional Issues;
- 3.1.3 The Technical Committee has a delegation from the LGNF should meet immediately;
- 3.1.4 The issues in dispute should be identified and receive the attention of the Planning Committee.

**3.2 Draft Local Government Transition Bill:**

- 3.2.1 This draft should be distributed to Planning Committee members for their information;
- 3.2.2 The draft will be discussed by the LGNF for the first time on Monday,



11 October 1993;

- 3.2.3 Depending on this discussion, a further draft will be made available by the LGNF for distribution to Negotiating Council members for their information;
- 3.2.4 Alternatively, the LGNF will consider preparing a draft which indicated the clauses in dispute/still to be drafted;
- 3.2.5 The Planning Committee will consider how this Bill will be processed in the Multi-Party Negotiating Process;
- 3.2.6 An explanatory memorandum will be prepared by the LGNF.

**3.3 Draft Agreement:**

- 3.3.1 Shall be distributed to Negotiating Council members for their members;
- 3.3.2 An explanatory memorandum will be prepared by the LGNF.

- 3.4 The LGNF will prepare a memorandum for the Negotiating Council which provides an overview of their process and work and places the above documents in context.

**4. In addition the following issues were canvassed:**

**4.1 Time Frames:**

With respect to the processing of the Bill in particular and the establishment of the TEC.

**4.2 TBVC States:**

Whether the LGNF has considered how the Draft Bill would apply to the TBVC States.

**4.3 Traditional Leaders and Institutions:**

The LGNF representatives were informed of discussion in the Multi-Party Negotiating Process on this question.

**4.4 Future of the LGNF:**

The future of the LGNF after the establishment of the TEC and the relevant Subcouncil was identified as a matter to be discussed further.

**5. Proposals to Planning Committee**

- 5.1 The submission of the LGNF on Chapter 10 of the Constitution should be

circulated to the Negotiating Council with the LGNF memorandum providing an overview of the process.

- 5.2 The submission should be sent to the Technical Committee and a meeting arranged between the Technical Committee and the LGNF.
- 5.3 The Draft Bill should be distributed to the Negotiating Council for information and the Planning Committee to discuss how to process the Bill.
- 5.4 The issues in dispute in respect of Chapter 10, in the first instance, should be identified and a mechanism determined to resolve these. Depending on the decision on 5.3 above, disputes in respect of the Draft Bill might be addressed in the same way.